## LECTURE 5-24

We now sketch a few of the main ideas in Chapter 15. We work throughout with the polynomial ring $S=k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right], k$ a field. We begin with the simple observation that ideals of $S$ generated by monomials (monomial ideals) are much easier to compute with than general ones; for example, it is quite easy to compute the greatest common divisor or least common multiple of any pair of monomials. More generally, if $F$ is a free $S$-module with basis $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$, then submodules of $T$ generated by monomials times basis vectors (called monomials in $F$ are easier to work with than general submodules. We need a systematic way to pick out particular monomial terms from elements of $F$, To this end, we introduce a monomial order on the monomials of any finitely generated free module $F$ over $S$; this is a total order $>$ such that if $m_{1}, m_{2}$ are monomials of $F$ and if $n \neq 1$ is a monomial of $S$, then $m_{1}>m_{2}$ implies $n m_{1}>n m_{2}>m_{2}$. We give three examples; in all of them the variables are ordered so that $x_{1}>\cdots>x_{n}$. The first is lexicographic order, in which $m=x_{1}^{a_{1}} \ldots x_{n}^{a_{n}}<m^{\prime}=x_{1}^{b_{1}} \ldots x_{n}^{b_{n}}$ if $a_{i}<b_{i}$ for the first index $i$ for which $a_{i} \neq b_{i}$; the next is homogeneous lexicographic order, in which the condition for $m<m^{\prime}$ is that either $\operatorname{deg} m<\operatorname{deg} m^{\prime}$ or $\operatorname{deg} m=\operatorname{deg} m^{\prime}$ and $m<m^{\prime}$ in the lexicographic order. Finally, we have reverse lexicographic (revlex) order, in which the condition for $m<m^{\prime}$ is that $\operatorname{deg} m<\operatorname{deg} m^{\prime}$ or $\operatorname{deg} m=\operatorname{deg} m^{\prime}$ and $a_{i}>b_{i}$ for the last index $i$ for which they differ. Note that so far we have ordered only the monomials in $S$, not those of $F$; we supplement the order by totally ordering the basis vectors as well, and then taking the lexicographic product of these orders to totally order terms in $F$. Any monomial order on $F$ is Artinian in the sense that every nonempty set of monomials has a least element. We extend the notation to terms (scalar multiples of monomials): if $u m, v n$ are terms with $u, v$ nonzero elements of $k$, then we decree that $u m>v n$ whenever $m>n$ and similarly for $\geq$. Then any $f \in F$ has an initial term $\operatorname{in}(f)$ (with respect to $>$ ), which is the >-largest term occurring in f ; likewise any submodule $M$ of $F$ has an initial submodule in $(M)$ generated by the initial terms of all of its elements. Then an important result of Macaulay asserts that if $F$ is a free $S$-module with basis, $M$ a submodule of $F$, and if $>$ is a monomial order, then the set $B$ of monomials not in in $(M)$ forms a basis for $F / M$. Indeed, to show that $B$ is linearly independent, note that if there were a dependence relation $p=\sum_{i} u_{i} m_{i} \in M$ with the $m_{i} \in B$ and the $u_{i}$ nonzero elements of $k$, then $\operatorname{in}(p)$ would lie in in $(M)$. But $\operatorname{in}(p)$ is one of the $u_{i} m_{i}$ and $m_{i}$ is in $B$, this is a contradiction. Now if $B$ did not span $F / M$, then among the elements of $F$ not in the span of $M$ and $B$ we could take $f$ to be one with minimal initial term $\operatorname{in}(f)$. If in $(f)$ were in $B$, we could subtract it from $f$, getting a polynomial not in the span with a smaller initial term, a contradiction, so we may assume that $\operatorname{in}(f) \in \operatorname{in}(M)$. Subtracting an element of $M$ with the same initial term as $f$ results in a similar contradiction.

