

Math 308C Midterm II – Solutions

1. Let A be a (3×3) matrix such that

$$A \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad A \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \end{bmatrix}$ is an unknown vector in \mathbb{R}^3 .

For each of the following, determine whether there exists a vector $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that A will have the given rank and nullity. If so, give an example of such a \mathbf{b} (with actual numbers) – no explanation required. If not, briefly explain why not.

a. (3 pts.) rank = 3 and nullity = 0?

No such \mathbf{b} . If the nullity of A were 0, then $\mathcal{N}(A) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$. But since $A \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, we see that $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T \in \mathcal{N}(A)$, a contradiction. So the nullity of A must be at least 1.

b. (3 pts.) rank = 2 and nullity = 1?

Yes, such a \mathbf{b} exists. The easiest way to see this is to consider $\mathcal{R}(A)$. Since $A \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$, we have $\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}^T \in \mathcal{R}(A)$ by definition, so the rank of A is at least 1. And since $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{R}(A)$ automatically, if we choose a vector \mathbf{b} which is linearly independent from $\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}^T$ (i.e. not a scalar multiple of it), then the dimension of $\mathcal{R}(A)$ will be at least 2. Since the nullity of A is at least 1 (see part (a)), the rank-nullity theorem will then tell us that the rank of A is exactly 2 since $n = 3$. Thus we can choose any \mathbf{b} that is not a scalar multiple of $\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}^T$ to get rank = 2 and nullity = 1, e.g. $\mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ or $\mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 5 \\ 18 \end{bmatrix}$, etc.

(cont'd next page)

c. (3 pts.) rank = 1 and nullity = 2?

Yes, such a \mathbf{b} exists. This time we can either revisit our reasoning in part (b) or just consider $\mathcal{N}(A)$. Our reasoning in part (b) suggested that if we did choose a \mathbf{b} that was a scalar multiple of $[-1 \ 3 \ 2]^T$, we would only end up with a rank of 1, since then $\{[-1 \ 3 \ 2]^T\}$ would be a basis for all of $\mathcal{R}(A)$. This suggests that here we should choose $\mathbf{b} = c \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

Alternately, considering $\mathcal{N}(A)$, we know already that $[0 \ 1 \ 0]^T \in \mathcal{N}(A)$ (see (a)), so if we can force $[0 \ 0 \ 1]^T \in \mathcal{N}(A)$ as well, then we will have two linearly independent vectors in $\mathcal{N}(A)$ and hence a nullity of at least 2. Since we already know that the rank of A is at least 1 (see (b)), the rank-nullity theorem will then tell us that the nullity of A is exactly 2. This suggests choosing $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0}$.

Either line of reasoning is correct; correct answers include

$$\mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -3 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} -15 \\ 45 \\ 30 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ etc.}$$

d. (3 pts.) rank = 1 and nullity = 1? (HINT: this one uses different reasoning than parts a–c.)

No such \mathbf{b} . If there were such a \mathbf{b} , the rank-nullity theorem would say that, since A is (3×3) , we must have $\text{rank}(A) + \text{nullity}(A) = n = 3$. But of course $1 + 1 \neq 3$.

2. Short proofs:

a. (5 pts.) Suppose W is a 1-dimensional subspace of \mathbb{R}^n . Prove rigorously that any two nonzero vectors in W are scalar multiples of each other, that is, for any $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in W$ such that $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \neq \mathbf{0}$, there is a $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathbf{v} = c\mathbf{w}$.

Since $\dim(W) = 1$, any basis for W must contain exactly one nonzero vector. Let $\{\mathbf{u}\}$ be such a basis. Then if $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in W$, by the definition of a basis, we must have $\mathbf{v} = a\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{w} = b\mathbf{u}$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. If we assume that $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \neq \mathbf{0}$, then $a, b \neq 0$. Then dividing by a and b , respectively, we have $\frac{1}{a}\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u}$ and $\frac{1}{b}\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{u}$, and so $\frac{1}{a}\mathbf{v} = \frac{1}{b}\mathbf{w}$. Thus $\mathbf{v} = c\mathbf{w}$ where $c = \frac{a}{b}$. \square

b. (5 pts.) Suppose V and W are both subspaces of \mathbb{R}^m , and define the set $V + W$ to be

$$V + W = \{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^m \mid \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w} \text{ for some } \mathbf{v} \in V \text{ and } \mathbf{w} \in W\}.$$

Show that $V + W$ is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^m .

