## Math 407: Linear Optimization

The Fundamental Theorem of Linear Programming The Strong Duality Theorem Complementary Slackness



## 2 The Fundamental Theorem of linear Programming

Ouality Theory Revisited



æ

Phase I Formulate and solve the auxiliary problem. Two outcomes are possible:

æ

- Phase I Formulate and solve the auxiliary problem. Two outcomes are possible:
  - (i) The optimal value in the auxiliary problem is positive. In this case the original problem is infeasible.

- Phase I Formulate and solve the auxiliary problem. Two outcomes are possible:
  - (i) The optimal value in the auxiliary problem is positive. In this case the original problem is infeasible.
  - (ii) The optimal value is zero and an initial feasible tableau for the original problem is obtained.

- Phase I Formulate and solve the auxiliary problem. Two outcomes are possible:
  - (i) The optimal value in the auxiliary problem is positive. In this case the original problem is infeasible.
  - (ii) The optimal value is zero and an initial feasible tableau for the original problem is obtained.
- Phase II If the original problem is feasible, apply the simplex algorithm to the initial feasible tableau obtained from Phase I above. Again, two outcomes are possible:

- Phase I Formulate and solve the auxiliary problem. Two outcomes are possible:
  - (i) The optimal value in the auxiliary problem is positive. In this case the original problem is infeasible.
  - (ii) The optimal value is zero and an initial feasible tableau for the original problem is obtained.
- Phase II If the original problem is feasible, apply the simplex algorithm to the initial feasible tableau obtained from Phase I above. Again, two outcomes are possible:
  - (i) The LP is determined to be unbounded.

- Phase I Formulate and solve the auxiliary problem. Two outcomes are possible:
  - (i) The optimal value in the auxiliary problem is positive. In this case the original problem is infeasible.
  - (ii) The optimal value is zero and an initial feasible tableau for the original problem is obtained.
- Phase II If the original problem is feasible, apply the simplex algorithm to the initial feasible tableau obtained from Phase I above. Again, two outcomes are possible:
  - (i) The LP is determined to be unbounded.
  - (ii) An optimal basic feasible solution is obtained.

イロン イ団 とく ヨン イヨン

Every LP has the following three properties:

æ

Every LP has the following three properties:

(i) If it has no optimal solution, then it is either infeasible or unbounded.

Every LP has the following three properties:

(i) If it has no optimal solution, then it is either infeasible or unbounded.

(ii) If it has a feasible solution, then it has a basic feasible solution.

Every LP has the following three properties:

(i) If it has no optimal solution, then it is either infeasible or unbounded.

(ii) If it has a feasible solution, then it has a basic feasible solution.

(iii) If it is feasible and bounded, then it has an optimal basic feasible solution.

 $\begin{array}{lll} \mathcal{P} & \mbox{maximize} & c^T x & \mathcal{D} & \mbox{minimize} & b^T y \\ & \mbox{subject to} & Ax \leq b, \ 0 \leq x & \mbox{subject to} & A^T y \geq c, \ 0 \leq y \end{array}$ 

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

What is the dual to the dual?

2

$$b^{T} y \\ A^{T} y \ge c, \\ 0 \le y$$

· T

э.

$$\begin{array}{ll} b^T y & \text{Standard} \\ A^T y \geq c, & \Longrightarrow \\ 0 \leq y & \text{form} \end{array}$$

2

$$\begin{array}{lll} b^T y & {\sf Standard} & - {\sf maximize} & (-b)^T y \\ A^T y \geq c, & \Longrightarrow & {\sf subject to} & (-A^T) y \leq (-c), \\ 0 \leq y & {\sf form} & 0 \leq y. \end{array}$$

2

$$b^T y A^T y \ge c, 0 \le y$$

Standard  $\implies$ form

 $\begin{array}{ll} -\max & (-b)^{T}y\\ \text{subject to} & (-A^{T})y \leq (-c), \end{array}$  $0 \leq y$ .

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

minimize subject to

$$(-c)^T x$$
  
 $(-A^T)^T x \ge (-b),$   
 $0 \le x$ 

2

$$b^T y A^T y \ge c, 0 \le y$$

Standard  $\implies$ form

 $\begin{array}{ll} -\max & (-b)^{T}y\\ \text{subject to} & (-A^{T})y \leq (-c), \end{array}$ 0 < y.

