Math 301 A - Spring 2014 Final Exam June 11, 2014 Solutions

1. Prove that the product of three consecutive integers is divisible by 60 if the middle integer is a perfect square.

Proof: Let *n* be a perfect square, and let P = (n - 1)n(n + 1) be the product of the three consecutive integers with *n* in the middle.

Since n is a perfect square, n is congruent to 0 or 1 modulo 4.

If $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, then 4|P.

If $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then $n - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, and so 4|n - 1, and hence 4|P.

```
Thus, 4|P.
```

We have that *n* is congruent to 0, 1, or 2 modulo 3. If $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, then 3|n and 3|P.

If $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, then 3|n-1, and 3|P.

If $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, then 3|n+1, and 3|P.

Thus 3|P.

Looking at squares modulo 5, we see:

```
\begin{array}{c|cccc}
n & n^2 \mod 5 \\
0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 \\
2 & 4 \\
3 & 4 \\
4 & 1
\end{array}
```

Hence, *n* is congruent to 0, 1, or 4. For each value we have n, n - 1, and n + 1 congruent to 0 modulo 5, respectively.

Hence, 5|P.

Since 3|P, 4|P and 5|P, and (3, 4, 5) = 1, we conclude that $3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 = 60|P$.

2. Let *i* be a non-negative integer. Prove that the number

$$8 \cdot 64^i + 25 \cdot 7^i$$

is not prime.

Proof: We can start by observing that

 $8 \cdot 64^{0} + 25 \cdot 7^{0} = 33 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ $8 \cdot 64^{1} + 25 \cdot 7^{1} = 687 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ $8 \cdot 64^{2} + 25 \cdot 7^{2} = 33993 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and this gives us the idea that perhaps $8 \cdot 64^i + 25 \cdot 7^i$ is always divisible by 3.

Reducing modulo 3, we find that $8 \cdot 64^i + 25 \cdot 7^i \equiv 2 \cdot 1^i + 1 \cdot 1^i \equiv 3 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$.

Hence, 3 always divides $8 \cdot 64^i + 25 \cdot 7^i$ for non-negative *i*.

Since $8 \cdot 64^i + 25 \cdot 7^i \ge 8 \cdot 64^0 + 25 \cdot 7^0 = 33 > 3$, we conclude that $8 \cdot 64^i + 25 \cdot 7^i$ is never prime for non-negative *i*.

3. Suppose x is the smallest integer greater than 10000 such that

 $3x \equiv 5 \pmod{61}$ and $5x \equiv 3 \pmod{62}$.

Find x.

Multiplying $3x \equiv 5 \pmod{61}$ by 20 yields $60x \equiv 100 \pmod{61}$ and subtracting this from $61x \equiv 61 \pmod{61}$ yields

 $x \equiv -39 \equiv 22 \,(\mathrm{mod}\,61).$

Thus x = 22 + 61k for some integer k.

Using the second given congruence yields

$$5(22 + 61k) \equiv 3 \pmod{62}$$

 $110 + 305k \equiv 3 \pmod{62}$
 $57k \equiv 17 \pmod{62}$

Sutracting this last congruence from $62k \equiv 62 \pmod{62}$ yields

 $5k \equiv 45 \,(\mathrm{mod}\,62)$

and since 5 divides 45, and (45, 62) = 1, we conclude

 $k \equiv 9 \,(\mathrm{mod}\, 62).$

Thus k = 9 + 62m for some integer *m*, and hence x = 22 + 61(9 + 62m) = 571 + 3782m.

Since 2 < 10000/3782 < 3, and $8135 = 571 + 3782 \cdot 2$ and $11917 = 571 + 3782 \cdot 3$, we conclude that x = 11917.

4. Find the largest integer that cannot be expressed as a sum of non-negative multiples of 5,7 and 13. Prove that this is the largest such integer.

16 is the largest such integer.

First we prove that 16 cannot be expressed as a sum of non-negative multiples of 5, 7, and 13.

Suppose 16 = 5a + 7b + 13c where *a*, *b* and *c* are non-negative integers.

Since $2 \cdot 13 = 26 > 16$, we conclude that c = 0 or c = 1.

Suppose c = 1. Then 3 = 5a + 7b, but $5a + 7b \ge 5$ unless a = b = 0, in which case 3 = 0, a contradiction. So $c \neq 1$.

Suppose c = 0. Then 16 = 5a + 7b. Since $7 \cdot 3 = 21 > 16$, b = 0, 1, or 2. If b = 0, then a = 16/5 which is not an integer. If b = 1, then a = 9/5 which is not an integer. If b = 2, then a = 2/5 which is not an integer. In all three cases, we have a contradiction. So $c \neq 0$.

