

UTILITY, GENERALIZED ENTROPY AND MEASURES OF LIABILITY

Terry Rockafellar

University of Washington, Seattle
University of Florida, Gainesville

Humboldt University, Berlin — January, 2009

LECTURE 4

Integral Functionals

$(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P) = \text{some probability space}$

A closed-set-valued mapping $S : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is **measurable** when
 $\{\omega \mid S(\omega) \cap C\} \in \mathcal{F}$ for all closed sets $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$

A function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \times \Omega \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is a **normal integrand** when
 $f(x, \omega)$ is lsc in x and $S : \omega \rightarrow \text{epi } f(\cdot, \omega)$ is measurable

Consequence: $f(x(\omega), \omega)$ is measurable when $x(\omega)$ is measurable

Conjugacy on paired spaces $\mathcal{L}_n^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ and $\mathcal{L}_n^q(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$

For a normal integrand f , the integral functional

$$I_f(x(\cdot)) = E\{f(x(\cdot), \cdot)\} = \int_{\Omega} f(x(\omega), \omega) dP(\omega)$$

is (with minor assumption) well-defined for $x(\cdot) \in \mathcal{L}_n^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$, and

$$I_f^* = I_{f^*} \text{ on } \mathcal{L}_n^q(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P), \quad I_f^{**} = I_{f^{**}} \text{ on } \mathcal{L}_n^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$$

Note: I_f is **convex** when $f(x, \omega)$ is convex in x , and then

$$v(\cdot) \in \partial I_f(x(\cdot)) \iff v(\omega) \in \partial f(x(\omega), \omega) \text{ almost surely}$$

Utility Maximization in Finance

Instruments: $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$ with returns X_i , risk-free for $i = 0$
prices π_i with $\pi_0 = 1$, rates of return $r_i = X_i/\pi_i - 1$, r_0 constant
 $Y_i = X_i/[1 + r_0] - \pi_i$ gives net return in present money

Portfolios: weights ξ_i yielding $\sum_{i=0}^m \xi_i X_i$ at cost $\sum_{i=0}^m \xi_i \pi_i$, or
in present money yielding $\sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i Y_i + w$ from investment w

Monetary utility, normalized:

$u(x)$ = the amount of present money deemed acceptable
in lieu of receiving the future amount $[1 + r_0]x$

u is concave, nondecreasing, with $u(0) = 0$, $u(x) \leq x$

Utility maximization problem

maximize $E\{u(\sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i Y_i + w)\}$ over $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m)$

$U(X) = E\{u(X)\}$ assesses present worth of future gain $[1 + r_0]X$

Reformulation to Minimization in Loss Context

$v(x) = -u(-x)$ = the **liability** exposure associated with x
= the amount of present money deemed necessary as
compensation for losing $[1 + r_0]x$ in the future

v is convex, nondecreasing, with $v(0) = 0$, $v(x) \geq x$

Liability minimization problem

minimize $E\{v(\sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i[-Y_i] - w)\}$ over $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m)$

$\mathcal{V}(X) = E\{v(X)\} = I_v(X) =$ **integral** functional on $\mathcal{L}^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$
 \mathcal{V} is convex, nondecreasing, with $\mathcal{V}(0) = 0$, $\mathcal{V}(X) \geq EX$

Conjugate: $\mathcal{V}^*(Q) = I_{v^*}(Q) = E\{v^*(Q)\}$ on $\mathcal{L}^q(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$

\mathcal{V}^* is convex, $\mathcal{V}^*(Q) \geq 0$, $\mathcal{V}^*(1) = 0$, and $\mathcal{V}^*(Q) < \infty \Rightarrow Q \geq 0$

Insurance interpretation: $\mathcal{V}(X)$ is the **premium** to be charged
(relative to v) for covering the uncertain future loss $[1 + r_0]X$

Lagrangian and Dual Problem

$$\mathcal{V}(X) = E\{v(X)\}, \quad \mathcal{V}^*(Q) = E\{v^*(Q)\}$$

Lagrangian for the minimization problem:

$$L(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m; Q) = E\{(\sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i[-Y_i] + [-w])Q\} - \mathcal{V}^*(Q)$$

