

## VIRTUAL LECTURE 5-29

Given any ring homomorphism  $f : A \rightarrow B$  there is an induced map  $f^*$  taking  $\text{Spec}(B)$  to  $\text{Spec}(A)$ , which is continuous in the Zariski topologies on these spectra; this map can be used in conjunction with localization and passage to the quotient to relate the spectrum of a difficult ring to that of an easier one. As an example, we will simultaneously work out the spectra of  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  and  $k[x, y]$ ,  $k$  a field; these two very different rings exhibit many parallel features. Letting  $B$  be one of these rings and  $A = \mathbb{Z}$  in the first case,  $A = k[x]$  in the second, we begin by observing that any prime ideal of  $B$  contracts to a prime ideal in  $A$ ; if this is nonzero, then by passage to the quotient we are reduced to studying prime ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}/(p)[x]$  in the first case (for  $p$  a prime integer) and prime ideals of  $k'[x]$  in the second (where  $k'$  is a simple finite extension of  $k$ , obtained as the quotient of  $k[x]/(q)$ ,  $q$  an irreducible monic polynomial over  $k$ ). In both cases the ring obtained is a PID, so we deduce immediately that *prime ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  meeting  $\mathbb{Z}$  nontrivially take the form  $(p, f)$ , where  $p$  is a prime integer and  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  is a monic polynomial whose reduction  $\bar{f} \bmod p$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}/(p)[x]$* . Similarly, *prime ideals in  $k[x, y]$  meeting  $k[x]$  nontrivially take the form  $(q, q')$ , where  $q$  is monic irreducible in  $k[x]$  and  $q'$  is monic in  $y$ , its reduction  $\bar{q}' \bmod x$  being monic irreducible in  $k[y]$* . It remains to treat prime ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  contracting to 0 in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , and similarly prime ideals of  $k[x, y]$  contracting to 0 in  $k[x]$ ; here localization comes to the fore, telling us that such ideals are in bijection to prime ideals of  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ ,  $k(x)[y]$ , respectively. As both of these rings are again PIDs, we deduce that *the remaining nonzero prime ideals in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  take the form  $(f)$  for  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  irreducible* (equivalently,  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ ,  $f$  irreducible as a polynomial in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ , and  $f$  primitive). Once again a parallel result holds for  $k[x, y]$ .

More generally, homomorphisms  $A \rightarrow B$  behave especially nicely on the level of prime spectra if  $B$  is flat as an  $A$ -module. Recall from the fall quarter that this means (by definition) that tensoring with  $B$  is an exact functor from  $A$ -modules to  $A$ -modules, and that  $B$  is automatically flat over  $A$  whenever  $B$  is projective (in particular free) as an  $A$ -module. Things are even nicer if  $B$  is *faithfully flat*; here (by one of several equivalent characterizations) the induced map from  $\text{Spec}(B)$  to  $\text{Spec}(A)$  is surjective, (equivalently, the extension of any maximal ideal of  $A$  is proper in  $B$ ). There is however no requirement that the map from  $\text{Spec}(B)$  to  $\text{Spec}(A)$  be injective, and indeed  $B$  can have a much larger spectrum than  $A$  in this situation.

Apart from flat extensions, the other kinds of especially nice ring extensions from this point of view are localizations. The localization  $B = A_S$  of a ring  $A$  by a multiplicatively closed subset  $S$  is such that every ideal of  $B$  is the extension of an ideal of  $A$ . Though in general different ideals of  $A$  can have the same extension in  $B$ , this cannot happen for prime ideals: *there is a one-to-one inclusion-preserving correspondence between prime ideals of  $B$  and prime ideals of  $A$  not meeting  $S$ , given by extension from  $A$  to  $B$  and contraction from  $B$  to  $A$* . (The same holds for primary ideals and was used to derive the uniqueness results in class for primary decompositions.) This phenomenon helps explain the term “localization”; in the special case where  $S$  is the complement of a fixed prime

ideal  $P$ , localization cuts out all prime ideals except those “Zariski-close” to  $P$  in the sense that they are contained in it. (In a similar but more elementary manner, modding out by  $P$  cuts out all primes except those *containing*  $P$ .) If  $S$  is the complement of  $P$ , then in particular  $A_S$  is a local ring, whose unique maximal ideal is generated by  $P$ .

Recall also from last quarter that integral extensions of a ring also behave nicely in this respect. We saw then (in our current language) that given an integral extension  $A \subset B$ , every prime ideal of  $A$  is the contraction of some prime ideal of  $B$ ; although there can be two primes in  $B$  with the same contraction in  $A$ , there cannot be any inclusion relations between two such ideals. In particular, the (Krull) dimensions of  $A$  and  $B$  always coincide.