

A GENERALIZATION OF WATTS'S THEOREM: RIGHT EXACT FUNCTORS ON MODULE CATEGORIES

A. NYMAN AND S. P. SMITH

ABSTRACT. Watts's Theorem says that a right exact functor $F : \text{Mod}R \rightarrow \text{Mod}S$ that commutes with direct sums is isomorphic to $-\otimes_R B$ where B is the R - S -bimodule FR . The main result in this paper is the following: if \mathbf{A} is a cocomplete abelian category and $F : \text{Mod}R \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ is a right exact functor commuting with direct sums, then F is isomorphic to $-\otimes_R \mathcal{F}$ where \mathcal{F} is a suitable R -module in \mathbf{A} , i.e., a pair (\mathcal{F}, ρ) consisting of an object $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{A}$ and a ring homomorphism $\rho : R \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F})$. Part of the point is to give meaning to the notation $-\otimes_R \mathcal{F}$. That is done in the paper by Artin and Zhang [1] on Abstract Hilbert Schemes. The present paper is a natural extension of some of the ideas in the first part of their paper.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let R and S be rings and let $\text{Mod}R$ and $\text{Mod}S$ denote the category of right R -modules and right S -modules, respectively. Watts's Theorem, which was proved by Eilenberg [3] and Watts [7] at about the same time, is the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose $F : \text{Mod}R \rightarrow \text{Mod}S$ is a right exact functor commuting with direct limits. Then $F \cong -\otimes_R B$ where B is an R - S -bimodule.*

Let $\mathbf{B}(\text{Mod}R, \text{Mod}S)$ denote the full subcategory of the category of functors from $\text{Mod}R$ to $\text{Mod}S$ consisting of right exact functors commuting with direct limits. The next result is a slightly more precise version of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.2. *The functor $\Psi : \text{Mod}(R^{\text{op}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} S) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}(\text{Mod}R, \text{Mod}S)$ induced by the assignment $B \mapsto -\otimes_R B$ is an equivalence of categories.*

Theorem 1.1 is then just the fact that the functor Ψ is essentially surjective.

The main result of this paper (Theorem 3.1) is that if $\text{Mod}S$ is replaced by an arbitrary cocomplete¹ category \mathbf{A} , then a version of Theorem 1.2 still holds. One of the obvious hurdles in proving such a theorem is to have a sensible notion of tensor product in this context. We use the tensor product functor that was defined in [6, Thm. 3.7.1] and investigated in detail in [1] (see Section 2.4).

In Proposition 4.2, we specialize our main result to the case that \mathbf{A} is the category of quasi-coherent sheaves on a scheme Y . This version of the main result is used

Date: January 3, 2009.

Key words and phrases. Watts theorem.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 18F99; Secondary 14A22, 16D90, 18A25.

The first author was partially supported by the National Security Agency under grant NSA H98230-05-1-0021. The second author was supported by NSF grant DMS-0245724.

¹An additive category is **cocomplete** if it has arbitrary direct sums. This is Grothendieck's condition Ab3.

extensively in [5] to prove a structure theorem for right exact functors between categories of quasi-coherent sheaves on schemes.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper, k is a fixed commutative ring, R is a k -algebra, and $\gamma : k \rightarrow R$ is the homomorphism giving R its k -algebra structure.

2.1. k -linearity. Let \mathbf{A} be an additive category. We say \mathbf{A} is k -linear if for all objects X and Y in \mathbf{A} , $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(X, Y)$ is a k -module and composition of morphisms is k -bilinear. Equivalently, \mathbf{A} is k -linear if there is a ring homomorphism

$$c : k \rightarrow \text{End}(\text{id}_{\mathbf{A}})$$

from k to the ring of natural transformations from the identity functor to itself.

The first definition tells us that for each object $X \in \mathbf{A}$ and each $a \in k$ there is a morphism $a_X : X \rightarrow X$ such that

$$(2-1) \quad a_Y \circ f = f \circ a_X$$

for all $a \in k$ and $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(X, Y)$. The second definition tells us there are natural transformations $c(a) : \text{id}_{\mathbf{A}} \rightarrow \text{id}_{\mathbf{A}}$ for each $a \in k$, and therefore associated morphisms $c(a)_X : X \rightarrow X$ for each $a \in k$ and $X \in \mathbf{A}$. The connection between the two definitions is that

$$c(a)_X = a_X$$

for all $a \in k$ and X in \mathbf{A} .