To determine whether V is a subspace or not, we must check the three criteria for subspaces:

1. *Is the zero vector in $V + W$?*

Yes: since V is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^m , we know $\mathbf{0} \in V$, and since W is also a subspace of \mathbb{R}^m , $\mathbf{0} \in W$. Thus $\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0} + \mathbf{0} \in V + W$.

2. *If \mathbf{u}_1 and $\mathbf{u}_2 \in V + W$, is $\mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 \in V + W$?*

Yes: if $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2 \in V + W$, then by the definition of $V + W$, there must exist $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \in V$ and $\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \in W$ such that $\mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{w}_1$ and $\mathbf{u}_2 = \mathbf{v}_2 + \mathbf{w}_2$. Then

$$\mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 = \mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{w}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2 + \mathbf{w}_2 = (\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2) + (\mathbf{w}_1 + \mathbf{w}_2),$$

and since V and W are subspaces of \mathbb{R}^m , we must have $\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2 \in V$ and $\mathbf{w}_1 + \mathbf{w}_2 \in W$, respectively. Thus $\mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2$ is of the correct form and hence is in $V + W$.

3. *If $\mathbf{u} \in V + W$, is $a\mathbf{u} \in V + W$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$?*

Yes: if $\mathbf{u} \in V + W$, then $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}$ for some $\mathbf{v} \in V$ and $\mathbf{w} \in W$, and again, since V and W are subspaces of \mathbb{R}^m , for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $a\mathbf{v} \in V$ and $a\mathbf{w} \in W$. Thus $a\mathbf{u} = a(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}) = a\mathbf{v} + a\mathbf{w}$ is of the correct form and hence is in $V + W$.

Thus V is indeed a subspace of \mathbb{R}^m . \square

3. Let W be the subspace given by $W = \text{Span} \left(\left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right], \left[\begin{array}{c} -4 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right] \right)$.

a. (4 pts.) Use the Gram-Schmidt algorithm to find an orthonormal basis for W .

Set $\mathbf{w}_1 = [1 \ 1 \ 0]^T$ and $\mathbf{w}_2 = [-4 \ 0 \ 1]^T$. Applying the Gram-Schmidt algorithm, we first construct an orthogonal basis, $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2\}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{u}_1 &= \mathbf{w}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \mathbf{u}_2 &= \mathbf{w}_2 - \frac{\mathbf{w}_2^T \mathbf{u}_1}{\mathbf{u}_1^T \mathbf{u}_1} \mathbf{u}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{(-4)}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

Now we need to normalize our basis vectors to obtain an orthonormal basis, $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2\}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{v}_1 &= \frac{\mathbf{u}_1}{\|\mathbf{u}_1\|} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1^2 + 1^2 + 0^2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/\sqrt{2} \\ 1/\sqrt{2} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \mathbf{v}_2 &= \frac{\mathbf{u}_2}{\|\mathbf{u}_2\|} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(-2)^2 + 2^2 + 1^2}} \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2/3 \\ 2/3 \\ 1/3 \end{bmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

b. (4 pts.) Find coordinates for the vector $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 6 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ with respect to the basis you found in part (b).

Let $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 6 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Since the basis we found in part (a) is orthonormal, if $\mathbf{x} = a_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + a_2 \mathbf{v}_2$, we may simply compute

$$\begin{aligned}a_1 &= \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{v}_1 = 2 \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) + 6 \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) + 1(0) = \frac{8}{\sqrt{2}} = 4\sqrt{2}, \\ a_2 &= \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{v}_2 = 2 \left(-\frac{2}{3} \right) + 6 \left(\frac{2}{3} \right) + 1 \left(\frac{1}{3} \right) = \frac{9}{3} = 3.\end{aligned}$$

So the coordinates of \mathbf{x} with respect to $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2\}$ are $(4\sqrt{2}, 3)$.

4. Suppose C is a (4×4) matrix whose augmented matrix $[C | \mathbf{b}]$ is row-equivalent to

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -3 & 0 & 0 & 7b_3 - b_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 4b_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -3b_2 + b_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2b_1 - 4b_2 - b_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

a. (4 pts.) Find a basis for $\mathcal{R}(C)$.

