Math 407A: Linear Optimization

Lecture 11: The Dual Simplex Algorithm

Math Dept, University of Washington

<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)



Ξ.

 $\begin{array}{lll} \mathcal{P} & \mbox{maximize} & -4x_1 - 2x_2 - x_3 \\ & \mbox{subject to} & -x_1 - x_2 + 2x_3 \leq -3 \\ & -4x_1 - 2x_2 + x_3 \leq -4 \\ & x_1 + x_2 - 4x_3 \leq 2 \\ & \mbox{0} < x_1, x_2, x_3 \end{array}$

æ

P maximize subject to

$$\begin{array}{r} -4x_1-2x_2-x_3\\ -x_1-x_2+2x_3\leq -3\\ -4x_1-2x_2+x_3\leq -4\\ x_1+x_2-4x_3\leq 2\\ 0\leq x_1,x_2,x_3\end{array}$$

イロン イ団と イヨン イヨン

æ

P maximize subject to

$$\begin{array}{c} -4x_1 - 2x_2 - x_3 \\ -x_1 - x_2 + 2x_3 \leq -3 \\ -4x_1 - 2x_2 + x_3 \leq -4 \\ x_1 + x_2 - 4x_3 \leq 2 \\ 0 \leq x_1, x_2, x_3 \end{array}$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

| -1 | -1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -3 |
|----|----|----|---|---|---|----|
| -4 | -2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | -4 |
| 1 | 1 | -4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| -4 | -2 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

æ

 \mathcal{P} maximize subject to

$$\begin{array}{ccc} -4x_1 - 2x_2 - x_3 & \mathcal{D} & \text{minimiz} \\ -x_1 - x_2 + 2x_3 \leq -3 & \text{subject} \\ -4x_1 - 2x_2 + x_3 \leq -4 & \\ x_1 + x_2 - 4x_3 \leq 2 & \\ & 0 \leq x_1, x_2, x_3 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & -3y_1 - 4y_2 + 2y_3 \\ \text{subject to} & -y_1 - 4y_2 + y_3 \geq -4 \\ & -y_1 - 2y_2 + y_3 \geq -2 \\ & 2y_1 + y_2 - 4y_3 \geq -1 \\ & 0 \leq y_1, y_2, y_3 \end{array}$$

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

| -1 -4 | -1 -2 | 2 1 | 1 0 | 0 1 | 0 0 | -3 -4 | Not |
|----------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|----------|--------------------|
| 1 | 1 | -4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | primal foosible |
| -4 | -2 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ieasible. |

Dual feasible! The dual has feasible origin.

2

The tableau below is said to be *dual feasible* because the objective row coefficients are all non-positive, but it is not *primal feasible*.

The tableau below is said to be *dual feasible* because the objective row coefficients are all non-positive, but it is not *primal feasible*.

A tableau is optimal if and only if it is both primal feasible and dual feasible.

イロン イ団と イヨン イヨン

The tableau below is said to be *dual feasible* because the objective row coefficients are all non-positive, but it is not *primal feasible*.

| -1 | -1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -3 |
|----|----|----|---|---|---|----|
| -4 | -2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | -4 |
| 1 | 1 | -4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| -4 | -2 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

A tableau is optimal if and only if it is both primal feasible **and** dual feasible.

Can we design a pivot for this tableau that tries to move it toward primal feasibility while retaining dual feasibility?

$\begin{array}{lll} \mathcal{D} \\ \mbox{minimize} & -3y_1 - 4y_2 + 2y_3 \\ \mbox{subject to} & -y_1 - 4y_2 + y_3 \geq -4 \\ & -y_1 - 2y_2 + y_3 \geq -2 \\ & 2y_1 + y_2 - 4y_3 \geq -1 \\ & 0 \leq y_1, y_2, y_3 \end{array}$

æ

イロン イ団と イヨン イヨン

\mathcal{D} minimize

subject to

2

${\cal D} \\ {\rm minimize}$

subject to

2

イロン イ団 とくほと くほとう

æ



Increasing y_1 decreases the value of the dual objective.

æ

・ロト ・個ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

æ

æ

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

By how much can we increase the value of y_1 ?

æ

イロン イ団 とくほと くほとう

By how much can we increase the value of y_1 ?

$$\begin{array}{l} -y_1 - 4y_2 + y_3 \geq -4 \\ -y_1 - 2y_2 + y_3 \geq -2 \\ 2y_1 + y_2 - 4y_3 \geq -1 \end{array}$$

æ

By how much can we increase the value of y_1 ?

$$\begin{vmatrix} -y_1 - 4y_2 + y_3 \ge -4 \\ -y_1 - 2y_2 + y_3 \ge -2 \\ 2y_1 + y_2 - 4y_3 \ge -1 \end{vmatrix}$$
ratio
4/1
2/1

æ

By how much can we increase the value of y_1 ?

$$\begin{vmatrix} -y_1 - 4y_2 + y_3 \ge -4 \\ -y_1 - 2y_2 + y_3 \ge -2 \\ 2y_1 + y_2 - 4y_3 \ge -1 \end{vmatrix}$$
ratio
4/1
2/1

æ

By how much can we increase the value of y_1 ?

$$\begin{vmatrix} -y_1 - 4y_2 + y_3 \ge -4 \\ -y_1 - 2y_2 + y_3 \ge -2 \\ 2y_1 + y_2 - 4y_3 \ge -1 \end{vmatrix}$$
ratio
 $4/1$
 $2/1$

イロン イ団と イヨン イヨン



æ



イロン イ団と イヨン イヨン

| -1 | -1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -3 | \leftarrow pivot row |
|----|----|----|---|---|---|----|------------------------|
| -4 | -2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | -4 | |
| 1 | 1 | -4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | |
| -4 | -2 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

Any row having a negative rhs is a candidate pivot row.