0 < x.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

minimize subject to

$$\begin{array}{ll} (-c)^T x & \text{maximize} & c^T x \\ (-A^T)^T x \geq (-b), & \Longrightarrow & \text{subject to} & Ax \leq b, \\ 0 \leq x & 0 \leq x \end{array}$$

2

 $\begin{array}{lll} \text{minimize} & b^T y & \text{Standard} & -\max (-b)^T y \\ \text{subject to} & A^T y \geq c, & \Longrightarrow & \text{subject to} & (-A^T) y \leq (-c), \\ & 0 \leq y & \text{form} & 0 \leq y. \end{array}$ 

minimize subject to

$$\begin{array}{ll} (-c)^T x & \text{maximize} & c^T x \\ (-A^T)^T x \ge (-b), & \Longrightarrow & \text{subject to} & Ax \le b, \\ 0 \le x & 0 \le x & 0 \le x \end{array}$$

The dual of the dual is the primal.

æ

If  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is feasible for  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$  is feasible for  $\mathcal{D}$ , then

$$c^T x \leq y^T A x \leq b^T y.$$

Thus, if  $\mathcal{P}$  is unbounded, then  $\mathcal{D}$  is necessarily infeasible, and if  $\mathcal{D}$  is unbounded, then  $\mathcal{P}$  is necessarily infeasible. Moreover, if  $c^T \bar{x} = b^T \bar{y}$  with  $\bar{x}$  feasible for  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\bar{y}$  feasible for  $\mathcal{D}$ , then  $\bar{x}$  must solve  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\bar{y}$  must solve  $\mathcal{D}$ .

If  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is feasible for  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$  is feasible for  $\mathcal{D}$ , then

$$c^T x \leq y^T A x \leq b^T y.$$

Thus, if  $\mathcal{P}$  is unbounded, then  $\mathcal{D}$  is necessarily infeasible, and if  $\mathcal{D}$  is unbounded, then  $\mathcal{P}$  is necessarily infeasible. Moreover, if  $c^T \bar{x} = b^T \bar{y}$  with  $\bar{x}$  feasible for  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\bar{y}$  feasible for  $\mathcal{D}$ , then  $\bar{x}$  must solve  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\bar{y}$  must solve  $\mathcal{D}$ .

We combine the Weak Duality Theorem with the Fundamental Theorem of Linear Programming to obtain the *Strong Duality Theorem*.

If either  $\mathcal{P}$  or  $\mathcal{D}$  has a finite optimal value, then so does the other, the optimal values coincide, and optimal solutions to both  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  exist.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 >

If either  $\mathcal{P}$  or  $\mathcal{D}$  has a finite optimal value, then so does the other, the optimal values coincide, and optimal solutions to both  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  exist.

**Remark:** In general a finite optimal value does not imply the existence of a solution.

 $\min f(x) = e^x$ 

The optimal value is zero, but no solution exists.

Since the dual of the dual is the primal, we may as well assume that the primal has a finite optimal value.

æ

Since the dual of the dual is the primal, we may as well assume that the primal has a finite optimal value.

The Fundamental Theorem of Linear Programming says that an optimal basic feasible solution exists.

イロン イ団 とく ヨン イヨン

Since the dual of the dual is the primal, we may as well assume that the primal has a finite optimal value.

The Fundamental Theorem of Linear Programming says that an optimal basic feasible solution exists.

The optimal tableau is

$$\begin{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} RA & R & Rb \\ \hline c^T - y^T A & -y^T & -y^T b \end{bmatrix},$$

where we have already seen that y solves  $\mathcal{D}$ , and the optimal values coincide.

Since the dual of the dual is the primal, we may as well assume that the primal has a finite optimal value.

The Fundamental Theorem of Linear Programming says that an optimal basic feasible solution exists.

The optimal tableau is

$$\begin{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} RA & R & Rb \\ \hline c^T - y^T A & -y^T & -y^T b \end{bmatrix},$$

where we have already seen that y solves  $\mathcal{D}$ , and the optimal values coincide.

This concludes the proof.

**Theorem:** [WDT] If  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is feasible for  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$  is feasible for  $\mathcal{D}$ , then

$$c^T x \leq y^T A x \leq b^T y.$$

Thus, if  $\mathcal{P}$  is unbounded, then  $\mathcal{D}$  is necessarily infeasible, and if  $\mathcal{D}$  is unbounded, then  $\mathcal{P}$  is necessarily infeasible. Moreover, if  $c^T \bar{x} = b^T \bar{y}$  with  $\bar{x}$  feasible for  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\bar{y}$  feasible for  $\mathcal{D}$ , then  $\bar{x}$  must solve  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\bar{y}$  must solve  $\mathcal{D}$ .

