

## WORKSHEET ON PRIMARY DECOMPOSITION, MATH 506, SPRING 2016

DUE FRIDAY, MAY 27

All rings are commutative with 1. Please supply proofs/justifications (and one statement) for all theorems/lemmas/propositions and examples. Remarks are given just for your information, but do pay attention to them too.

### 1. PRIMARY IDEALS

**Definition 1.1.** An ideal  $\mathfrak{a} \in R$  is called *primary* if for any  $ab \in \mathfrak{a}$ , we have either  $a \in \mathfrak{a}$  or  $b^n \in \mathfrak{a}$  for some  $n > 0$ .

**Lemma 1.2.** An ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  is primary if and only if  $R/\mathfrak{a} \neq 0$  and any zero divisor in  $R/\mathfrak{a}$  is nilpotent.

Let  $I$  be an ideal in  $R$ , and let  $\phi : R \rightarrow R/I$  be the canonical projection. Formulate and prove an addition to the “Correspondence theorem” concerning primary ideals.

**Theorem 1.3.** (*Extension of the Correspondence theorem for ideals*)

**Lemma 1.4.** Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be a primary ideal. Then  $\text{rad}(\mathfrak{a})$  is prime.

**Remark 1.5.** The converse is not true. See Example 1.9.

**Definition 1.6.** If  $\mathfrak{a}$  is a primary ideal such that  $\text{rad}(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathfrak{p}$  then  $\mathfrak{a}$  is called  $\mathfrak{p}$ -primary.

**Proposition 1.7.** If  $\text{rad}(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathfrak{m}$  is a maximal ideal then  $\mathfrak{a}$  is  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary.

**Corollary 1.8.** If  $\mathfrak{m}$  is maximal then  $\mathfrak{m}^n$  is  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary.

**Example 1.9.** From Worksheet 1 we know that  $\text{rad}(\mathfrak{p}^n) = \mathfrak{p}$  for any prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$ . And yet, the above Corollary does not hold for arbitrary prime ideals. Give an example of a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  such that  $\mathfrak{p}^n$  is NOT a primary ideal.

**Lemma 1.10.** A finite intersection of  $\mathfrak{p}$ -primary ideals is  $\mathfrak{p}$ -primary.

**Motivational example.** Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ . An ideal  $(a)$  is  $(p)$ -primary if and only if  $a = p^n$ . Hence, the unique factorization in  $\mathbb{Z}$  can be restated as follows: any ideal can be decomposed uniquely as a product (=intersection) of primary ideals. This turns out to be the correct formulation to generalize to arbitrary Noetherian rings.

### 2. PRIMARY DECOMPOSITION

**2.1. Existence.** From now on assume that  $R$  is Noetherian. We’ll show that any ideal has a *primary decomposition*, that is, can be expressed as an intersection of primary ideals.

**Definition 2.1.** An ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  is called *irreducible* if  $\mathfrak{a} = I \cap J$  for two ideals  $I, J$ , implies that  $\mathfrak{a} = I$  or  $\mathfrak{a} = J$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** Any ideal in a Noetherian ring is a finite intersection of irreducible ideals.

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be an ideal in  $R$ . We say that  $\mathfrak{a}$  has a primary decomposition if  $\mathfrak{a}$  is a finite intersection of primary ideals.

**Theorem 2.4.** (*Existence*). Any ideal in a Noetherian ring has a primary decomposition.

**2.2. Uniqueness (sort of).** Let  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{q}_\ell$  be a primary decomposition. By Lemma 1.10 we can replace the ideals with the same radical with their intersection and still have a primary decomposition. We can also make the decomposition efficient by getting rid of irrelevant ideals - namely, the ones that contain the intersection of the rest of them. We say that a primary decomposition  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{q}_\ell$  is *minimal* if

- (1)  $\text{rad } \mathfrak{q}_i$  are pair-wise distinct,
- (2)  $\bigcap_{j \neq i} \mathfrak{q}_j \not\subset \mathfrak{q}_i$  for any  $i, 1 \leq i \leq \ell$ .

**Definition 2.5.** If  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{q}_\ell$  is a minimal primary decomposition, then the prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}_i = \text{rad}(\mathfrak{q}_i)$  are called the associated primes for  $\mathfrak{a}$ . The set of all primes associated with  $\mathfrak{a}$  is denoted  $\text{Ass}(\mathfrak{a})$ .