æ

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

| -1 | -1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -3 | \leftarrow pivot row |
|----|----|----|---|---|---|----|------------------------|
| -4 | -2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | -4 | |
| 1 | 1 | -4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | |
| -4 | -2 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

Any row having a negative rhs is a candidate pivot row.

Form the ratios with the negative entries in pivot row.

| -1 | -1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -3 | \leftarrow pivot row |
|----|----|----|---|---|---|----|------------------------|
| -4 | -2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | -4 | |
| 1 | 1 | -4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | |
| -4 | -2 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

Any row having a negative rhs is a candidate pivot row.

Form the ratios with the negative entries in pivot row.

The pivot column is given by the smallest ratio.

| _ | pivot | _ | | | | | |
|----|--------------|----|---|---|---|----|-------------------------|
| CC | biumr | 1 | | | | | |
| | \downarrow | | | | | | |
| -1 | -1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -3 | $\leftarrow pivot row$ |
| -4 | -2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | -4 | |
| 1 | 1 | -4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | |
| -4 | -2 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

Any row having a negative rhs is a candidate pivot row.

Form the ratios with the negative entries in pivot row.

The pivot column is given by the smallest ratio.

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン



Any row having a negative rhs is a candidate pivot row.

Form the ratios with the negative entries in pivot row.

The pivot column is given by the smallest ratio.



æ



æ



2



æ

<四) <問: < 문) < 문) < 문) : < E) : < E



æ

<四) <問: < 문) < 문) < 문) : < E) : < E



$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & -2 & 0 & -1 & | & 4 \\ -2 & 0 & 0 & -7/2 & 1 & -3/2 & 7/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1/2 & 0 & -1/2 & 1/2 \\ -2 & 0 & 0 & -9/2 & 0 & -5/2 & 17/2 & optimal \\ \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 4 \\ 1/2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Lecture 11: The Dual Simplex Algorithm (Math Dept,

æ

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & -2 & 0 & -1 & | & 4 \\ -2 & 0 & 0 & -7/2 & 1 & -3/2 & 7/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1/2 & 0 & -1/2 & 1/2 \\ \hline -2 & 0 & 0 & -9/2 & 0 & -5/2 & | & 17/2 & | & optimal \\ \hline \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 4 \\ 1/2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 9/2 \\ 0 \\ 5/2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Lecture 11: The Dual Simplex Algorithm (Math Dept,

æ

,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & -2 & 0 & -1 & | & 4 \\ -2 & 0 & 0 & -7/2 & 1 & -3/2 & 7/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1/2 & 0 & -1/2 & 1/2 \\ \hline -2 & 0 & 0 & -9/2 & 0 & -5/2 & 17/2 & optimal \\ \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 4 \\ 1/2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 9/2 \\ 0 \\ 5/2 \end{pmatrix} ,$$

Optimal value = -17/2.

æ

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

Apply the dual simplex algorithm to the following problem.



æ

| -1 | -1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -3 | \leftarrow pivot row |
|----|----|----|----|---|---|----|------------------------|
| -4 | -2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | -4 | |
| 1 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | |
| -4 | -2 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1 | 1 | -2 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | |
| -2 | 0 | -3 | -2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | -1 | |
| -2 | 0 | -5 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | |

æ

| -1 | -1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -3 | \leftarrow pivot row |
|----|----|----|----|---|---|----|------------------------|
| -4 | -2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | -4 | |
| 1 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | |
| -4 | -2 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1 | 1 | -2 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | |
| -2 | 0 | -3 | -2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | -1 | \leftarrow pivot row |
| -2 | 0 | -5 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | |

æ



No negative entry in the pivot row! What does this mean?



No negative entry in the pivot row! What does this mean?

The dual problem is unbounded.



No negative entry in the pivot row! What does this mean?

The dual problem is unbounded. What can you say about the primal problem?



No negative entry in the pivot row! What does this mean?

The dual problem is unbounded. What can you say about the primal problem? The primal is necessarily infeasible by the Weak Duality Theorem.

Solve the following LP using the dual simplex algorithm.

| maximize | $-4x_{1}$ | — | 3 <i>x</i> ₂ | _ | $2x_3$ | | |
|------------|-----------|--------|-------------------------|---------|------------|--------|----|
| subject to | x_1 | | | — | <i>x</i> 3 | \leq | -1 |
| | $-x_1$ | _ | <i>x</i> ₂ | | | \leq | -2 |
| | x_1 | _ | <i>x</i> ₂ | _ | $2x_{3}$ | \leq | 0 |
| | 0 | \leq | x_1 , | x_2 , | <i>X</i> 3 | | |

イロン イ団 とくほと くほとう