1. (a) Give a counterexample to each following statement:
i. Every one-to-one function from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ is onto.

ANSWER: $f(x)=e^{x}$ is one-to-one and not onto from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}$.
(Another example is $f(x)=\arctan (x)$ )
ii. Every unbounded function from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ is monotone.

ANSWER: $f(x)=x^{2}$ is unbounded and not monotone from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}$.
(Other examples are $f(x)=x^{4}$ and $f(x)=x^{3}-x$ )
PROBLEM NOTES: Most people got full credit on this problem.

- $f(x)=\frac{1}{x}$ is not function $\operatorname{FROM} \mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}$. That is, it is not defined on all of $\mathbb{R}$, so it is doesn't work as a counterexample to (i) or (ii).
- $f(x)=x^{3}+x$ is not a counterexample to (ii), it is always increasing to the second part.
- On (ii), most people used $f(x)=x^{3}-x$, but $f(x)=x^{2}$ is a simpler example.
(b) Give the coefficient of $x^{19}$ in the expansion of $(x+2)^{21}$.

ANSWER:

$$
\binom{21}{19}=\frac{21!}{19!2!}=\frac{21 \cdot 20}{2 \cdot 1}=21 \cdot 10=210
$$

The term with $x^{19}$ would look like $210 x^{19} 2^{2}$. So the coefficient of $x^{19}$ is

$$
210 \cdot 4=840 .
$$

PROBLEM NOTES: Most people got full credit on this problem as well (it was just like a problem on the Fall 2008 Exam 2).

- A couple people forgot about the 2.
(c) Let $g: \mathbb{R}-\{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $g(x)=5+\frac{3}{x}$.

Prove $g$ is injective.
PROOF: Assume $g\left(x_{1}\right)=g\left(x_{2}\right)$ for some $x_{1}, x_{2}$ in $\mathbb{R}-\{0\}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
5+\frac{3}{x_{1}} & =5+\frac{3}{x_{2}} & & \text { (from the definition of } g \text { ) } \\
5 x_{1} x_{2}+3 x_{2} & =5 x_{1} x_{2}+3 x_{1} & & \text { (multiplying both sides by } x_{1} x_{2} \text { ) } \\
3 x_{2} & =3 x_{1} & & \text { (canceling } \left.5 x_{1} x_{2}\right) \\
x_{2} & =x_{1} & & \text { (canceling } 3 \text { ) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $g$ is one-to-one.

PROBLEM NOTES: Almost everyone got this problem. It turned out to be the easiest problem on the page.
2. (a) Use the Euclidean algorithm to compute $\operatorname{gcd}(208,84)$.
(You MUST show me the steps of the Euclidean algorithm to get full credit)
ANSWER:

$$
\begin{aligned}
208 & =(2) 84+(40) \\
84 & =(2) 40+(4) \\
40 & =(10) 4+(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\operatorname{gcd}(208,84)=4$.
(b) Does $208 x+84 y=15$ have a solution for $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ ? If so, find the solution for $x$ and $y$ that is given by Euclidean algorithm. If not, explain why.
ANSWER: $\operatorname{gcd}(208,84)=4$ DOES NOT DIVIDE 15. By the LDE Theorem, there is no integer solution.
(c) Does $208 x+84 y=20$ has a solution for $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ ? If so, find the solution for $x$ and $y$ that is given by the Euclidean algorithm. If not, explain why.
ANSWER: $\operatorname{gcd}(208,84)=4$ DOES DIVIDE 20. Back solving in the Euclidean algorithm gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4=84-(2) 40 \\
& 4=84-(2)(208-(2) 84) \\
& 4=84-(2) 208+(4) 84 \\
& 4=208(-2)+84(5)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, one solution to $208 x_{0}+84 y_{0}=4$ is $x_{0}=-2$ and $y_{0}=5$.
To get a solution for $208 x+84 y=20$ we multiply by 5 to get $208\left(5 x_{0}\right)+84\left(5 y_{0}\right)=20$ which gives the solution $x=-10$ and $y=25$.
PROBLEM NOTES: Scores were good ((a) was worth 6, (b) was 2, (c) was 4).

- In (c), I said you need to find the solution for $x$ and $y$ that is given by the Euclidean algorithm. You needed to back solve (and then multiply by 5).
(d) Prove that if 3 divides $a$ and 3 divides $b$, then 9 divides $6 b+(a+1)^{3}-1$.

PROOF: Since 3 divides $a$ and 3 divides $b$, there exists integers $m$ and $n$ such that $a=3 m$ and $b=3 n$.
Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
6 b+(a+1)^{3}-1 & =6 b+a^{3}+3 a^{2}+3 a+1-1 & & \text { (by the binomial theorem) } \\
& =6(3 m)+(3 n)^{3}+3(3 n)^{2}+3(3 n) & & \text { (by substitution) } \\
& =18 m+27 n^{3}+27 n^{2}+9 n & & \text { (simplification) } \\
& =9\left(2 m+3 n^{3}+3 n^{2}+n\right) & & \text { (factoring) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, 9 divides $6 b+(a+1)^{3}-1$.

PROBLEM NOTES: The scores were very good on this problem.

- If you were stumped, you should have started with the definition of divides.
- I did NOT say that $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)=3$. In fact, from class, we can say that 3 divides $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)$, but we can't say $3=\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)$, so the LDE theorem does not apply (don't use this theorem if the gcd function isn't in the problem).
- Some of you multiplied $(a+1)^{3}$ out the long way. Use the binomial theorem, it makes your work faster.

3. Define $G_{0}=1, G_{1}=1$ and $G_{n}=1+3 G_{n-1}-2 G_{n-2}$ for $n \geq 2$.

Using strong induction, prove that $G_{n}=2^{n}-n$ for all integers $n \geq 0$.