**Theorem:** [WDT] If  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is feasible for  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$  is feasible for  $\mathcal{D}$ , then

$$c^T x \leq y^T A x \leq b^T y.$$

Thus, if  $\mathcal{P}$  is unbounded, then  $\mathcal{D}$  is necessarily infeasible, and if  $\mathcal{D}$  is unbounded, then  $\mathcal{P}$  is necessarily infeasible. Moreover, if  $c^T \bar{x} = b^T \bar{y}$  with  $\bar{x}$  feasible for  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\bar{y}$  feasible for  $\mathcal{D}$ , then  $\bar{x}$  must solve  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\bar{y}$  must solve  $\mathcal{D}$ .

The SDT implies that x solves  $\mathcal{P}$  and y solves  $\mathcal{D}$  if and only if (x, y) is a  $\mathcal{P}$ - $\mathcal{D}$  feasible pair and

$$c^T x = y^T A x = b^T y.$$

**Theorem:** [WDT] If  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is feasible for  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$  is feasible for  $\mathcal{D}$ , then

$$c^T x \leq y^T A x \leq b^T y.$$

Thus, if  $\mathcal{P}$  is unbounded, then  $\mathcal{D}$  is necessarily infeasible, and if  $\mathcal{D}$  is unbounded, then  $\mathcal{P}$  is necessarily infeasible. Moreover, if  $c^T \bar{x} = b^T \bar{y}$  with  $\bar{x}$  feasible for  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\bar{y}$  feasible for  $\mathcal{D}$ , then  $\bar{x}$  must solve  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\bar{y}$  must solve  $\mathcal{D}$ .

The SDT implies that x solves  $\mathcal{P}$  and y solves  $\mathcal{D}$  if and only if (x, y) is a  $\mathcal{P}$ - $\mathcal{D}$  feasible pair and

$$c^T x = y^T A x = b^T y.$$

We now examine the consequence of this equivalence.

The equation  $c^T x = y^T A x$  implies that

$$0 = x^{T}(A^{T}y - c) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j}(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{ij}y_{i} - c_{j}).$$

2

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

( 🗭

The equation  $c^T x = y^T A x$  implies that

$$0 = x^{T}(A^{T}y - c) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j}(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{ij}y_{i} - c_{j}).$$

$$\mathcal{P}$$
- $\mathcal{D}$  feasibility gives

$$0 \leq x_j$$
 and  $0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij}y_i - c_j$  for  $j = 1, \ldots, n$ .

2

The equation  $c^T x = y^T A x$  implies that

$$0 = x^{T}(A^{T}y - c) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j}(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{ij}y_{i} - c_{j}).$$

 $\mathcal{P}\text{-}\mathcal{D}$  feasibility gives

$$0 \le x_j$$
 and  $0 \le \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij}y_i - c_j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ .

Hence,  $(\clubsuit)$  can only hold if

$$x_j(\sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij}y_i - c_j) = 0$$
 for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , or equivalently,

$$x_j = 0$$
 or  $\sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} y_i = c_j$  or both for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ .

æ

イロン イ団 とく ヨン イヨン

Similarly, the equation  $y^T A x = b^T y$  implies that

$$0 = y^{T}(b - Ax) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} y_{i}(b_{i} - \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}x_{j}). \quad \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \leq y_{i} \\ 0 \leq b_{i} - \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}x_{j} \end{array}\right)$$

2

Similarly, the equation  $y^T A x = b^T y$  implies that

$$0 = y^{T}(b - Ax) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} y_{i}(b_{i} - \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}x_{j}). \quad \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \leq y_{i} \\ 0 \leq b_{i} - \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}x_{j} \end{array}\right)$$

Therefore,  $y_i(b_i - \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j) = 0$  i = 1, 2, ..., m.

#### Complementary Slackness

Similarly, the equation  $y^T A x = b^T y$  implies that

$$0 = y^{T}(b - Ax) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} y_{i}(b_{i} - \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}x_{j}). \quad \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \leq y_{i} \\ 0 \leq b_{i} - \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}x_{j} \end{array}\right)$$

Therefore,  $y_i(b_i - \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j) = 0$  i = 1, 2, ..., m.

Hence,

$$y_i = 0$$
 or  $\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j = b_i$  or both for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ .

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト 二日

## Complementary Slackness

$$c^T x = y^T A x = b^T y$$

 $\Leftrightarrow$ 

э.

$$c^T x = y^T A x = b^T y$$

$$\Leftrightarrow$$

• 
$$x_j = 0$$
 or  $\sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} y_i = c_j$  or both for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ .

2

$$c^T x = y^T A x = b^T y$$

$$\Leftrightarrow$$

• 
$$x_j = 0$$
 or  $\sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} y_i = c_j$  or both for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ .

• 
$$y_i = 0$$
 or  $\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j = b_i$  or both for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ .

2

#### Theorem:

The vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  solves  $\mathcal{P}$  and the vector  $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$  solves  $\mathcal{D}$  if and only if x is feasible for  $\mathcal{P}$  and y is feasible for  $\mathcal{D}$  and

(i) either 
$$0 = x_j$$
 or  $\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{ij}y_i = c_j$  or both for  $j = 1, ..., n$ , and  
(ii) either  $0 = y_i$  or  $\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}x_j = b_i$  or both for  $i = 1, ..., m$ .

#### **Corollary:**

The vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  solves  $\mathcal{P}$  if and only if x is feasible for  $\mathcal{P}$  and there exists a vector  $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$  feasible for  $\mathcal{D}$  and such that

(i) if 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}x_j < b$$
, then  $y_i = 0$ , for  $i = 1, ..., m$  and  
(ii) if  $0 < x_j$ , then  $\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{ij}y_i = c_j$ , for  $j = 1, ..., n$ .

Does

$$x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = (0, \frac{4}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{5}{3}, 0)$$

solve the LP

maximize 
$$7x_1 + 6x_2 + 5x_3 - 2x_4 + 3x_5$$

subject to  $x_1 + 3x_2 + 5x_3 - 2x_4 + 2x_5 \le 4$ 

$$4x_1 + 2x_2 - 2x_3 + x_4 + x_5 \leq 3$$

$$2x_1 + 4x_2 + 4x_3 - 2x_4 + 5x_5 \leq 5$$

æ

イロン イ団 とく ヨン イヨン

Does

$$x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = (0, \frac{4}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{5}{3}, 0)$$

solve the LP

maximize 
$$7x_1 + 6x_2 + 5x_3 - 2x_4 + 3x_5$$

subject to  $x_1 + 3x_2 + 5x_3 - 2x_4 + 2x_5 \le 4$  :  $y_1$ 

$$4x_1 + 2x_2 - 2x_3 + x_4 + x_5 \leq 3 : y_2$$

$$2x_1 + 4x_2 + 4x_3 - 2x_4 + 5x_5 \leq 5$$
 :  $y_3$ 

æ

The point

$$x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = (0, \frac{4}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{5}{3}, 0)$$

must be feasible for the LP.

æ

The point

$$x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = (0, \frac{4}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{5}{3}, 0)$$

must be feasible for the LP. Plugging into the constraints we get

イロン イ団 とく ヨン イヨン

The point

$$x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = (0, \frac{4}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{5}{3}, 0)$$

must be feasible for the LP. Plugging into the constraints we get

Can we use this information to construct a solution to the dual problem,  $(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4)$ ?

Recall that  
if 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}x_j < b$$
, then  $y_i = 0$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ .

æ

Recall that  
if 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}x_j < b$$
, then  $y_i = 0$ , for  $i = 1, ..., m$ .  
We have just showed that

æ

Recall that  
if 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}x_j < b$$
, then  $y_i = 0$ , for  $i = 1, ..., m$ .  
We have just showed that

æ

Recall that  
if 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}x_j < b$$
, then  $y_i = 0$ , for  $i = 1, ..., m$ .  
We have just showed that

æ

Also recall that if  $0 < x_j$ , then  $\sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij}y_i = c_j$ , for j = 1, ..., n.