Derivation of the dual objective:

$$\begin{aligned} g(Q) &= \inf_{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m} L(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m; Q) \\ &= [-w]EQ - \mathcal{V}^*(Q) \text{ if } Q \geq 0 \text{ and } E[Y_i|Q] = 0, \\ &\text{but } = -\infty \text{ otherwise} \end{aligned}$$

Dual problem

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{maximize } [-w]EQ - E\{v^*(Q)\} \text{ subject to} \\ &Q \geq 0 \text{ and } E[Y_i|Q] = 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m \end{aligned}$$

$-w$ = the money extracted from the market in the present
for taking on the future losses associated with $\sum_{i=0}^m \xi_i[-X_i]$

Application of Duality Criteria

These primal and dual problems fit the extended Fenchel format:

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{P}) \quad & \text{minimize} \{ \langle c, \xi \rangle + h(\xi) + k(b - A\xi) \}, \\(\mathcal{D}) \quad & \text{maximize} \{ \langle b, Q \rangle - k^*(Q) - h^*(A^*Q - c) \},\end{aligned}$$

with $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^m$ and $Q \in \mathcal{L}^q$, paired with \mathcal{L}^p , $p < \infty$, by taking

$$\begin{aligned}c &= 0, \quad h \equiv 0, \quad h^* = \delta_0, \quad k = \mathcal{V}, \quad k^* = \mathcal{V}^*, \quad b = -w, \\A : \xi &\rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i Y_i, \quad A^* : Q \rightarrow (E[Y_1 Q], \dots, E[Y_m Q])\end{aligned}$$

Criteria to be specialized:

$$b \in \text{int}[A(\text{dom } h) + \text{dom } k], \quad c \in \text{int}[A^*(\text{dom } k^*) - \text{dom } h^*]$$

Duality theorem

- (a) $\inf(\mathcal{P}) = \max(\mathcal{D})$ if $-w \in \text{int} \{ X \in \mathcal{L}^p \mid E\{v(X)\} < \infty \}$
- (b) $\min(\mathcal{P}) = \sup(\mathcal{D})$ if $0 \in \text{int} \{ (E[Y_1 Q], \dots, E[Y_m Q]) \mid Q \in \mathcal{L}^q, E\{v^*(Q)\} < \infty \}$

It is possible also to work with $X \in \mathcal{L}^\infty$ and $Q \in (\mathcal{L}^\infty)^*$. Further analysis then relates the results to known conditions in finance.

Valuations of Liability Generalized

functionals $\mathcal{V}(X)$, not just of form $I_\nu(X)$, for potential losses X

Liability measures

Call \mathcal{V} a **measure of liability** if: (V1) $\mathcal{V}(0) = 0$, $\mathcal{V}(X) \geq EX$,
(V2) \mathcal{V} convex, (V3) \mathcal{V} nondecreasing, (V4) \mathcal{V} lsc

Conjugate characterization:

\mathcal{V}^* convex, lsc, $\mathcal{V}^*(Q) \geq 0$, $\mathcal{V}^*(1) = 0$, $\mathcal{V}^*(Q) < \infty \Rightarrow Q \geq 0$

Consider a **trade-off**: minimize $C + \mathcal{V}(X - C)$ over $C \in \mathbf{R}$
charge C up front, reducing uncertain future losses accordingly

Derivation of associated risk measure and entropy

- (a) $\mathcal{R}(X) = \min_C \{C + \mathcal{V}(X - C)\}$ is a coherent measure of risk
- (b) $\mathcal{R}^*(Q) = \mathcal{V}^*(Q)$ if $EQ = 1$, but $\mathcal{R}^*(Q) = \infty$ otherwise

$\mathcal{R}^*(Q)$ is thus an **entropy** functional $\mathcal{I}(Q)$, $-\mathcal{I}(Q) =$ the entropy

Minimization of Portfolio Risk

\mathcal{V} = measure of liability, \mathcal{R} = associated risk, $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{R}^*$ entropy

$$\mathcal{R}(\sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i[-Y_i] - w) = \mathcal{R}(\sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i[-Y_i]) - w$$