The k -linear structure on $\text{Mod}R$ is given by

$$(2-2) \quad a_M(m) = m \cdot \gamma(a).$$

for all $M \in \text{Mod}R$, $m \in M$, and $a \in k$.

2.2. k -linear functors. Let \mathbf{C} and \mathbf{A} be k -linear categories. A functor $F : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ is k -linear if the natural maps $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(FX, FY)$ are k -linear for all X and Y in \mathbf{C} . Equivalently, F is k -linear if F is additive and

$$F(a_Y) = a_{FY}$$

for all $a \in k$ and $Y \in \text{Mod}R$.

We write

$$\mathbf{B}_k(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{A})$$

for the full subcategory of the category of functors $\mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ consisting of k -linear right exact functors that commute with direct limits. We use the letter \mathbf{B} to remind us of bimodules.

It is surely well known that an adjoint to a k -linear functor is again k -linear but we could not find a proof in the literature so provide one for completeness.

Proposition 2.1. *Let \mathbf{C} and \mathbf{A} be k -linear categories. Let $G : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be a functor having a left adjoint F . If G is k -linear so is F .*

Proof. Let $X \in \mathbf{C}$, and let

$$\nu : \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(FX, FX) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X, GFX)$$

be the adjoint isomorphism. By the functoriality of the adjoint isomorphisms the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(FX, FX) & \xrightarrow{\nu} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X, GFX) \\ -\circ Ff \downarrow & & \downarrow -\circ f \\ \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(FX, FX) & \xrightarrow{\nu} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X, GFX) \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(FX, FX) & \xrightarrow{\nu} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X, GFX) \\ g\circ- \downarrow & & \downarrow Gg\circ- \\ \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(FX, FX) & \xrightarrow{\nu} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X, GFX) \end{array}$$

commute for all X in \mathbf{C} , all $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X, X)$, and all $g \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(FX, FX)$.

Let $\theta \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(FX, FX)$ be an element in the top left corner of the diagrams. Let $f = a_X$ and $g = a_{FX}$. The commutativity therefore gives

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(\theta \circ F(a_X)) &= \nu(\theta) \circ a_X & \text{and} \\ \nu(a_{FX} \circ \theta) &= G(a_{FX}) \circ \nu(\theta). \end{aligned}$$

But $\nu(\theta) : X \rightarrow GFX$ is a k -linear morphism so $\nu(\theta) \circ a_X = a_{GFX} \circ \nu(\theta)$. Since G is k -linear, $G(a_{FX}) = a_{GFX}$. Hence

$$\nu(\theta \circ F(a_X)) = a_{GFX} \circ \nu(\theta) = G(a_{FX}) \circ \nu(\theta) = \nu(a_{FX} \circ \theta).$$

But ν is an isomorphism so

$$\theta \circ F(a_X) = a_{FX} \circ \theta.$$

Now take $\theta = \mathrm{id}_{FX}$ to get $F(a_X) = a_{FX}$, so showing that F is k -linear. \square

2.3. The category \mathbf{A}_R . For the remainder of this paper, we let \mathbf{A} denote a k -linear cocomplete category.

A *left R -module in \mathbf{A}* is a pair (\mathcal{F}, ρ) where \mathcal{F} is an object in \mathbf{A} and $\rho : R \rightarrow \mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{A}} \mathcal{F}$ is a k -algebra homomorphism. Popescu [6, p. 108] calls (\mathcal{F}, ρ) a left R -object of \mathbf{A} . Let (\mathcal{F}, ρ) and (\mathcal{G}, ρ') be left R -modules in \mathbf{A} . We define the set of *R -module maps* from (\mathcal{F}, ρ) to (\mathcal{G}, ρ') to be

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) := \{\alpha \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \mid \rho'(r) \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ \rho(r) \text{ for all } r \in R\}.$$

Using these R -module maps as morphisms we then obtain a category \mathbf{A}_R , the category of left R -modules in \mathbf{A} .

Suppose $(\mathcal{F}, \rho) \in \mathbf{A}_R$. If $\mathcal{G} \in \mathbf{A}$, then $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ becomes a right R -module through the composition map

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \times \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}),$$

i.e.,

$$\alpha.r := \alpha \circ \rho(r)$$

for $\alpha \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ and $r \in R$. This allows us to view $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{F}, -)$ as a functor $\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}R$.

For each $x \in R$, let $\mu_x : R \rightarrow R$ be the right R -module homomorphism $\mu_x(r) := xr$.

