Characterization of solution sets of convex programs

J.V. Burke

Department of Mathematics, GN-50, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA

M.C. Ferris

Computer Sciences Department, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706, USA

Received June 1989
Revised January 1990

This paper gives several characterizations of the solution set of convex programs. No differentiability of the functions involved in the problem definition is assumed. The result is a generalization of the results given in [4]. Furthermore, the subgradients attaining the minimum principle are explicitly characterized, and this characterization is shown to be independent of any solution.

solution sets * convex programs * nonsmooth optimization

1. Introduction

The purpose of this note is to extend the results given in [4] concerning the solution set of the optimization problem

$$\text{minimize} \quad f(x)$$
$$\text{subject to} \quad x \in S,$$

where $f : V \mapsto \overline{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$ is an extended real valued function which is assumed to be proper and convex and S is a convex subset of V, a real, locally convex topological vector space (e.g. $\mathbb{R}^n$). We shall assume throughout that the solution set, which we denote by $\overline{S} := \arg\min_{x \in S} f(x)$, is nonempty.

In [4], Mangasarian provides a characterization of the solution set of a convex programming problem with differentiable data. The result is significant for many reasons. In particular, an understanding of the nature of the solution set to convex programs is fundamental to a deeper understanding of several important optimization problem models, e.g. minimax problems, semi-infinite programming, goal programming, bi-level programming, and multiple objective programming. It is also essential for understanding the behavior of solution techniques in the presence of multiple optima. In this note we extend Mangasarian's characterization to the general convex programming problem wherein the objective function may be nondifferentiable and extended real valued. Such an extension should have wide applicability since many of the problem models stated above make use of nondifferentiable, and in some cases, extended real valued objective and constraint functions. In our own work [1] we have used the result to analyze the behavior of sharp minima in convex programming.

The characterization of the solution set of a convex program that we provide in this paper follows. Suppose that $\bar{x} \in \overline{S}$ and A is a convex set satisfying $\overline{S} \subseteq A \subseteq S$. We prove that

$$\overline{S} = \{ x \in S \mid \partial f(x) \cap -N(x \mid S) = \partial f(\bar{x}) \cap -N(\bar{x} \mid S) \}$$
$$= \{ x \in A \mid \partial f(x) \cap -N(x \mid A) = \partial f(\bar{x}) \cap -N(\bar{x} \mid A) \}.$$

These two results follow easily from the key lemma that the sets $\partial f(x) \cap - N(x \mid S)$ and $\partial f(x) \cap - N(x \mid A)$ are independent of which $x \in \bar{S}$ is chosen as a representer.

The following notation will be used. If C is a convex set, then $N(x \mid C)$ is the normal cone to C at $x \in C$ defined by

$$N(x \mid C) := \{ z \mid \langle z, c - x \rangle \leqslant 0 \text{ for all } y \in C \}.$$

The convex subdifferential of f at x, $\partial f(x)$, is given by

$$\partial f(x) := \{ x^* \mid f(z) \geqslant f(x) + \langle x^*, z - x \rangle \}.$$

The relative interior of a convex set C is denoted by ri C and the effective domain of an extended real valued function f is defined as

$$\operatorname{dom} f := \{ x \in V \mid f(x) < +\infty \}.$$

2. Results

The following characterization of the solution set of a convex program is well-known. It is often referred to as the minimum principle.

Lemma 1. *Suppose $f: V \mapsto \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is a proper convex function and $S \neq \emptyset$ is a convex subset of V. If either*
  (a) *V is finite dimensional and* ri dom $f \cap$ ri $S \neq \emptyset$, *or*
  (b) *$\bar{x}$ is a point of continuity for f, then $\bar{x} \in \bar{S}$ if and only if $0 \in \partial f(\bar{x}) + N(\bar{x} \mid S)$.*

A proof of this result under assumption (a) is given in [5, Theorem 27.4] and under assumption (b) in [2, Proposition 5.6]. The result can be rewritten in the following manner, which will be more convenient for this note

$$\bar{x} \in \bar{S} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \partial f(\bar{x}) \cap - N(\bar{x} \mid S) \neq \emptyset.$$

In [4], an elegant characterization of the solution set of a differentiable convex program was given, and the fact that $\nabla f(x)$ is a constant on the solution set was also established. Both of these results were extended to the nonsmooth case, but the corresponding results are not nearly as elegant and useful since they involve some (unspecified) subgradient and the relative interior of the solution set. Since the differentiable results are useful as a theoretical too [1,3], we would like to characterize the subgradients which identify the solution set and remove the relative interiority assumption. In this note we prove that this can be done and show that the differentiable results have exact analogues in the nonsmooth case. First of all, we show that the subgradients which achieve the minimum principle are a constant of the problem. Using this result, we establish a generalization of the differentiable results of [4].