Thus we arrive at the contradiction that c = 0 or c = 1 and $c \neq 0$ and $c \neq 1$.

Hence our assumption that 16 was so expressible was false, and we conclude that 16 is not so expressible.

Now,

 $17 = 5 \cdot 2 + 7 \cdot 1 + 13 \cdot 0$ $18 = 5 \cdot 1 + 7 \cdot 0 + 13 \cdot 1$ $19 = 5 \cdot 1 + 7 \cdot 2 + 13 \cdot 0$ $20 = 5 \cdot 4 + 7 \cdot 0 + 13 \cdot 0$ and $21 = 5 \cdot 0 + 7 \cdot 3 + 13 \cdot 0.$

Let m > 21 be an integer. Then m is congruent to one of 17, 18, 19, 20, or 21 modulo 5. Say m is congruent to z modulo 5, and $17 \le z \le 21$ and z = 5r + 7s + 13t. Then m = 5r + 7s + 13t + 5u = 5(r + u) + 7s + 13t for some positive integer u, and so every integer greater than 16 can be represented as the sum of non-negative multiples of 5, 7, and 13.

5. Suppose (x, y, z) is a primitive Pythagorean triple, with z > x and z > y. Prove that 3 divides exactly one of x and y, and 3 does not divide z. (If you wish to use a result proved in homework, you will need to prove it again here.)

Proof: We could prove this using our theorem on primitive pythagorean triples, but here I'll give a proof that doesn't use this.

Suppose (x, y, z) is a primitive pythagorean triple, with z > x and z > y.

Then $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$, and the gcd of *x*,*y*, and *z* is 1.

As a result, we know that 3 does not divide all three values, *x*, *y*, and *z*.

The squares modulo 3 are 0 and 1.

Note that, for any integer n, 3|n iff $3|n^2$.

Adding these, we have the following table of possibilities:

+	0	1
0	0	1
1	1	2

This tells us several things. First, that, since z^2 is the sum of two squares, it is not congruent to 2 mod 3, and hence x or y is congruent to 0 mod 3. However, x and y cannot *both* be congruent to 0 mod 3; for in that case, z would also be congruent to 0, and the triple would not be primitive. Hence, exactly one of x and y is divisible by 3, and as a result z is not divisible by 3.

6. Prove that there are no solutions to $5x^2 + 7y^2 = z^2$ with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $xyz \neq 0$.

Proof: Suppose $5x^2+7y^2 = z^2$ for some $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $xyz \neq 0$, with z as small as possible (i.e., suppose that if $5a^2 + 7b^2 = c^2$ with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $abc \neq 0$, then $c \geq z$).

We may assume without loss of generality that x > 0, y > 0 and z > 0. (For, if any of x, y and z is negative, we can replace it by its absolute value.)

Then $7y^2 \equiv z^2 \pmod{5}$, i.e.

$$2y^2 \equiv z^2 \,(\mathrm{mod}\,5).$$

In problem 1, we noted that the squares modulo 5 are 0, 1, and 4. Hence that possible values of $2y^2$ modulo 5 are 0, 2, and 3.

But, since $2y^2 \equiv z^2 \pmod{5}$, $2y^2 \equiv z^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$.

Hence, $5|y^2$, and so 5|y. Also, $5|z^2$, and 5|z.

Write y = 5y' and z = 5z' for some integers y' and z'.

Then

$$5x^{2} + 7(5y')^{2} = (5z')^{2}$$

$$5x^{2} + 25 \cdot 7y'^{2} = 25z'^{2}$$

$$x^{2} + 5 \cdot 7y'^{2} = 5z'^{2}$$

and so $5|x^2$ and 5|x.

Write x = 5x' for some integer x'.

Then

$$5(5x')^2 + 7(5y')^2 = (5z')^2$$

so

$$5(x')^2 + 7(y')^2 = (z')^2.$$

However, since z > 0, z' < z, and this is a contradiction to our assumption that we had the solution with the smallest possible z.

Hence, there is no solution to $5x^2 + 7y^2 = z^2$, with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $xyz \neq 0$.

7. Define the sequence $\{a_n\}$ by

$$a_0 = 1, a_1 = 1$$
, and $a_n = a_{n-1} - a_{n-2}$ for $n \ge 2$

Express the generating function for $\{a_n\}$ *as a rational function (i.e., not as a series).*

Let
$$A(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$$
.

From $a_n = a_{n-1} - a_{n-2}$ for $n \ge 2$, we have

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n-1}x^n - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n-2}x^n$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x^{n+1} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^{n+2}$$
$$A(x) - x - 1 = x(A(x) - 1) - x^2(A(x))$$
$$A(x) - xA(x) + x^2A(x) = -x + x + 1$$
$$A(x) = \frac{1}{1 - x + x^2}.$$