

Challenge Of the Week

May 6—May 12, 2008

Problem

In an old edition of Ripley's Believe It Or Not, it was stated that the number

$$N = 526315789473684210$$

is a "persistent" number. That is, if multiplied by any positive integer the resulting number always contains the ten digits $0, 1, 2, \dots, 9$ in some order with possible repetitions.

- (a) Prove or disprove the above statement.
- (b) Are there any persistent numbers smaller than the above number N ?

Solution

The statement (a) is false. To see this, note that $2N = 1052631578947368420$, so that $19N = 20N - N = 99999999999999990$, which only contains two digits!

The answer to (b) is "no." In fact there are no persistent numbers!

Proof 1: (Due to Jacob Lewis)

To show an arbitrary integer N is not persistent, examine the decimal expansion of $1/N$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{N} &= 0.a_1a_2\dots a_r\overline{b_1b_2\dots b_s} \\ &= \frac{a_1a_2\dots a_r}{10^r} + \frac{b_1b_2\dots b_s}{10^r(10^s - 1)} \\ &= \frac{(10^s - 1)a_1a_2\dots a_r + b_1b_2\dots b_s}{10^r(10^s - 1)} \end{aligned}$$

Cross multiplying, we get

$$10^r(10^s - 1) = ((10^s - 1)a_1a_2\dots a_r + b_1b_2\dots b_s)N$$

The left side consists only of 0's and 9's, while the right is a multiple of N . Thus N is not persistent. (N.B.: if $1/N$ has no repeating part, the same argument, suitably simplified, still works, but the left side has the form 10^r which only involves 0's and 1's.)

Proof 2: Assume again that N is persistent. Consider the remainders obtained by dividing the numbers

$$1, 11, 111, \dots, \underbrace{111 \dots 1}_{N \text{ ones}}$$

by N . At most $N - 1$ different nonzero remainders can result, either one of the above numbers is divisible by N , in which case N is not persistent, or else two of them, say

$$R = \underbrace{111 \dots 1}_r \quad \text{and} \quad S = \underbrace{111 \dots 1}_s$$

give the same remainder. Without loss of generality, assume $S > R$, and so their difference

$$S - R = \underbrace{111 \dots 1}_{s-r} \underbrace{000 \dots 0}_r$$

is divisible by N , and N is not persistent.

Proof 3: This uses Euler's generalization of Fermat's Little Theorem. Define $\varphi(n)$ to be the number of positive integers less than or equal to n that are relatively prime to n . (E.g., if p is prime, the $\varphi(p) = p - 1$.) Euler's theorem is the following: *If a is relatively prime to n , then $a^{\varphi(n)} - 1$ is a multiple of n .*

Assume that N is persistent. We can express N in the form $N = 2^a 5^b M$, where M is relatively prime to both 2 and 5. Since $2^b 5^a N = 10^{a+b} M$ must also be persistent, all multiples of M must contain the nine nonzero digits. Using Euler's theorem, we can write

$$10^{\varphi(M)} - 1 = kM$$

for some k . This gives a contradiction, for kM should contain all nine nonzero digits, but $10^{\varphi(M)} - 1$ contains only nines.