ERRATA TO "QUANTUM FIELD THEORY" (first printing) G. B. Folland

The following errata were corrected in the second printing. Additional errata found since these corrections were made (in August 2013) are in a separate document.

"line -n" means "line *n* from the bottom."

Page 5, line -2: one newton $\rightarrow 2 \times 10^{-7}$ newton Page 8, 7 lines above (1.7): atoms about \rightarrow atoms are about Page 10, 4 lines above (1.11): $y + \mathbf{p}|^2 \rightarrow y + |\mathbf{p}|^2$ Page 15, line 9: $w = 0 \rightarrow v = 0$ Page 15: In the next-to-last display, $X_{\{f,g\}}h$ should be $-X_{\{f,g\}}h$, so the correspondence $f \mapsto X_f$ is an antihomomorphism. To fix this, change the definition of X_f (two displays earlier) to $\Omega(Y, X_f) = Yf$. Page 16, line 25: $\mathbf{x}_n \rightarrow$ \mathbf{x}_k Page 17, line 11: $\mathbf{x}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_k$ Page 17, line -8: $\arctan(p/x) \rightarrow \arctan(\widetilde{p}/\widetilde{x})$ Page 18, line $-9: \nabla V \rightarrow -\nabla V$ Page 21, next-to-last display: $m \rightarrow$ μ Page 23, line 1: to realize \rightarrow was Page 24, line $-1: -mc^2 \rightarrow +mc^2$ Page 25, line before (2.18): §1.1 \rightarrow §1.2 Page 27, formula (2.24): $\mathbf{j} \rightarrow$ \mathbf{j}/c Page 28, line after (2.27): (2.25) \rightarrow (2.26) Page 28, display after (2.28): $\mathbf{j} \rightarrow \mathbf{j}/c$ Page 33, line 5: Delete "with". Page 39, line -11: $\lambda(xy)/\lambda(x)\lambda(y) \rightarrow \lambda(x)\lambda(y)/\lambda(xy)$ Page 40, line 2: bilinear \rightarrow skew-symmetric bilinear Page 40, end of 4th paragraph, "This is the quantum version of Noether's theorem": Not

Page 40, end of 4th paragraph, "This is the quantum version of Noether's theorem": Not quite. The quantum version of Noether's theorem is that if a one-parameter group of symmetries commutes with the Hamiltonian, then the observable that generates it commutes with time translations.

Page 41, line 16: $ic[A_1, A_2] \rightarrow ib[A_1, A_2]$ Page 41, line 18: $[A_1, A_2] \rightarrow ib[A_1, A_2]$ Page 45. formula 3.13: $e^{v \cdot w/i\hbar} \rightarrow e^{-v \cdot w/i\hbar}$

Page 45, line -2: The t's on this line are a parameter, not the last coordinate on the Heisenberg group. You might want to replace them with s's to avoid confusion. Page 47, line 5: mometum \rightarrow momentum Page 50, lines -18 and -17: $\mathbf{x}_m \rightarrow$ \mathbf{x}_N Page 53, display after (3.21) and the following line: $\sqrt{m\kappa} \rightarrow \sqrt{m/\kappa}$ Page 54, 5th display: $\langle AA^{\dagger}\phi_{l}|\phi_{k}\rangle \rightarrow \langle \phi_{l-1}|AA^{\dagger}\phi_{k-1}\rangle$ Page 57, line -13: infinitesimal \rightarrow infinitesimal Page 58, line 15: $\kappa \rightarrow \kappa'$ Page 58, 3rd display: $e^{i(m-k)} \rightarrow e^{i(m-k)t}$ Page 60, line 2 of Section 3.6: Insert minus sign before $\frac{h^2}{2m}\nabla^2$ Page 67, 3rd line after 2nd display: $j^0 \rightarrow \rho$ and $\rho \rightarrow$ $\int \rho$ Page 68, formula (4.6): $x_i \rightarrow x^j$ Page 71, line 8: The last L^{ν}_{ρ} should be L^{ν}_{σ} . Page 73, 3rd line after (4.26): $\gamma^m \rightarrow \gamma^{\mu}$ Page 73, line -3 and page 74, line 1: $A_{\mu} + \partial_{\mu}\chi \rightarrow A_{\mu} - \partial_{\mu}\chi$ Page 75, line $-9: mc^2 \|\psi\| \rightarrow mc \|\psi\|$ Page 76, line 2: $\frac{e}{2m} \rightarrow e$ and $\frac{e}{m} \rightarrow e$ 2ePage 76, display before (4.32): $e^2 \rightarrow \frac{1}{4}e^2$ Page 76, formula (4.32): $\frac{e^2}{2m} \rightarrow \frac{e^2}{8m}$ Page 80, third displayed formula: Both exponents 1/2 should be -1/2. Page 82, line 18: a photon \rightarrow a pair of photons [to satisfy conservation of momentum] Page 85, line 3: bundle B \rightarrow bundle B over G/HPage 85, line 5: at $q \rightarrow \operatorname{at} \overline{q}$ Page 87, line -6: $\kappa(A) \rightarrow \kappa(A)^{-1}$ Page 90, formula (4.45): $\frac{n_1!n_2!\cdots}{k!} \rightarrow \frac{k!}{n_1!n_2!\cdots}$ Page 91, line $-5: \mathfrak{F}_s^o \to \mathfrak{F}_s^o$ Page 91, line $-1: 1 \rightarrow I$ Page 92, line 5: $\mathcal{F}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^0$ Page 99, line 17: $\pi^{-n/4} \exp(-\frac{1}{2} \sum \omega_j^2 x_j^2) \to (\omega_1 \cdots \omega_n)^{1/4} \pi^{-n/4} \exp(-\frac{1}{2} \sum \omega_j x_j^2)$ Page 99, line 19: $n_1 + \cdots + n_K + \frac{1}{2}K \rightarrow \sum \omega_j(n_j + \frac{1}{2})$ Page 100, line -14: $u_{kj}A_i^{\dagger} \rightarrow u_{jk}A_k^{\dagger}$ Page 101, line 9: All A_i should be $A_i(t)$. Page 105, line $-3: g \rightarrow v$