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose $F \in \mathbf{B}_k(\text{Mod}R, \mathbf{A})$. Define the ring homomorphism*

$$\rho : R \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbf{A}} FR, \quad \rho(x) := F(\mu_x).$$

Then $(FR, \rho) \in \mathbf{A}_R$.

Proof. To prove the lemma it suffices to show that ρ is a k -algebra homomorphism, i.e., that $(\rho \circ \gamma)(a) = a_{FR}$ for all $a \in k$. But

$$\rho(\gamma(a)) = F(\mu_{\gamma(a)}) = F(a_R) = a_{FR},$$

where the second equality is due to (2-2). Hence the result. \square

2.4. The functor $- \otimes_R \mathcal{F}$. Recall the standing hypothesis that \mathbf{A} is cocomplete.

Let $(\mathcal{F}, \rho) \in \mathbf{A}_R$. By [6, p. 108], the functor $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{F}, -) : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \text{Mod}R$ has a left adjoint.² We fix a left adjoint and denote it by $- \otimes_R \mathcal{F}$. By [1, Proposition B3.1], the functor $- \otimes_R \mathcal{F}$ is unique up to isomorphism (of functors) such that

- $R \otimes_R \mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{F}$, and
- $- \otimes_R \mathcal{F}$ is right exact and commutes with direct sums.

Since the functor $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{F}, -)$ is k -linear for all $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{A}$, Proposition 2.1 implies the following:

Corollary 2.3. *If $(\mathcal{F}, \rho) \in \mathbf{A}_R$, then $- \otimes_R \mathcal{F}$ is k -linear.*

3. THE GENERALIZATION OF WATTS'S THEOREM

Theorem 3.1. *The functor*

$$\Psi : \mathbf{A}_R \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_k(\text{Mod}R, \mathbf{A})$$

induced by the assignment

$$\Psi(\mathcal{F}) = - \otimes_R \mathcal{F},$$

is an equivalence of categories.

3.1. The proof that Ψ is essentially surjective.

Proposition 3.2.³ *Let $F \in \mathbf{B}_k(\text{Mod}R, \mathbf{A})$. Then $F \cong - \otimes_R \mathcal{F}$ where $\mathcal{F} = FR$.*

Proof. Let $\theta_M : M \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{F}, FM)$ be the composition

$$M \xrightarrow{\Lambda_M} \text{Hom}_R(R, M) \xrightarrow{F} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{F}, FM)$$

where Λ_M is the canonical isomorphism $m \rightarrow \lambda_m$ where $\lambda_m(r) := mr$ for all $r \in R$.

Let

$$\Theta_M : M \otimes_R \mathcal{F} \rightarrow FM$$

be the map that corresponds to θ_M under the adjoint isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_R(M, \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{F}, FM)) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(M \otimes_R \mathcal{F}, FM).$$

²It is essential that \mathbf{A} be cocomplete for $- \otimes_R \mathcal{F}$ to exist. For example, if $R = \mathbb{Z}$ and \mathbf{A} consists of finitely generated abelian groups and $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{Z}$, there is no adjoint. But the hypothesis of cocompleteness is absent from [6, p.108] and parts of [1].

³After we finished writing this paper we learned that a special case of this result had already been proved by Brzezinski and Wisbauer [2, 39.3, p.410] under the hypothesis that the objects of \mathbf{A} are abelian groups.

We will show that the Θ_M s define a natural transformation, i.e., if $f : M \rightarrow N$ is a homomorphism of right R -modules, then the diagram

$$(3-1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} M \otimes_R \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{f \otimes \mathcal{F}} & N \otimes_R \mathcal{F} \\ \Theta_M \downarrow & & \downarrow \Theta_N \\ FM & \xrightarrow{Ff} & FN \end{array}$$

commutes. Define $\eta : \text{Hom}_A(\mathcal{F}, FM) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(\mathcal{F}, FN)$ by $\eta(g) := Ff \circ g$. The left and right squares in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M & \xrightarrow{\Lambda_M} & \text{Hom}_R(R, M) & \xrightarrow{F} & \text{Hom}_A(\mathcal{F}, FM) \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \eta \\ N & \xrightarrow{\Lambda_N} & \text{Hom}_R(R, N) & \xrightarrow{F} & \text{Hom}_A(\mathcal{F}, FN) \end{array}$$

commute, so $\eta \circ \theta_M = \theta_N \circ f$.