Also recall that  
if 
$$0 < x_j$$
, then  $\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{ij}y_i = c_j$ , for  $j = 1, ..., n$ .  
Hence,

æ

Also recall that if  $0 < x_j$ , then  $\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{ij}y_i = c_j$ , for j = 1, ..., n. Hence,

$$3y_1 + 2y_2 + 4y_3 + y_4 = 6$$
 (since  $x_2 = \frac{4}{3} > 0$ )

æ

Also recall that  
if 
$$0 < x_j$$
, then  $\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{ij}y_i = c_j$ , for  $j = 1, ..., n$ .  
Hence,

$$3y_1 + 2y_2 + 4y_3 + y_4 = 6 \qquad (\text{since } x_2 = \frac{4}{3} > 0)$$
  
$$5y_1 - 2y_2 + 4y_3 + 2y_4 = 5 \qquad (\text{since } x_3 = \frac{2}{3} > 0)$$

æ

Also recall that  
if 
$$0 < x_j$$
, then  $\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{ij}y_i = c_j$ , for  $j = 1, ..., n$ .  
Hence,

$$3y_1 + 2y_2 + 4y_3 + y_4 = 6 \qquad (\text{since } x_2 = \frac{4}{3} > 0)$$
  

$$5y_1 - 2y_2 + 4y_3 + 2y_4 = 5 \qquad (\text{since } x_3 = \frac{2}{3} > 0)$$
  

$$-2y_1 + y_2 - 2y_3 - y_4 = -2 \qquad (\text{since } x_4 = \frac{5}{3} > 0)$$

2

Combining these observations gives the system

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 & 4 & 1 \\ 5 & -2 & 4 & 2 \\ -2 & 1 & -2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \\ y_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ 5 \\ -2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which any dual solution must satisfy.

Combining these observations gives the system

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 & 4 & 1 \\ 5 & -2 & 4 & 2 \\ -2 & 1 & -2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \\ y_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ 5 \\ -2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which any dual solution must satisfy.

This is a square system that we can try to solve for y.

3	2	4	1	6	
5	-2	4	2	5	
-2	1	$^{-2}$	-1	-2	
0	0	1	0	0	
3	2	0	1	6	r <sub>1</sub> -
5	$^{-2}$	0	2	5	<b>r</b> <sub>2</sub> -
-2	1	0	-1	-2	<b>r</b> 3 -
0	0	1	0	0	
1	3	0	0	4	r <sub>1</sub> -
1	0	0	0	1	<b>r</b> <sub>2</sub> -
-2	1	0	-1	-2	
0	0	1	0	0	

$$r_1 - 4r_4$$
  
 $r_2 - 4r_4$   
 $r_3 + 2r_4$   
 $r_1 + r_3$   
 $r_2 + 2r_3$ 

The Fundamental Theorem of Linear Programming Th

æ

						1	3	0	0	4	$r_1 + r_3$
3	2	4	1	6		1	0	Ũ	0	1	
5	-2	4	2	5		T	0	0	0	1	$r_2 + 2r_3$
-2	1	$^{-2}$	-1	-2		-2	1	0	$^{-1}$	-2	
_	-	_	-	_		0	0	1	0	0	
0	0	1	0	0		0	3	0	0	3	$r_1 - r_2$
3	2	0	1	6	$r_1 - 4r_4$	1	0	0	0	1	•1 •2
5	-2	0	2	5	$r_2 - 4r_4$	1	v	-	-	-	
-2	1	0	-1	$^{-2}$	$r_3 + 2r_4$	0	1	0	$^{-1}$	0	$r_3 + 2r_2$
0	0	1	0	0	13 1 -14	0	0	1	0	0	
	•	1	-	-		1	0	0	0	1	$r_2$
1	3	0	0	4	$r_1 + r_3$	0	1	0	0	1	$\frac{1}{3}r_1$
1	0	0	0	1	$r_2 + 2r_3$	-	T	-	-	1	-
-2	1	0	-1	$^{-2}$		0	0	1	0	0	<i>r</i> 4
0	0	1	0	0		0	0	0	1	1	$-r_3 + \frac{1}{3}r_1$
	0	1	0	0							5

æ

3	2	4	1	6		1	3	0	0	4	$r_1 + r_3$
5	-2	4	2	5		1	0	0	0	1	$r_2 + 2r_3$
-	-2			-		-2	1	0	$^{-1}$	-2	
-2	1	-2	$^{-1}$	-2		-	0	-	-	_	
0	0	1	0	0		0	U	1	0	0	
3	2	0	1	6	r 1 r	0	3	0	0	3	$r_1 - r_2$
-	~	-	1	-	$r_1 - 4r_4$	1	0	0	0	1	
5	-2	0	2	5	$r_2 - 4r_4$	0	1	-	_1	0	
-2	1	0	$^{-1}$	-2	$r_3 + 2r_4$	0	T	0	-	0	$r_3 + 2r_2$
0	0	1	0	0	5	0	0	1	0	0	
	-	1	-	-		1	0	0	0	1	$r_2$
1	3	0	0	4	$r_1 + r_3$	0	1	0	0	1	-
1	0	0	0	1	$r_2 + 2r_3$	-	T	-	-	T	$\frac{1}{3}r_1$
-2	1	0	$^{-1}$	-2	2 . 0	0	0	1	0	0	<i>r</i> 4
_	1	1	_			0	0	0	1	1	$-r_3 + \frac{1}{3}r_1$
0	0	1	0	0							

This gives the solution  $(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) = (1, 1, 0, 1)$ .