In the following lemma we show that $\partial f(x) \cap - N(x \mid S)$ is constant on the solution set of a convex program. This result has not been given before to the best knowledge of the authors. Note that if f is differentiable, the constancy of the gradient on the solution set follows immediately from part (a) of the lemma.

Lemma 2. (a) *$\partial f(x) \cap - N(x \mid S)$ is independent of $x \in \bar{S}$.*
  (b) *Let $A \subseteq S$ be a convex set with $\bar{S} \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Then $\partial f(x) \cap - N(x \mid A)$ is independent of $x \in \bar{S} \cap A$.*

Proof. (a) Let $\bar{x} \in \bar{S}$ and take $v \in \partial f(\bar{x}) \cap - N(\bar{x} \mid S)$. $-v \in N(\bar{x} \mid S)$ gives

$$\langle v, x - \bar{x} \rangle \geqslant 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in S; \tag{1}$$

$v \in \partial f(\bar{x})$ implies that

$$\langle v, x - \bar{x} \rangle \leqslant f(x) - f(\bar{x}) \quad \text{for all } x. \tag{2}$$

Let $\tilde{x} \in \bar{S}$. It follows from (1) that $\langle v, \tilde{x} - \bar{x} \rangle \geqslant 0$ and from (2) that $\langle v, \tilde{x} - \bar{x} \rangle \leqslant 0$, so that

$$\langle v, \tilde{x} - \bar{x} \rangle = 0. \tag{3}$$

Substituting (3) in (1) we find $\langle v, x - \tilde{x} \rangle \geqslant 0$, for all $x \in S$, so $-v \in N(\tilde{x} \mid S)$. Using (3) in (2) and $\tilde{x} \in \bar{S}$ gives $\langle v, x - \tilde{x} \rangle \leqslant f(x) - f(\tilde{x})$, for all x, implying $v \in \partial f(\tilde{x})$. Hence $v \in \partial f(\tilde{x}) \cap - N(\tilde{x} \mid S)$. The result now follows since $\tilde{x}$ and $\bar{x}$ are arbitrary in $\bar{S}$.

(b) Consider

$$\text{minimize} \quad f(x)$$

$$\text{subject to} \quad x \in A.$$

Applying part (a) to this problem gives the required result. $\square$

We remark that although the sets described above are constant on the solution set in general they are not the same set. The following example exhibits this fact.

Example 3. Let $f(x, y) = |x|$ and let $S = \{(x, y) \mid x \geqslant 0\}$. Then $\bar{S} = \{(0, y) \mid y \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and so for $z = (0, y)$,

$$\partial f(z) \cap - N(z \mid S) = [0, 1] \times \{0\}$$

and

$$\partial f(z) \cap - N(z \mid \bar{S}) = [-1, 1] \times \{0\}$$

which are clearly different.

The following lemma enables us to relate the above results to those given in [4]. Note that in the remainder of the paper we assume that $V \equiv \mathbb{R}^n$.

Lemma 4. *If* $\bar{x} \in$ ri $\bar{S}$ *then* $\partial f(\bar{x}) \subseteq - N(\bar{x} \mid \bar{S})$.

Proof. Let $x^* \in \partial f(\bar{x})$, so that $0 \geqslant \langle x^*, z - \bar{x} \rangle$, $\forall z \in \bar{S}$. It follows from [5, Theorem 6.4] and $\bar{x} \in$ ri $\bar{S}$ that for each $y \in \bar{S}$ there is some $\varepsilon > 0$ with $\bar{x} - \varepsilon(y - \bar{x}) \in \bar{S}$. Hence $0 \geqslant \langle x^*, \bar{x} - \varepsilon(y - \bar{x}) - \bar{x} \rangle$, which implies that $0 \geqslant \langle - x^*, y - \bar{x} \rangle$ as required. $\square$

In [4, Lemma 1a], Mangasarian showed that the subdifferential is constant on the relative interior of the solution set of a convex program. This follows from the above result, since Lemma 4 shows that $\partial f(z) = \partial f(z) \cap - N(z \mid \bar{S})$ on the relative interior of the solution set and Lemma 2(a) shows the latter set to be a constant of the problem. However, this set is not the set where the minimum principle is achieved as the example shows, that is, there are some subgradients in this set which do not achieve the minimum principle, and this is precisely the reason that the subgradient is not specified explicitly in [4, Theorem 1a].

