

CONSTRUCTION OF THE REAL NUMBERS

We present a brief sketch of the construction of \mathbf{R} from \mathbf{Q} using Dedekind cuts. This is the same approach used in Rudin's book *Principles of Mathematical Analysis* (see Appendix, Chapter 1 for the complete proof). The elements of \mathbf{R} are some subsets of \mathbf{Q} called cuts. On the collection of these subsets, i.e. on \mathbf{R} , we define an order, an addition, and a multiplication. We show that \mathbf{R} endowed with this relation and these two operations is an ordered field. Each rational number can be identified with a specific cut, in such a way that \mathbf{Q} can be viewed as a subfield of \mathbf{R} .

Step 1. A subset α of \mathbf{Q} is said to be a cut if:

- (I) α is not empty, $\alpha \neq \mathbf{Q}$.
- (II) If $p \in \alpha$, $q \in \mathbf{Q}$, and $q < p$, then $q \in \alpha$.
- (III) If $p \in \alpha$, then $p < r$ for some $r \in \alpha$.

Remarks:

1.1 (III) implies that α has no largest number.

1.2 (II) implies that:

If $p \in \alpha$ and $q \notin \alpha$ then $p < q$.

If $r \notin \alpha$ and $r < s$ then $s \notin \alpha$.

Example: Let $\alpha = \{p \in \mathbf{Q} : p < 0\} \cup \{p \in \mathbf{Q} : p \geq 2 \text{ and } p^2 < 2\}$. Note that α is a cut. In fact:

- (I) $\alpha \subset \mathbf{Q}$, $1 \in \alpha$ thus $\alpha \neq \emptyset$, and $2 \notin \alpha$ thus $\alpha \neq \mathbf{Q}$.
- (II) If $p \in \alpha$, $q \in \mathbf{Q}$, and $q < p$, then either $q \leq 0$ and so $q \in \alpha$, or $q > 0$ which implies $p > 0$. But since $p \in \alpha$, then $p^2 < 2$. Since $0 < q < p$ then $q^2 < p^2$. Therefore $q^2 < 2$, i.e. $q \in \alpha$.
- (III) If $p \in \alpha$, either $p \leq 0$ or $p > 0$. If $p \leq 0$ then (III) is satisfied with $r = 1$. If $p > 0$ and $p^2 < 2$ then (as shown in class) $r = \frac{2(p+1)}{p+2}$ satisfies $0 < p < r$ and $r^2 < 2$. Thus $r \in \alpha$, and (III) also holds in this case.

Step 2. Let \mathbf{R} be the collection of all cuts of \mathbf{Q} . For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{R}$ define $\alpha < \beta$ to mean α is a proper subset of β , (i.e. $\alpha \subset \beta$ but $\alpha \neq \beta$). \mathbf{R} is an ordered set with relation $<$ defined above.

Step 3. *The ordered set \mathbf{R} has the least-upper-bound property.*

Let A be a nonempty subset of \mathbf{R} which is bounded above. Let $\gamma = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} \alpha$. Then $\gamma \in \mathbf{R}$ (i.e. γ satisfies (I), (II) and (III)), and $\gamma = \sup A$.

Step 4. If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{R}$ define

$$\alpha + \beta = \{r + s : r \in \alpha \text{ and } s \in \beta\},$$

$$0^* = \{p \in \mathbf{Q} : p < 0\},$$

and

$$\alpha^* = \{p \in \mathbf{Q} : \text{there exists } r > 0 \text{ such that } -p - r \notin \alpha\}.$$

$\alpha + \beta$, 0^* and α^* are cuts. The axioms for addition hold in \mathbf{R} , with 0^* playing the role of 0, and α^* playing the role of $-\alpha$.

Step 5. After proving that the axioms of addition hold in \mathbf{R} for the operation defined in Step 4, one can show using the cancellation law that

$$\text{If } \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbf{R} \text{ and } \beta < \gamma, \text{ then } \alpha + \beta < \alpha + \gamma.$$

Step 6. Initially we define multiplication for positive real numbers. Let $\mathbf{R}^+ = \{\alpha \in \mathbf{R} : \alpha > 0^{ast}\}$. If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{R}^+$ define

$$\alpha\beta = \{p \in \mathbf{Q} : p \leq rs \text{ for some } r \in \alpha, s \in \beta, r > 0, s > 0\},$$

$$1^* = \{p \in \mathbf{Q} : p < 1\},$$

and

$$\alpha_* = \{p \in \mathbf{Q} : p \leq 0\} \cup \{p \in \mathbf{Q} : p > 0 \text{ and there exists } r > 0 \text{ such that } \frac{1}{p} - r \notin \alpha\}.$$

$\alpha\beta$, 1^* and α_* are cuts. The axioms for multiplication hold in \mathbf{R}^+ , with 1^* playing the role of 1, and α_* playing the role of $\frac{1}{\alpha}$, for $\alpha > 0^*$. Note that if $\alpha > 0^*$ and $\beta > 0^*$ then $\alpha\beta > 0^*$. One also checks that the distributive law holds in \mathbf{R}^+ .

Step 7. We complete the definition of multiplication by setting $\alpha 0^* = 0^* \alpha = 0^*$, and by setting

$$\alpha\beta = \begin{cases} (-\alpha)(-\beta) & \text{if } \alpha < 0^*, \beta < 0^*, \\ -[(-\alpha)\beta] & \text{if } \alpha < 0^*, \beta > 0^*, \\ -[\alpha(-\beta)] & \text{if } \alpha > 0^*, \beta < 0^*. \end{cases}$$

The products on the right were defined in Step 6. Having checked the axioms of multiplication in \mathbf{R}^+ it is simple to prove them in \mathbf{R} by repeated applications of the identity $\gamma = -(-\gamma)$. The proof of the distributive law is done by cases.

THIS COMPLETES THE SKETCH OF THE PROOF THAT \mathbf{R} IS AN ORDERED FIELD WITH THE LEAST-UPPER-BOUND PROPERTY.

Step 8. We associate with each $r \in \mathbf{Q}$ the set

$$r^* = \{p \in \mathbf{Q} : p < r\}.$$

r^* is a (rational) cut, thus $r^* \in \mathbf{R}$. The rational cuts satisfy the following relations:

- (a) $r^* + s^* = (r + s)^*$.
- (b) $r^* s^* = (rs)^*$.
- (c) $r^* < s^*$ if and only if $r < s$.

Step 9. Step 8 says that the rational numbers can be identified with the rational cuts. This identification preserves sums, products and order. Thus the ordered field \mathbf{Q} is *isomorphic* to the ordered field $\mathbf{Q}^* \subset \mathbf{R}$ whose elements are the rational cuts.

THIS IDENTIFICATION OF \mathbf{Q} WITH \mathbf{Q}^ ALLOWS US TO REGARD \mathbf{Q} AS A SUBFIELD OF \mathbf{R} .*