æ

3	2	1	1	6		1	3	0	0	4	$r_1 + r_3$
5	_2	4	2	5		1	0	0	0	1	$r_2 + 2r_3$
-	-2		-	-		-2	1	0	_1	-2	
$^{-2}$	1	-2	$^{-1}$	-2		-	-	-	-	_	
0	0	1	0	0		0	0	1	0	0	
	-			-		0	3	0	0	3	$r_1 - r_2$
3	2	0	1	6	$r_1 - 4r_4$	1	0	0	0	1	1 2
5	-2	0	2	5	$r_2 - 4r_4$	1	Ŭ	-	-	-	
-2	1	0	$^{-1}$	-2	$r_3 + 2r_4$	0	1	0	$^{-1}$	0	$r_3 + 2r_2$
_	1	0	_	_	$13 \pm 214$	0	0	1	0	0	
0	0	1	0	0		- 1	<u> </u>	-	-	1	
1	3	0	0	4	$r_1 + r_3$	T	U	0	0	1	$r_2$
1	•	Ũ	-			0	1	0	0	1	$\frac{1}{3}r_1$
1	0	0	0	1	$r_2 + 2r_3$	0	0	1	0	0	-
$^{-2}$	1	0	$^{-1}$	-2		Ũ	Ũ	-	-	0	<b>r</b> 4
0	0	1	0	0		0	0	0	1	1	$-r_3 + \frac{1}{3}r_1$
	0	T	0	0							

This gives the solution  $(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) = (1, 1, 0, 1)$ . Is this dual feasible?

æ

$$y = (y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) = (1, 1, 0, 1)$$

2

$$y = (y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) = (1, 1, 0, 1)$$

s

Clearly,  $0 \le y$  and by construction the 2nd, 3rd, and 4th of the linear inequality constraints are satisfied with equality.

$$y = (y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) = (1, 1, 0, 1)$$

Clearly,  $0 \le y$  and by construction the 2nd, 3rd, and 4th of the linear inequality constraints are satisfied with equality.

We need to check the first and inequalities.

イロン イ団 とく ヨン イヨン

$$y = (y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) = (1, 1, 0, 1)$$

Clearly,  $0 \le y$  and by construction the 2nd, 3rd, and 4th of the linear inequality constraints are satisfied with equality.

We need to check the first and inequalities.

First: 1 + 4 + 0 + 3 = 8 > 7

$$y = (y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) = (1, 1, 0, 1)$$

Clearly,  $0 \le y$  and by construction the 2nd, 3rd, and 4th of the linear inequality constraints are satisfied with equality.

We need to check the first and inequalities.

First: 1 + 4 + 0 + 3 = 8 > 7

Fifth:  $2 + 1 + 0 - 2 = 1 \not\geq 3$ , the fifth dual inequality is violated.

$$y = (y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) = (1, 1, 0, 1)$$

Clearly,  $0 \le y$  and by construction the 2nd, 3rd, and 4th of the linear inequality constraints are satisfied with equality.

We need to check the first and inequalities.

First: 1 + 4 + 0 + 3 = 8 > 7

Fifth:  $2 + 1 + 0 - 2 = 1 \ge 3$ , the fifth dual inequality is violated. Hence,  $x = (0, \frac{4}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{5}{3}, 0)$  cannot be optimal!

イロン イ団 とく ヨン イヨン

Does the point x = (1, 1, 1, 0) solve the following LP?

maximize	$4x_1$	$+2x_{2}$	$+2x_{3}$	$+4x_{4}$		
subject to	$x_1$	$+3x_{2}$	$+2x_{3}$	$+ x_4$	$\leq$	7
	$x_1$	$+ x_2$	$+ x_3$	$+2x_{4}$	$\leq$	3
	$2x_1$		$+ x_3$	$+ x_4$	$\leq$	3
	$x_1$	$+ x_2$		$+2x_{4}$	$\leq$	2
	$0 \leq$	$x_1, x_2,$	$x_3, x_4$			