In the following theorem we give another characterization of the solution set. In contrast to [4, Theorem 1a] we describe precisely the subgradients which are used to form our characterization.

Theorem 5. *Suppose* $\bar{x} \in \bar{S}$. *Let*

$$\tilde{S} := \{ x \in S \mid \partial f(x) \cap - N(x \mid S) = \partial f(\bar{x}) \cap - N(\bar{x} \mid S) \}$$

and let A *be a convex set with* $\bar{S} \subseteq A \subseteq S$ *and*

$$\hat{S}_A := \{ x \in A \mid \partial f(x) \cap - N(x \mid A) = \partial f(\bar{x}) \cap - N(\bar{x} \mid A) \}.$$

Then $\bar{S} = \tilde{S} = \hat{S}_A$.

Proof. $\bar{S} \subset \tilde{S}$: Let $z \in \bar{S}$. Then $z \in S$ and by Lemma 2(a) it follows that $z \in \tilde{S}$.

$\tilde{S} \subset \hat{S}_A$: Let $z \in \tilde{S}$. Since $\bar{x} \in \bar{S}$, $\partial f(\bar{x}) \cap - N(\bar{x} \mid S) \neq \emptyset$, so $\exists v \in \partial f(z) \cap - N(z \mid S)$. Therefore $z \in \bar{S}$ since $0 \in \partial f(z) + N(z \mid S)$ and so from Lemma 2(b),

$$\partial f(z) \cap - N(z \mid A) = \partial f(\bar{x}) \cap - N(\bar{x} \mid A)$$

which implies that $z \in \hat{S}_A$.

$\hat{S}_A \subset \bar{S}$: Let $z \in \hat{S}_A$. Then $z \in S$ and note that $\partial f(\bar{x}) \cap - N(\bar{x} \mid S) \neq \emptyset$, since $\bar{x} \in \bar{S}$ which implies that $\partial f(\bar{x}) \cap - N(\bar{x} \mid A) \neq \emptyset$, since $A \subseteq S$. Hence $\exists v \in \partial f(z) \cap - N(z \mid A)$. But $v \in \partial f(z)$ implies $f(y) \geq f(z) + \langle v, y - z \rangle$, for all y and $-v \in N(z \mid A)$ implies $\langle v, y - z \rangle \leq 0$, for all $y \in A$. Therefore,

$$f(y) \geq \begin{cases} f(z) + \langle v, y - z \rangle & \text{for all } y, \\ f(z) & \text{for all } y \in A. \end{cases}$$

Thus $z \in \bar{S}$ since z is feasible and has objective value at least as good as the optimal value, since $\bar{S} \subseteq A$. □

The differentiable result now follows immediately, since by Theorem 5, $\bar{S} = \hat{S}_A$ with $A = \bar{S}$, and so if $x \in \bar{S}$ then $\partial f(x) \subseteq \{\nabla f(\bar{x})\}$ and $-\nabla f(\bar{x}) \in N(x \mid \bar{S})$.

Corollary 6 ([4, Theorem 1]). *Let f be a differentiable convex function and $\bar{x} \in \bar{S}$. Then*

$$\bar{S} = \{ x \in S \mid \langle \nabla f(\bar{x}), x - \bar{x} \rangle \leq 0, \nabla f(x) = \nabla f(\bar{x}) \}.$$

Using Theorem 5, this corollary has the following generalization.

Corollary 7. *Let $A \subset S$ be such that $A \cap \bar{S} \neq \emptyset$. Choose $\bar{x} \in \bar{S} \cap A$ and set*

$$\hat{S}_A := \{ x \in A \mid \partial f(x) \cap - N(x \mid A) = \partial f(\bar{x}) \cap - N(\bar{x} \mid A) \}.$$

Then $\bar{S} \cap A = \hat{S}_A = \arg\min_{x \in A} f(x)$.

Acknowledgement

References

[1] J.V. Burke and M.C. Ferris, "The sharpness of functions on sets", in preparation, 1989.

[2] I. Ekeland and R. Temam, *Convex Analysis and Variational Problems*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1976.

[3] M.C. Ferris and O.L. Mangasarian, "Finite perturbation of convex programs", Technical Report 802, Computer Sciences Department, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706, October 1988; revised June 1989.

[4] O.L. Mangasarian, "A simple characterization of solution sets of convex programs", *Oper. Res. Lett.* 7 (1), 21–26 (1988).

[5] R.T. Rockafellar, *Convex Analysis*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1970.