Portfolio risk minimization problem

$$\text{minimize } \mathcal{R}(\sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i[-Y_i]) \text{ over } \xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m)$$

Lagrangian function:

$$\begin{aligned} L(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m; Q) &= E\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i[-Y_i]Q \right\} - \mathcal{I}(Q) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i E\left\{ [-Y_i]Q \right\} - \mathcal{V}^*(Q) \text{ if } Q \geq 0, EQ = 1 \\ &\text{but } = -\infty \text{ otherwise} \end{aligned}$$

Corresponding dual problem in entropy

$$\text{maximize } -\mathcal{I}(Q) \text{ subject to } E[Y_i Q] = 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m$$

$\Rightarrow Q$ is a **risk neutral** probability density, $Q = dP^*/dP$

an "entropic distance" of P^* from the nominal P is minimized

Aversity in Liability Valuation

Call a liability measure \mathcal{V} **averse** if $\mathcal{V}(X) > EX$ when $X \neq 0$

Associated measures of error and deviation

Let \mathcal{V} be an averse measure of liability, and let $\mathcal{R}(X)$ be the associated measure of risk, $\mathcal{R}(X) = \min_C \{C + \mathcal{V}(X - C)\}$

- (a) $\mathcal{R}(X)$ is an **averse measure of risk** and coherent
- (b) $\mathcal{E}(X) = \mathcal{V}(X) - EX$ is a **measure of error**
- (c) $\mathcal{D}(X) = \min_C \{\mathcal{E}(X - C)\}$ agrees with $\mathcal{D}(X) = \mathcal{R}(X - EX)$

Integral functional case: $\mathcal{V}(X) = E\{v(X)\}$

v convex, nondecreasing, with $v(0) = 0$, $v(x) \geq x$

$\mathcal{V}(X) = E\{v(X)\}$ is averse when $v(x) > x$ for $x \neq 0$

$\mathcal{E}(X) = E\{\varepsilon(X)\}$ for the function $\varepsilon(x) = v(x) - x$

CVaR Revisited

Consider the liability measure $\mathcal{V}(X) = E\{v(X)\}$ and associated error measure $\mathcal{E}(X) = E\{\varepsilon(X)\} = E\{v(X) - X\}$, deviation measure $\mathcal{D}(X) = \min_C \{\mathcal{E}(X - C)\}$ and coherent risk measure $\mathcal{R}(X) = \min_C \{C + \mathcal{V}(X - C)\}$ in the case of

$v(x) = (1 - \alpha)^{-1} \max\{x, 0\}$ (averse), with

$$\varepsilon(x) = v(x) - x = [(1 - \alpha)^{-1} - 1] \max\{x, 0\} + \max\{-x, 0\}$$

where $0 < \alpha < 1$, so that $(1 - \alpha)^{-1} > 1$. Then

- (a) $\mathcal{V}(X) = (1 - \alpha)^{-1} E[X_+]$
- (b) $\mathcal{E}(X) = [(1 - \alpha)^{-1} - 1] E[X_+] + E[X_-]$ Koenker-Basset error
- (c) $\mathcal{R}(X) = \text{CVaR}_\alpha(X)$
- (d) $\mathcal{D}(X) = \text{CVaR}_\alpha(X - EX)$;

For “utility” version of this, see paper of Ben-Tal and Teboulle

Some References

- [1] R. T. Rockafellar (1998), *Variational Analysis*, Springer-Verlag
Chapter 14 (for issues of measurability)
- [2] R. T. Rockafellar (1971), “Integrals which are convex functionals, II,” *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* 39, 439–469
conjugates on $(\mathcal{L}^\infty)^*$ are covered as well
- [3] R. T. Rockafellar (1976), “Integral functionals, normal integrands and measurable selections,” in *Nonlinear Operators and the Calculus of Variations*, L. Waelbroeck (ed.), Lecture Notes in Math. 543, Springer-Verlag, 157-207
most now in [1], except weak compactness characterization
- [4] A. Ben-Tal, M. Teboulle (2007), “An old-new concept of convex risk measures: the optimized certainty equivalent,” *Mathematical Finance* 17, 449–476.