

MATH 403 Winter 2018
Homework 4
Winter 2018

1. **Problem 4.2** Greatest common divisors are well defined up to units. So your answer can differ with others by a unit. All the coefficient rings are fields in this problem so we will apply Euclidean algorithm. The procedure is the same throughout the four so I only write out the first.

(a)

$$\begin{aligned} x^3 - 6x^2 + 14x - 15 - (x^3 - 8x^2 + 21x - 18) &= 2x^2 - 7x + 3 \\ x^3 - 8x^2 + 21x - 18 - \frac{x}{2}(2x^2 - 7x + 3) &= -\frac{9}{2}x^2 + \frac{39}{2}x - 18 \\ 2x^2 - 7x + 3 + \frac{4}{9}\left(-\frac{9}{2}x^2 + \frac{39}{2}x - 18\right) &= \left(\frac{5}{3}\right)x - 5 \\ -\frac{9}{2}x^2 + \frac{39}{2}x - 18 + \frac{27}{10}x\left(\frac{5}{3}x - 5\right) &= 6x - 18 \\ \frac{3}{5}x - 5 - \frac{5}{18}(6x - 18) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence the greatest common divisor is $x - 3$. Going backwards, we get $a(x) = \frac{-1}{10}x^2 + \frac{11}{30}x$ and $b(x) = \frac{1}{10}x^2 - \frac{1}{6}x + \frac{1}{6}$.

- (b) $a(x) = x^2 + x + 1$ and $b(x) = -x^2$ with greatest common divisor being 1.
 (c) $a(x) = x + 4x^2$ and $b = 2 + x^2$ with greatest common divisor being 1.
 (d) $a(x) = \frac{324}{3007}x^2 + \frac{468}{3007}x + \frac{757}{3007}$ and $b(x) = -\left(\frac{81}{3007}x^2 + \frac{117}{3007}x + \frac{7}{3007}\right)$ with greatest common divisor being 1.

2. Problem 4.3

- (a) $x^4 - 2x^3 + 2x^2 + x + 4 = (x^2 + x + 1) \cdot (x^2 - 3x + 4)$.
 (b) This is a polynomial of degree four. So if it is reducible it either has a root in \mathbf{Q} or factors into a product of quadratics. If it has a root $\frac{a}{b}$ in \mathbf{Q} , then by corollary 17.15, it has a root $a \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $a \mid -2$. Then $\alpha = \pm 1, \pm 2$. But after plugging in these four values into the polynomial I did not get zero. Therefore it is impossible that it has a linear factor. Now suppose

$$x^4 - 5x^3 + 3x - 2 = (x^2 + ax + b)(x^2 + cx + d).$$

Comparing coefficients, we get

$$\begin{aligned} a + c &= -5 \\ ac + b + d &= 0 \\ ad + bc &= 3 \\ bd &= -2 \end{aligned}$$

Looking at the last equation, we deduce that $(|b|, |d|) = (1, 2)$ or $(2, 1) = (|b|, |d|)$. Plugging in four possibilities the a and c one gets is never integral. Hence we conclude that this polynomial is not reducible.

- (c) Use Eisenstein's criterion with $p = 2$.
 (d) Use Eisenstein's criterion with $p = 3$.

3. **Problem 4.4** A degree two polynomial with field coefficient is irreducible if and only if it has no roots. So $x^2 + x + 1$ is the only irreducible polynomial of degree 2. A degree three polynomial with field coefficient is irreducible if and only if it has no root. So the only possibilities are $x^3 + x + 1$ and $x^3 + x^2 + 1$.
4. **Problem 4.5** If a polynomial of degree greater than 2 is irreducible, it must not have any root. So the only possibilities are $x^4 + x^3 + 1$, $x^4 + x^2 + 1$, $x^4 + x + 1$, $x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1$. But

$$x^4 + x^2 + 1 = (x^2 + x + 1)^2.$$

By looking at all possible products of quadrics in $\mathbf{Z}_2[x]$, we conclude that $x^4 + x^3 + 1$, $x^4 + x + 1$ and $x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1$ are the irreducible polynomials of degree 4.

5. **Problem 4.6**

- (a) Let us write $h_i \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $g_i \in \mathbf{Z}$ as the coefficient of h and g in the i -th degree term. Then by comparing the constant terms, we get $2 = g_0 \cdot h_0$ in \mathbf{Z} . Since 2 is a prime integer, we have either $2 \mid g_0$ or $2 \mid h_0$. Assume $2 \mid g_0$ and $2 \mid h_0$, we get $2 = 2g'_0 \cdot 2h'_0$ for some integers g'_0 and h'_0 . Canceling 2 on both sides, we get $2 \mid 1$, which is not possible. Hence 2 divides precisely one of the constant terms of g and h .
- (b) Combined with (c).
- (c) Suppose $2 \mid g_0$ as was hinted. We may induct on $0 \leq j < k$ for g_j . Suppose $2 \mid g_u$ for all $u \leq j$, let us prove $2 \mid g_{j+1}$. Looking at the degree $j + 1 \leq k < n$ term, the left hand side is zero. The right hand side is

$$g_{j+1}h_0 + g_jh_1 + \cdots + g_qh_{n-q}.$$

Hence

$$g_{j+1}h_0 = -(g_jh_1 + \cdots + g_qh_{n-q}).$$

By induction hypothesis, $2 \mid -(g_jh_1 + \cdots + g_qh_{n-q})$ so $2 \mid g_{j+1}h_0$. By part (a), $2 \nmid h_0$ so $2 \mid g_{j+1}$. This completes the induction. We have shown that $x^n - 2$ is not a factor of two strictly lower degree polynomials with integer coefficient. Since $x^n - 2$ is primitive, $x^n - 2$ is irreducible in $\mathbf{Z}[x]$. By theorem 17.14, $x^n - 2$ is irreducible in $\mathbf{Q}[x]$.

6. **Problem 4.8** By theorem 17.22, the ideal (f) is maximal hence prime. Hence if $f \mid p \cdot q$, either $f \mid p$ or $f \mid q$.
7. **Problem 4.9** Plugging $\frac{r}{s}$, we get

$$0 = a_0 + a_1 \frac{r}{s} + \cdots + a_n \frac{r^n}{s^n}.$$

Multiplying s^n on both sides, we get $s^n a_0 = -r(a_1 s^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n r^{n-1})$ or $a_n r^n = -s(a_0 s^{n-1} + \cdots + a_{n-1} r^{n-1})$. Then the first equality says $r \mid s^n a_0$. Since r and s are relatively prime, so are r and s^n . This implies $r \mid a_0$. The second equality would allow us to deduce that $s \mid a_n$.