A Gröbner basis of a submodule $M$ of a free module $F$ with basis is a set of elements $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{t}$ of $M$ such that $\operatorname{in}\left(g_{1}\right), \ldots, \operatorname{in}\left(g_{t}\right)$ generates $\operatorname{in}(M)$. Note that if $N \subset M$ are submodules with $\operatorname{in}(N)=\operatorname{in}(M)$ with respect to a monomial order, then $N=M$, for otherwise there would be $f \in M$ not in $N$ whose initial term is smallest among initial
terms of elements not in $N$, and then $\operatorname{in}(f)=\operatorname{in}(g)$ for some $g \in N$. But then $f-g \in$ $M, f-g \notin N$, and $f-g$ has smaller initial term than $f$, a contradiction. Hence any Gröbner basis is automatically a set of generators (though it may not be minimal as such). Such bases always exist for any submodule $M$, as given any set of generators we may enlarge it to another set whose initial elements generate in $(M)$. A Gröbner basis $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{t}$ is said to be minimal if no initial term of any $g_{i}$ divides the initial term of another; clearly any Gröbner basis can be shrunk to a minimal one. Now if $F$ is a free $S$ module with basis, we have a fixed monomial order $<$, and we are given $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{t}, f \in F$, then we can perform the following construction. Supposing inductively that monomials $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{p}$ in $S$ and elements $g_{s_{1}}, \ldots, g_{s_{p}}$ have been chosen, set $f^{\prime}=f-\sum_{u} m_{u} g_{s_{u}}$; if $f^{\prime} \neq 0$ and some in $\left(g_{i}\right)$ divides a monomial term of $f$, let $m$ be the greatest such term, set $s_{p+1}=i, m_{p+1}=m / \operatorname{in}\left(g_{i}\right), f^{\prime \prime}=f^{\prime}-m_{p+1} g_{i}$, and continue inductively, relabelling $f^{\prime \prime}$ as $f^{\prime}$. The process ends after finitely many steps, either with $f^{\prime}=0$ or with no monomial term of $f^{\prime}$ divisible by $\operatorname{in}\left(g_{i}\right)$ for any $i$; we call $f^{\prime}$ the remainder of $f$ (with respect to the $g_{i}$ ) and the expression $f=\sum m_{i} g_{i}+f^{\prime}$ standard (note however that it is not uniquely determined by $f$ and the $g_{i}$, though we can modify the algorithm to make it unique). Given a free module $F$ with basis and $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{t} \in F$, let $g_{i}^{\prime}$ be the initial term of $g_{i}$. For each pair of indices $i, j$ for which $g_{i}^{\prime}, g_{j}^{\prime}$ involve the same basis element $e_{k}$, there are monomials $m_{i j}, m_{j i} \in S$ such that $g_{i j}=m_{j i} g_{i}-m_{i j} g_{j}$ has a lower initial term than either $m_{j i} g_{i}$ or $m_{i j} g_{j}$; let $h_{i j}$ be the remainder of $g_{i j}$ with respect to the $g_{i}$, setting $h_{i j}=0$ if $g_{i}, g_{j}$ do not involve the same basis element. Then Buchberger's Criterion asserts that $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{t}$ form a Gröbner basis for the submodule they generate if and only if $h_{i j}=0$ for all $i$ and $j$. As an example, take $g_{1}=x^{2}, g_{2}=x y+y^{2}$ in $k[x, y]$, and order the monomials lexicographically, taking $x>y$. The initial terms are $x^{2}, x y$, whose gcd is $x$. Applying the division algorithm to $g_{1}, g_{2}$, we get $y g_{1}-x g_{2}=-x y^{2}$, whose remainder with respect to $g_{1}, g_{2}$ is $y^{3}$, which is not divisible by either of the initial terms we have, so we add $y^{3}$ to the basis. Then $g_{1}=x^{2}, g_{2}=x y+y^{2}, g_{3}=y^{3}$ is a Gröbner basis. As a bonus, we obtain all syzygies (relations) among the elements of this basis (Theorem 15.10 in Eisenbud): these relations are generated by the single one $x^{2} g_{2}-\left(x y+y^{2}\right) g_{1}$, together with the formula $g_{3}=y g_{1}+(y-x) g_{2}$ that arose from the construction of $g_{3}$. In fact, every finitely generated $S$-module has a resolution by free modules of length at most $n$ (Hilbert's chain-of-syzygies theorem).