We now consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}(M, \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, FM)) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Hom}(M \otimes \mathcal{F}, FM) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Hom}(M, \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, FN)) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Hom}(M \otimes \mathcal{F}, FN) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \text{Hom}(N, \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, FN)) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Hom}(N \otimes \mathcal{F}, FN), \end{array}$$

whose verticals are induced by f and whose horizontals are the adjoint isomorphism. The top and bottom rectangles of this diagram commute by the functoriality of the adjoint isomorphisms. The maps θ_M and θ_N belong to the top and bottom Hom-sets of the left-hand column and their images in $\text{Hom}(M, \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, FN))$ are the same because $\eta \circ \theta_M = \theta_N \circ f$. It follows that the images of Θ_M and Θ_N in $\text{Hom}(M \otimes \mathcal{F}, FN)$ are the same. In other words,

$$Ff \circ \Theta_M = \Theta_N \circ (f \otimes \mathcal{F})$$

which proves that (3-1) commutes and hence that the Θ_M s define a natural transformation

$$\Theta : - \otimes_R \mathcal{F} \rightarrow F.$$

Because $(F \circ \Lambda_R)(x) = F(\mu_x) = \rho(x)$, $\theta_R : R \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F})$ is the map giving \mathcal{F} its R -module structure, so the corresponding map $\Theta_R : R \otimes_R \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is an isomorphism. Since the functors $- \otimes_R \mathcal{F}$ and F commute with direct sums, Θ_M is an isomorphism for all free R -modules M . Since $- \otimes_R \mathcal{F}$ and F are right exact it follows that Θ_M is an isomorphism whenever M is the cokernel of a map between free R -modules. But every R -module is of that form so Θ_M is an isomorphism for all M . Hence Θ is an isomorphism of functors.⁴ \square

Proposition 3.2 says that the functor Ψ in Theorem 3.1 is essentially surjective.

⁴The argument in the last part of the proof is a result of B. Mitchell. See [2, 39.1, p.409] for more details.

3.2. $R \otimes_R - : \mathbf{A}_R \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_R$ is isomorphic to the identity functor. Let $(\mathcal{F}, \rho) \in \mathbf{A}_R$ and let $\mathcal{N} \in \mathbf{A}$. The composition

$$(3-2) \quad \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(R \otimes_R \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{N}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_R(R, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{N})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{N}),$$

where the first map is the adjoint isomorphism and the second is the canonical isomorphism $\psi \mapsto \psi(1)$, induces an isomorphism of functors

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(R \otimes_R \mathcal{F}, -) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{F}, -)$$

which, by the Yoneda Lemma, corresponds to a unique isomorphism

$$\xi_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} R \otimes_R \mathcal{F}.$$

The next result is a slightly sharper form of [1, Prop. B3.1(a)].

Proposition 3.3. *The diagram*

$$(3-3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} R \otimes_R \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{R \otimes \phi} & R \otimes_R \mathcal{G} \\ \xi_{\mathcal{F}} \uparrow & & \uparrow \xi_{\mathcal{G}} \\ \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathcal{G} \end{array}$$

commutes for all $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \mathbf{A}_R$ and all $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$. Therefore, the maps $\xi_{\mathcal{F}}$ provide an isomorphism

$$\xi : \mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{A}_R} \longrightarrow (R \otimes_R -)$$

of functors.

Proof. By the Yoneda lemma, the commutivity of (3-3) is equivalent to the condition that for all $\mathcal{N} \in \mathbf{A}$ the outer rectangle in the diagram

$$(3-4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(R \otimes_R \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{N}) & \xleftarrow{- \circ (R \otimes \phi)} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(R \otimes_R \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{N}) \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ \mathrm{Hom}_R(R, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{N})) & \xleftarrow{\Gamma} & \mathrm{Hom}_R(R, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{N})) \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{N}) & \xleftarrow{- \circ \phi} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{N}) \end{array}$$

commutes, where the vertical arrows are the factorizations in (3-2) that are used to define $\xi_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\xi_{\mathcal{G}}$, and

$$\Gamma(\psi)(x) := \psi(x) \circ \phi$$

for all $x \in R$ and $\psi \in \mathrm{Hom}_R(R, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{N}))$.

The uppermost square of (3-4) commutes by functoriality of the adjoint isomorphism. Going clockwise around the lower square, the image in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{N})$ of $\psi \in \mathrm{Hom}_R(R, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{N}))$ is $\psi(1) \circ \phi$. Going counter-clockwise around the lower square, the image of ψ in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{N})$ is $\Gamma(\psi)(1) = \psi(1) \circ \phi$. Hence the lower square commutes.