Page 109, line 4: $\iint \rightarrow \int$ Page 109. formula (5.22): $a^* \rightarrow$ a^{\dagger} in the last two equations Page 113, 2nd line below 2nd display: = v \rightarrow = mvPage 115, display below (5.35): $\epsilon \rightarrow$ ePage 116, 3rd line above (5.38): a an \rightarrow \mathbf{a} Page 118, Concluding remarks, line 11: an \rightarrow a Page 119, Axiom 3: The set \rightarrow The linear span of the set Page 125, line 6: $||H_I||^n/n!$ $||H_I||^n t^n / n!$ \rightarrow Page 126, line 1: 0.9902065 0.99019424 \rightarrow Page 129, line 8: $\mathcal{H}_{\text{field}} \rightarrow H_{\text{field}}, \quad a^* \rightarrow$ a^{\dagger} Page 129, line before (6.13): $x \rightarrow$ \mathbf{x} Page 129, formula (6.13): Insert $\frac{1}{L^{3/2}}$ before the summation. Page 129, 2nd line after (6.13): $x \rightarrow \mathbf{x}$ (3 places), and omit the \otimes on the right. (The following sentence explains the intended meaning.) Page 129, 4th line after (6.13): $\phi(\cdot) \rightarrow g\phi(\cdot)$ Page 129, line $-7: a_{\mathbf{p}} \rightarrow a(\mathbf{p})$ and $a_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger} \rightarrow a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p})$ Page 130, first display: $a^* \rightarrow a^{\dagger}$ (several places) Page 130, lines -7 and -6: $\langle m, \mathbf{p} | n \rangle \rightarrow \langle m, \mathbf{p} | U_0(t) | n \rangle$ Page 130, line -2: Insert factor of $\frac{1}{i}$. Page 134, 2nd and 3rd lines after (6.20): $\nabla - \mathbf{p} \rightarrow \nabla - i\mathbf{p}$ Page 134, formula (6.21), two lines above, and three lines below: $\mathbf{p} \cdot \nabla/M \rightarrow \mathbf{p} \cdot \nabla/iM$ Page 137, line $-3: t_n \rightarrow \tau_n$ Page 138, line -4: Insert a factor of $\frac{1}{i}$ before the second integral. Page 140, formula (6.29): $-\frac{1}{2}(\partial \phi)^2 \rightarrow +\frac{1}{2}(\partial \phi)^2$ Page 149, 4 lines above (6.49): $e^{itp_{\mu}x^{\mu}} \rightarrow e^{ip_{\mu}x^{\mu}}$ Page 151, 5th line after (6.53): $D_{\mu\nu}q^{\nu} \rightarrow D_{\mu\nu}p^{\nu}$ Page 152, line 3: $q_{\mu}q_{\nu} \rightarrow p_{\mu}p_{\nu}$ Page 152, line 15: it \rightarrow if Page 152, line -14: Insert "and likewise with $\phi(y)$ replaced by $\phi^{\dagger}(y)$," after "spacelike,". Page 156, line 12: (1) \rightarrow (i) Page 158, line -11: $\Delta_F(0) \rightarrow -i\Delta_F(0)$ Page 160, line 4: $y, \rightarrow y$, Page 160, first line of (vi): (5) \rightarrow (\mathbf{v})

Page 165, Table 6.2: v should be \overline{v} for incoming positrons; \overline{v} should be v for outgoing positrons.