Recall that the quotient ideal  $(\mathfrak{a} : \mathfrak{b})$  is defined as  $\{y \in R \mid y\mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{a}\}$ . For  $x \in R$ , we use a streamlined notation  $(\mathfrak{a} : x)$  for  $(\mathfrak{a} : (x))$ .

**Lemma 2.6.** (1) “Quotients commute with intersections”:  $(\bigcap_i \mathfrak{a}_i : \mathfrak{b}) = \bigcap_i (\mathfrak{a}_i : \mathfrak{b})$   
 (2) Let  $\mathfrak{q}$  be a  $\mathfrak{p}$ -primary ideal in  $R$ , and let  $x \in \mathfrak{q}$ . Then  $(\mathfrak{q} : x) = 1$   
 (3) Let  $\mathfrak{q}$  be a  $\mathfrak{p}$ -primary ideal in  $R$ , and let  $x \notin \mathfrak{q}$ . Then  $\text{rad}(\mathfrak{q} : x) = \mathfrak{p}$ .

The associated primes can be equivalently defined as follows:

**Proposition 2.7.** A prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  is an associated prime for  $\mathfrak{a}$  if and only if there exists  $a \in R/\mathfrak{a}$  such that  $\mathfrak{p} = \text{rad}(\text{Ann}_R(a))$ .

*Proof.* Hint: express  $\text{rad}(\text{Ann}_R(a))$  as a quotient ideal and use Lemma 2.6. □

**Theorem 2.8.** (Uniqueness) The set of associated primes does not depend on the primary decomposition.

**Example 2.9.** The primary decomposition itself is not unique. Let  $R = k[x, y]$ , and let  $\mathfrak{a} = (x^2, xy)$ . Show that  $\mathfrak{a}$  has two minimal primary decompositions:

$$\mathfrak{a} = (x) \cap (x^2, xy, y^2) = (x) \cap (x^2, y).$$

Determine the set of associated primes of  $\mathfrak{a}$ .

### 3. GEOMETRIC INTERPRETATION

Let  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{q}_\ell$  be a primary decomposition, and let  $\text{rad}(\mathfrak{q}_i) = \mathfrak{p}_i$ . Then  $V(\mathfrak{a}) = V(\mathfrak{p}_1) \cup \dots \cup V(\mathfrak{p}_\ell)$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** There exists a subset  $\{\mathfrak{p}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_{i_N}\} \subset \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_\ell\}$  such that

$$V(\mathfrak{a}) = V(\mathfrak{p}_{i_1}) \cup \dots \cup V(\mathfrak{p}_{i_N})$$

and  $V(\mathfrak{p}_{i_1}), \dots, V(\mathfrak{p}_{i_N})$  are precisely the irreducible components of  $V(\mathfrak{a})$ .

**Definition 3.2.** The primes described in the proposition are called the **minimal associated primes** of  $\mathfrak{a}$ . The other primes appearing as radicals of the ideals in a primary decomposition are called the **embedded associated primes**.

Minimal associated primes are simply the primes which are minimal among all primes containing  $\mathfrak{a}$ . There is one-to-one correspondence:

$$\boxed{\text{Minimal associated primes of } \mathfrak{a}} \leftrightarrow \boxed{\text{Irreducible components of } V(\mathfrak{a})}$$

**Example 3.3.** *Example of an embedded prime.* Consider the ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  from Example 2.9.

- (1) Compute  $V(\mathfrak{a})$ .
- (2) Which associated primes are minimal and which are embedded?
- (3) Note that for the embedded prime  $\mathfrak{p}$ , you have  $V(\mathfrak{p})$  a proper subset of an irreducible component of  $V(\mathfrak{a})$  (here, the entire  $V(\mathfrak{a})$ ). Hence, the name “embedded” - the corresponding algebraic set embeds into a bigger irreducible subset.

From the geometric prospective, we do not “see” the embedded primes, only the minimal ones. The minimal associated primes are also called *isolated* associated primes.

**Remark 3.4.** The primary decomposition can be done more generally for  $R$ -modules. What we did here was a special case of modules of the form  $R/\mathfrak{a}$ .