## PROOF:

Base Step:
For $n=0, G_{0}=1$, by given, and $2^{n}-n=2^{0}-0=1$.
For $n=1, G_{1}=1$, by given, and $2^{n}-n=2^{1}-1=1$.
Inductive Step: Assume $G_{i}=2^{i}-i$ for $i=0,1, \ldots, k$ for some $k \geq 1$.
Using the recurrence relation gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{k+1} & =1+3 G_{k}-2 G_{k-1} \\
& =1+3\left(2^{k}-k\right)-2\left(2^{k-1}-(k-1)\right) \\
& =1+3 \cdot 2^{k}-3 k-2^{k}+2 k-2 \\
& =2 \cdot 2^{k}-k-1 \\
& =2^{k+1}-(k+1)
\end{aligned}
$$

(by the given definition)
(by the ind. hyp. twice)
(expanding and noting $2 \cdot 2^{k-1}=2^{k}$ )
(combining and simplifying)
(simplifying)

Thus, $G_{n}=2^{n}-n$ for all integers $n \geq 0$.

PROBLEM NOTES: There were a couple common mistakes on this problem. There were too many who didn't know the structure of a strong induction proof. Please look back at my Ch. 3 review (bottom of the first and top of the second page). When you prepare for a test in this class your first job is to know the structure of the types of proofs we have been doing!

- Some students did not lay out the format for a strong induction proof. You need to correctly state the strong inductive hypothesis (some stated the regular inductive hypothesis, which wouldn't work because you needed to replace $G_{k-1}$ during the induction). In strong induction, we assume the equality holds for $i=0,1, \ldots k$ and we prove it holds for $i=k+1$ (we never gave a form of induction that only assume $k$ and $k-1$ ).
- Some of you are too easily distracted by the definition of $G_{n}$. You are not proving the definition of $G_{n}$. The statement you are proving is $G_{n}=2^{n}-n$ for all integers $n \geq 0$. So your base step is $n=0$ (and you also have to do $n=1$ so that you can use the recurrence formula in the inductive step).
- Four or five students made serious algebra mistakes. $3 \cdot 2^{k}$ is NOT the same as $6^{k}$ and $2 \cdot 2^{k}$ is NOT the same as $4^{k}$. Be careful with your algebra, in courses after this one, instructors will expect that you are very comfortable with algebra.

4. (a) Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function and define the function $h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $h(x)=2 x-f(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.
Prove that if $f$ is nonincreasing, then $h$ is increasing.
PROOF: Let $x_{1}, x_{2}$ be in $\mathbb{R}$ such that $x_{1}<x_{2}$.
Since $f$ is nonincreasing, we have $f\left(x_{1}\right) \geq f\left(x_{2}\right)$.
Multiplying by (-1) gives $-f\left(x_{1}\right) \leq-f\left(x_{2}\right)$.
Adding $2 x_{1}$ to both sides gives $2 x_{1}+\left[-f\left(x_{1}\right)\right] \leq 2 x_{1}+\left[-f\left(x_{2}\right)\right]$. Also since $x_{1}<x_{2}$, we have $2 x_{1}<2 x_{2}$. Thus,

$$
2 x_{1}-f\left(x_{1}\right) \leq 2 x_{1}-f\left(x_{2}\right)<2 x_{2}-f\left(x_{2}\right) .
$$

Hence, $h\left(x_{1}\right)<h\left(x_{2}\right)$ and, therefore, $h$ is increasing.

PROBLEM NOTES: Most of you did very well on this problem.

- You should have known to start with $x_{1}<x_{2}$. A few had the wrong definition of nonincreasing.
- If you add a strict inequality to a nonstrict inequality, the result is a strict inequality (for example, adding $3<4$ and $5 \leq 5$ gives $8<9$ ).
- A few of you missed the fact that the inequality $f\left(x_{1}\right) \geq f\left(x_{2}\right)$ flips when multiplied by -1.
(b) Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ and $g: B \rightarrow C$ be functions and define the function $h: A \rightarrow C$ by $h(x)=g(f(x))$ for all $x \in A$. Prove that if $h$ is onto and $g$ is one-to-one, then $f$ is onto.
PROOF: Let $b \in B$.
Since $g$ is defined from $B$ to $C, g(b)=c$ for some $c \in C$
(i.e. $c$ is the particular output that came from $b$ ).

Since $h$ is onto, $h(a)=c$ for some $a \in A$.
By definition of $h, g(f(a))=h(a)=c$.
Hence, $g(b)=c=g(f(a))$ and since $g$ is one-to-one, $b=f(a)$ for some $a \in A$.

PROBLEM NOTES: Far too many of you didn't know how to start a proof that $f$ is onto. Please look back at my Ch. 4 review (midway down the first page). When you prepare for a test in this class your first job is to know the structure of the types of proofs we have been doing!

- If you didn't start with $b \in B$, then I should have given you a zero on the problem because you don't know the definition of onto. But I did find ways to give partial credit. The goal of an onto proof is to show that given any element from the target of the function (in this case $B$ ), that there is an element in $A$ that maps to be.
- Thus, after you start with a particular $b \in B$, you explain (using this particular $b$ ), why you can get $f(a)=b$. A few of you started with $b \in B$, but then the symbol $b$ never appear again in your proof (this does not make sense).
- Some of you were completely ignoring the sets. I saw a few exams where elements of $C$ were being plugged into $g$ (this does not make sense).
- A few of you started by saying "Let $b \in B$ such that $f(x)=b$ for some $x \in A$." This is assuming the conclusion and doesn't make sense. The part "... $f(x)=b$ for some $x \in A$." is what you are trying to end with.