Page 169, line 14: $V^{1/2}a^{\dagger}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{p}_1) \rightarrow V^{1/2}a^{\dagger}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{p}_1)|0\rangle$ and $V^{1/2}a^{\dagger}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{p}_2) \rightarrow V^{1/2}a^{\dagger}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{p}_2)|0\rangle$. Page 171, line 9: particles \rightarrow describe particles Page 171, 2nd line after (6.70): coutgoing \rightarrow outgoing Page 191: Add the following clause to the end of the first sentence of item 2: "while preserving essential structural features such as Lorentz covariance". Page 192, 2nd paragraph of section 7.1, next-to-last line: $n\delta^{(n-1)}(t) \rightarrow -n\delta^{(n-1)}(t)$ Page 193, line -4: $-\Gamma'(1) \rightarrow \Gamma'(1)$ Page 193, third display: The integrand of the second integral should be $\phi(t)|t|^{z}$. Page 197, line 1: Delete one copy of "superficial degree of divergence". Page 211, 3rd paragraph of section 7.5, line 2: Insert space before "that". Page 212, third display: $m^2 \phi^2 \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}m^2 \phi^2$ Page 229, formula (7.56): $\Gamma^{\mu}(q, p) \rightarrow \Gamma^{\mu}(p', p)$ Page 231, line -3: Brehmsstrahlung \rightarrow Bremsstrahlung Page 235, line 7: $A^{-(d-4)/2} \rightarrow A^{-(4-d)/2}$ Page 235, display (7.64): Delete the 2 before the integral sign. Page 244, line $-1: q_{\mu} \rightarrow k_{\mu}$ Page 245, formula (7.77): $(1-x)^2 \rightarrow (1-x)^2 m^2$ Page 245, line 8: $2p \rightarrow 2p^{\mu}$ Page 245, formula (7.78): $(2m^2 + 1 - x) \rightarrow 2m^2 x(1 - x)$ Page 254, line 16: constitutent \rightarrow constituent Page 258, 2nd line after (8.12): Thie \rightarrow The Page 264, third display: $dx_n \rightarrow dx_N$ Page 266, 3rd display, line 1: Insert C before the first integral sign. Page 269, formula (8.17): x_i \rightarrow x_{J} Page 273, line 5: $dx \rightarrow d^4x$ Page 274, line -4: evaluated \rightarrow evaluated Page 286, line 3: [??, vol. 4] \rightarrow [51]Page 288, line 14: $e^{-Ay \cdot y}/2 \rightarrow e^{-Ay \cdot y/2}$ Page 291: Add the following sentence to the end of the first paragraph: "The quantum

Page 291: Add the following sentence to the end of the first paragraph: "The quantum connection comes from the way Feynman diagrams can be read off from the Lagrangian, as explained in §§6.4–6.6."

Page 296, last paragraph of §9.1: Replace this paragraph by the following:

There is an easy and important generalization of the gauge field theory discussed above. As a first step, instead of starting with $G \subset GL(n, \mathbb{C})$, one can start with an abstract compact Lie group G and a representation $\pi : G \to GL(n, \mathbb{C})$. The gauge field A_{μ} is still \mathfrak{g} -valued; $g \cdot \Phi$ is interpreted as $\pi(g)\Phi$, and the covariant derivative is $\partial_{\mu} + i\pi'(A_{\mu})$ where π' is the derived representation of \mathfrak{g} . In this setting, there can be several different Φ 's, say Φ^1, \ldots, Φ^K (where Φ^k is an n_k -tuple), each with its own G-action $\pi_k : G \to GL(n_k, \mathbb{C})$ and its own free Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}^k(\Phi^k, \partial \Phi^k)$. One then obtains a theory in which all of these fields are coupled to the gauge field A_μ by taking the Lagrangian to be

$$\sum_{1}^{K} \mathcal{L}^{k}(\Phi^{k}, (\partial + i\pi'_{k}(A))\Phi^{k}) - \frac{1}{4} \langle F_{\mu\nu} | F^{\mu\nu} \rangle.$$

For example, if G = U(1) and π_k is the irreducible representation $\pi(e^{i\theta}) = e^{im_k\theta}$, the derived representation of $\mathfrak{g} = i\mathbb{R}$ is $\pi'_k(ix) = im_kx$, so the field Φ^k couples to A_μ with strength proportional to m_k . In this way, or in a more general setting where Gcontains a U(1) factor, the theory can accommodate particles with different electric charges (all integer multiples of some fundamental charge). We shall see this idea at work in §9.4.

Page 301, line $-15: \gamma(\eta) \rightarrow g(\eta)$

Page 302, display (9.7): n (upper limit of summation) $\rightarrow N$ (two places)

Page 304, 4th line after (9.10): $e + \nu_{\mu} + \overline{\nu}_{\mu} \rightarrow e + \nu_{\mu} + \overline{\nu}_{e}$

Page 313, line 4: third \rightarrow second

Page 313, line -10: $Y(d_L) = -\frac{1}{3} \rightarrow Y(d_R) = -\frac{1}{3}$

Page 324: Insert the entry "line width, 131".

Page 325: Insert the entry "superficial degree of divergence, 197".

Note: Readers may be interested in the book *Finite Quantum Electrodynamics* by G. Scharf (2nd ed., Springer, Berlin, 1995). It develops the Dyson series for the S-matrix of QED in a way that avoids divergent integrals, by replacing the usual time-ordered products by a construction with better regularity properties.