It follows that the outer rectangle commutes. \square

3.3. The proof that Ψ is full. Let \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} be objects in \mathbf{A}_R and let $\phi \in \text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$. Because (3-3) commutes $R \otimes \phi$ is non-zero if ϕ is non-zero. Hence Ψ is faithful.

3.4. The proof that Ψ is faithful. To complete the proof of Theorem 3.1, it remains to show that Ψ is full. To that end, let

$$\tau : - \otimes_R \mathcal{F} \rightarrow - \otimes_R \mathcal{G}$$

be a natural transformation. We must show there is a homomorphism $\phi \in \text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ such that $\tau_M = M \otimes \phi$ for all $M \in \text{Mod}R$.

Define

$$\phi := \xi_{\mathcal{G}}^{-1} \circ \tau_R \circ \xi_{\mathcal{F}}.$$

It follows from the commutativity of (3-3) that $R \otimes \phi = \tau_R$. By Lemma 3.4 below, it follows that $M \otimes \phi = \tau_M$ for all $M \in \text{Mod}R$. In other words, $\Psi(\phi) = \tau$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let \mathbf{C} be an abelian category and let $F, G : \text{Mod}R \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be right exact functors that commute with direct sums. Let $\tau, \tau' : F \rightarrow G$ be natural transformations. If $\tau_R = \tau'_R$, then $\tau = \tau'$.*

Proof. Let $M_i, i \in I$, be a collection of right R -modules. Then there is a natural map

$$\bigoplus_{i \in I} FM_i \rightarrow F\left(\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i\right)$$

and the fact that F commutes with direct sums says that this map is an isomorphism. By the universal property of colimits, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus_{i \in I} FM_i & \longrightarrow & F\left(\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i\right) \\ \oplus \tau_{M_i} \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau_{\bigoplus M_i} \\ \bigoplus_{i \in I} GM_i & \longrightarrow & G\left(\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i\right). \end{array}$$

Since the horizontal maps are isomorphisms, if $\tau_{M_i} = \tau'_{M_i}$ for all i , then

$$\tau_{\bigoplus M_i} = \tau'_{\bigoplus M_i}.$$

In particular, it follows that $\tau_P = \tau'_P$ for all free R -modules P .

Let M be a right R -module and let $P \rightarrow Q \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence in which P and Q are free R -modules. Then there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} FP & \longrightarrow & FQ & \longrightarrow & FM & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \tau_P \downarrow & & \tau_Q \downarrow & & & & \\ GP & \longrightarrow & GQ & \longrightarrow & GM & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array}$$

and a unique map $FM \rightarrow GM$ making the diagram commute, namely τ_M . Since $\tau_P = \tau'_P$ and $\tau_Q = \tau'_Q$, it follows that $\tau_M = \tau'_M$. \square

4. AN APPLICATION

Throughout this section, let X denote a k -scheme. If $X = \text{Spec } R$, we let

$$\widetilde{(-)} : \text{Mod } R \rightarrow \text{Qcoh } X$$

be the quasi-inverse to the global sections functor defined in [4, II, Definition, p. 110].

Example 4.1. Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a morphism from an arbitrary scheme to an affine scheme $X = \text{Spec } R$. Then $f^* \circ \widetilde{(-)} : \text{Mod } R \rightarrow \text{Qcoh } Y$ is a right exact functor commuting with direct sums. Proposition 3.2 says that $f^* \circ \widetilde{(-)} \cong - \otimes_R \mathcal{O}_Y$ where \mathcal{O}_Y is made into an R -module via the ring homomorphism

$$R \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R, R) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_Y(f^* \mathcal{O}_X, f^* \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_Y(\mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$$

where the first map sends $r \in R$ to multiplication by r , the second map is induced by $f^* \circ \widetilde{(-)}$ and the third isomorphism is induced by the natural isomorphism $f^* \mathcal{O}_X \cong \mathcal{O}_Y$.

The motivation for this present paper lies in our paper [5]. There we consider k -schemes X and Y and k -linear functors $F : \text{Qcoh } X \rightarrow \text{Qcoh } Y$ that are right exact and commute with direct sums. One source of such functors is the following. Let \mathcal{F} be a quasi-coherent sheaf on $X \times_k Y$, and define

$$(4-1) \quad - \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{F} := \text{pr}_{2*}(\text{pr}_1^*(-) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X \times_k Y}} \mathcal{F})$$

where $\text{pr}_i : X \times_k Y \rightarrow X, Y, i = 1, 2$, are the obvious projections.