From the bottom row of the row-reduced $[C | \mathbf{b}]$, we can see that the system $C\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ will be consistent (and hence $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{R}(C)$) if $2b_1 - 4b_2 - b_3 = 0$. Solving for b_3 , we get $b_3 = 2b_1 - 4b_2$. Thus the general form of a vector in $\mathcal{R}(C)$ is

$$\mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ 2b_1 - 4b_2 \\ b_4 \end{bmatrix} = b_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + b_2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -4 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + b_4 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

and so a basis for $\mathcal{R}(C)$ is $\left\{ [1 \ 0 \ 2 \ 0]^T, [0 \ 1 \ -4 \ 0]^T, [0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1]^T \right\}$.

b. (4 pts.) Find a basis for $\mathcal{N}(C)$. (HINT: set $b_1 = b_2 = b_3 = b_4 = 0$.)

Setting $b_1 = b_2 = b_3 = b_4 = 0$, we can read off the solutions \mathbf{x} to the homogeneous system $C\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ — which are precisely the elements of $\mathcal{N}(C)$ — from the above matrix:

$$x_1 = 3x_2, \quad x_3 = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad x_4 = 0.$$

Thus the general form of a vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{N}(C)$ is

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 3x_2 \\ x_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = x_2 \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and so a basis for $\mathcal{N}(C)$ is $\left\{ [3 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0]^T \right\}$.

c. (2 pts.) Find a basis for the row space of C .

Ignoring the augmented column of the row-reduced $[C | \mathbf{b}]$, we may simply read off its non-zero rows, since they form a basis for the row space of C :

$$\left\{ [1 \ -3 \ 0 \ 0], [0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0], [0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1] \right\}.$$

5. True or False: circle one (2 pts each)

- a. T F If $\{\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \mathbf{w}_3\}$ is an orthogonal set of nonzero vectors in \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbf{v} is a nonzero vector in \mathbb{R}^n such that $\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{w}_i = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, then $n \geq 4$.
(HINT: consider the set $\{\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \mathbf{w}_3, \mathbf{v}\}$.)

Since the vectors $\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \mathbf{w}_3$ are pairwise orthogonal and \mathbf{v} is orthogonal to each of them, $\{\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \mathbf{w}_3, \mathbf{v}\}$ is also an orthogonal set. Furthermore, all of these vectors are nonzero by hypothesis, and we know that any orthogonal set of nonzero vectors is linearly independent. If n were strictly less than 4, any set of four vectors would have to be linearly dependent, a contradiction. So we must have $n \geq 4$.

- b. T F For any $(m \times n)$ matrix A , the map $T: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ given by $T(\mathbf{x}) = A\mathbf{x}$ is a linear transformation.

This was stated as a fact in class. Alternately, using the properties of matrix multiplication, we can easily check that the given map T satisfies the two properties required for linear transformations:

$$T(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) = A(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) = A\mathbf{x} + A\mathbf{y} = T(\mathbf{x}) + T(\mathbf{y}), \text{ and}$$
$$T(c\mathbf{x}) = A(c\mathbf{x}) = c(A\mathbf{x}) = cT(\mathbf{x}) \text{ for any } c \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- c. T F If W is a subspace of dimension k , then any spanning set for W contains at most k vectors.

*Spanning sets need not be minimal. Thus, although every minimal spanning set (i.e., basis) for W must contain exactly k vectors, an arbitrary spanning set for W must contain **at least** k vectors, and in particular, it could contain more.*

(cont'd next page)

- d. T F If $T: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is a linear transformation such that $T\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $T\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$, then $T\left(\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

Since $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = 2\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + 3\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, using the properties of linear transformations, we compute that

$$\begin{aligned} T\left(\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}\right) &= 2 \cdot T\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) + 3 \cdot T\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) \\ &= 2\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + 3\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 11 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \neq \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

- e. T F If the columns of an $(n \times n)$ matrix C span \mathbb{R}^n , then C must be nonsingular.

\mathbb{R}^n has dimension n , so since the n columns of C span \mathbb{R}^n , they must in fact comprise a basis for \mathbb{R}^n , and hence they must be linearly independent. If the columns of a square matrix are linearly independent, then the matrix is nonsingular.