## Analytic solutions to linear differential equations

In this note we prove that if p, q, and r are analytic and have convergent power series expansions in  $B_R = \{z : |z| < R\}$  then the solution y to the second order linear differential equation

$$y'' = py' + qy + r$$
  $y(0) = b_0, \quad y'(0) = b_1$  (1)

also is analytic and has a convergent power series expansion in  $B_R$ .

Suppose

$$p(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n, \quad q(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_n z^n, \quad r(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r_n z^n$$

are power series expansions that converge in  $B_R$ . If  $y(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$  is an analytic solution of (1) then

$$n(n-1)b_n = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} jb_j p_{n-1-j}\right) + \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-2} b_j q_{n-2-j}\right) + r_{n-2}.$$
 (2)

It suffices to prove that if  $b_n$  are defined by (2), then the series for y converges in  $B_R$  because series can be differentiated, multiplied and added on disks where they converge, without reducing the radius of convergence.

If s < R then for some  $M < \infty$ ,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |p_k| s^k \le M \text{ and } \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |q_k| s^k \le M \text{ and } \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |r_k| s^k \le M.$$
 (3)

Suppose  $n_0 \ge (s+2s^2)M+1$ . Choose N with  $1 \le N < \infty$  so that  $|b_0| \le N$  and

$$j|b_j|s^j \le N \tag{4}$$

for  $j = 1, ..., n_0$ . We proceed by induction. Suppose  $n > n_0$  and suppose (4) holds for j < n. Then by (2) and (4)

$$n(n-1)|b_n|s^n \le Ns\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}|p_{n-1-j}|s^{n-1-j}\right) + Ns^2\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-2}|q_{n-2-j}|s^{n-2-j}\right) + |r_{n-2}|s^n.$$
 (5)

By (3) and (5)

$$n|b_n|s^n \le \frac{1}{n-1}(NsM + Ns^2M + s^2M) \le N\frac{sM + 2s^2M}{n-1} \le N.$$

By induction, (4) holds for all j, and hence the series for y converges in  $\{z : |z| < s\}$  by the root test. Since this is true for each s < R, the series for y converges in  $B_R$ .

This argument has all of the ingredients to prove a similar result for  $n^{th}$  order linear differential equations. Check your understanding by writing down a similar proof for first order equations.