Two warnings are necessary. First, a functor of the form $- \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{F}$ is not always in $\text{B}_k(\text{Qcoh } X, \text{Qcoh } Y)$. This happens, for example, if $Y = \text{Spec } k$, $X = \mathbb{P}_k^1$ and $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_{X \times_k Y} \cong \Gamma(X, -)$.

Second, an object in $\text{B}_k(\text{Qcoh } X, \text{Qcoh } Y)$ is not always isomorphic to one of the form $- \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{F}$. For example, when $Y = \text{Spec } k$ and $X = \mathbb{P}_k^1$, the functor $H^1(X, -)$ is not of this form [5, Proposition 5.4].

The question motivating [5] is whether F is isomorphic to a functor of the form $- \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{F}$. It follows from Theorem 3.1 that this is always the case if X is affine, as we now show.

Proposition 4.2. *Let R be a commutative k -algebra and Y a k -scheme. Write $X := \text{Spec } R$. Then the inclusion functor*

$$\text{Qcoh}(X \times_k Y) \rightarrow \text{B}_k(\text{Qcoh } X, \text{Qcoh } Y), \quad \mathcal{F} \mapsto - \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{F},$$

is an equivalence of categories.

Proof. By [4, II, exercise 5.17e], the functor

$$\text{pr}_{2*} : \text{Qcoh}(X \times_k Y) \rightarrow \text{Qcoh}(\text{pr}_{2*} \mathcal{O}_{X \times_k Y})$$

is an equivalence, where $\text{Qcoh}(\text{pr}_{2*} \mathcal{O}_{X \times_k Y})$ denotes the category of quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_Y -modules with $\text{pr}_{2*} \mathcal{O}_{X \times_k Y}$ -module structure. Furthermore, it is straightforward to check that the functor

$$\text{Qcoh}(\text{pr}_{2*} \mathcal{O}_{X \times_k Y}) \rightarrow (\text{Qcoh } Y)_R$$

induced by the assignment $\mathcal{E} \mapsto (\mathcal{E}, \rho)$, where $\rho : R \rightarrow \text{Hom}_Y(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$ is defined through the $\text{pr}_{2*} \mathcal{O}_{X \times_k Y}$ -structure of \mathcal{E} , is an equivalence. By Theorem 3.1, the functor

$$(\text{Qcoh } Y)_R \rightarrow \text{B}_k(\text{Mod } R, \text{Qcoh } Y)$$

induced by the assignment $(\mathcal{E}, \rho) \mapsto - \otimes_R \mathcal{E}$ is an equivalence. Therefore, the functor

$$\mathrm{Qcoh}(X \times_k Y) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_k(\mathrm{Mod}R, \mathrm{Qcoh}Y)$$

induced by the assignment $\mathcal{F} \mapsto - \otimes_R \mathrm{pr}_{2*} \mathcal{F}$ is an equivalence. By the uniqueness properties of the functor $- \otimes_R \mathcal{E}$ described in Section 2.4, we have an isomorphism of functors

$$- \otimes_R \mathrm{pr}_{2*} \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{(-)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{F}$$

in $\mathbf{B}_k(R, \mathrm{Qcoh}Y)$. It follows that the functor

$$\mathrm{Qcoh}(X \times_k Y) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_k(\mathrm{Mod}R, \mathrm{Qcoh}Y)$$

induced by the assignment $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \widetilde{(-)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{F}$ is an equivalence. The claim follows easily from this. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Artin and J.J. Zhang, Abstract Hilbert Schemes, *Alg. and Reprn. Theory*, **4** (2001) 305-394.
- [2] T. Brzezinski and R. Wisbauer, *Corings and Comodules*, Lond. Math. Soc. Lect. Note Ser. 309, Camb. Univ. Press, 2003.
- [3] S. Eilenberg, Abstract description of some basic functors, *J. Ind. Math. Soc.*, **24** (1960) 231-234.
- [4] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York 1977.
- [5] A. Nyman and S.P. Smith, Watts's Theorem for Schemes, *in preparation*.
- [6] N. Popescu, *Abelian Categories with Applications to Rings and Modules*, Academic Press, London 1973.
- [7] C. E. Watts, Intrinsic characterizations of some additive functors, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **11** (1960) 5-8.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MONTANA, MISSOULA, MT 59812-0864
E-mail address: `NymanA@mso.umt.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON, BOX 354350, SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98195
E-mail address: `smith@math.washington.edu`