Exponentials, Trig Functions, and Complex Power Series

A series $\sum c_k$ of *complex* numbers $c_k = a_k + ib_k$ (a_k and b_k real) is said to converge if the corresponding series of real and imaginary parts, $\sum a_k$ and $\sum b_k$, both converge. In this case the sum of the series is the obvious thing:

$$c_k = a_k + ib_k \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \sum c_k = \sum a_k + i \sum b_k.$$

Recall that the absolute value of a complex number c=a+ib is defined to be $|c|=\sqrt{a^2+b^2}$, i.e., the distance from c to the origin in the complex plane. Since $|a| \leq \sqrt{a^2+b^2}$ and $|b| \leq \sqrt{a^2+b^2}$, we see that

$$\sum |c_k| \text{ converges } \implies \sum |a_k| \text{ and } \sum |b_k| \text{ converge}$$

$$\implies \sum a_k \text{ and } \sum b_k \text{ converge } \implies \sum c_k \text{ converges.}$$

Thus the fact that an absolutely convergent series converges continues to hold for complex series.

In particular, the series $\sum_{0}^{\infty} z^{n}/n!$ converges absolutely for any complex number z, by the ratio test (since $|z^{n}| = |z|^{n}$). This series equals e^{z} when z is real, and we use it to define e^{z} for z complex:

$$e^x = \sum_{0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k!} \qquad (z \in \mathbb{C}). \tag{1}$$

The main step in dispelling the mystery of this complex exponential function is showing that it still obeys the basic law of exponents.

Proposition. For any complex numbers z and w,

$$e^z e^w = e^{z+w}. (2)$$

Proof. We have

$$e^z e^w = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^j}{z!}\right) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{w^k}{k!}\right) = \sum_{j,k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^j w^k}{j!k!}.$$

We sum the double series on the right by first adding up the terms where j + k is a fixed number n (that is, j runs from 0 to n and k = n - j), and then summing over all possible n (that is, $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$):

$$e^z e^w = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{z^j w^{n-j}}{j!(n-j)!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{n!}{j!(n-j)!} z^j w^{n-j}.$$

By the binomial theorem, the sum over j gives $(z+w)^n$, so

$$e^z e^w = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(z+w)^n}{n!} = e^{z+w}.$$

(Actually, these manipulations with double series need some justification. I can give you a reference for the full proof if you're interested.)

Now, if z = x + iy, by (2) we have $e^z = e^z e^{iy}$. We know what e^z is; what about e^{iy} ? Well since

$$i^2 = -1, i^3 = -i, i^4 = 1, \dots, i^{4n} = 1, i^{4n+1} = i, i^{4n+2} = -1, i^{4n+3} = -i, \dots,$$

from (1) we obtain

$$e^{iy} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{i^k y^k}{k!} = \left(1 - \frac{y^2}{2!} + \frac{y^4}{4!} - \cdots\right) + i\left(y - \frac{y^3}{3!} + \frac{y^5}{5!} - \cdots\right),$$

or in other words,

$$e^{iy} = \cos y + i\sin y. \tag{3}$$

This marvelous formula, due to Euler, reveals the deep connection between exponential and trigonometric functions.

Replacing y by -y, we see that

$$e^{-iy} = \cos(-y) + i\sin(-y) = \cos y - i\sin y.$$
 (4)

Adding and subtracting (3) and (4), we obtain formulas for the trig functions in terms of exponentials:

$$\cos y = \frac{e^{iy} + e^{-iy}}{2}, \qquad \sin y = \frac{e^{iy} - e^{-iy}}{2i}.$$
 (5)

These equations explain the formal similarity between trig and hyperbolic functions:

$$\cosh(iy) = \cos y, \qquad \sinh(iy) = i \sin y.$$

They also lead to an easy derivation of the addition formulas for sine and cosine:

$$cos(a \pm b) = (cos a)(cos b) \mp (sin a)(sin b),
sin(a \pm b) = (sin a)(cos b) \pm (cos a)(sin b.$$
(6)

Namely, use (5) to express the factors on the right in terms of $e^{\pm ia}$ and $e^{\pm ib}$, multiply out according to (2), and simplify to obtain the expressions on the left.

Trig Functions Done Right: The high-school definitions of sine and cosine are unacceptably vague because they involve measuring of an angle without giving a precise algorithm for doing so. We are now in a position to remedy this defect. Namely, we take the Taylor expansions

$$\cos x = \sum_{0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k x^{2k}}{(2k)!}, \qquad \sin x = \sum_{0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k x^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!}, \tag{7}$$

or equivalently the formulas (5), as a definition of sine and cosine. This leads immediately to the differential formulas

$$\cos' = -\sin, \qquad \sin' = \cos \tag{8}$$

and also to the addition formulas (6), as explained above. From these identities, all the other properties of trig functions are easy to derive, for example,

$$\cos^2 x + \sin^2 x = \cos(x - x) = \cos 0 = 1. \tag{9}$$

The one thing that is not so obvious is the connections of cos and sin with the number π , and in particular their periodicity properties. These can be derived as follows. First, observe that the series $\sum_{0}^{\infty} (-1)^k 2^{2k}/(2k)!$ for cos 2 is an alternating series whose terms decrease in size beginning with k = 1; so by the alternating series test,

$$\cos 2 = 1 - \frac{2^2}{2!} = -1$$
 with error less than $\frac{2^4}{4!} = \frac{2}{3}$,

and in particular $\cos 2 < 0$. Since $\cos 0 = 1 > 0$, by the intermediate value theorem there is at least one number $a \in (0,2)$ such that $\cos a = 0$. Call the *smallest* such number [of course it turns out that there is only one] $\frac{1}{2}\pi$. (This is to be taken as a *definition* of π , from which the usual one as the ratio of the circumference to the diameter of a circle can then be derived by calculus.) Now $\cos x > 0$ for $x \in (0, \frac{1}{2}\pi)$, so by (8) $\sin x$ is increasing for $x \in (0, \frac{1}{2}\pi)$. Also $\sin 0 = 0$, so $\sin(\frac{1}{2}\pi) > 0$, and by (9), $\sin^2(\frac{1}{2}\pi) = 1 - \cos^2(\frac{1}{2}\pi) = 1$. Conclusion: $\sin(\frac{1}{2}\pi) = 1$. Now use the addition formulas:

$$\cos(x + \frac{1}{2}\pi) = (\cos x)(\cos\frac{1}{2}\pi) - (\sin x)(\sin\frac{1}{2}\pi) = 0 \cdot \cos x - 1 \cdot \sin x = -\sin x,$$

$$\sin(x + \frac{1}{2}\pi) = (\sin x)(\cos\frac{1}{2}\pi) + (\cos x)(\sin\frac{1}{2}\pi) = 0 \cdot \sin x + 1 \cdot \cos x = \cos x.$$

Iterating these identities gives

$$\cos(x+\pi) = \cos(x+\frac{1}{2}\pi+\frac{1}{2}\pi) = -\sin(x+\frac{1}{2}\pi) = -\cos x,$$

$$\sin(x+\pi) = \sin(x+\frac{1}{2}\pi+\frac{1}{2}\pi) = \cos(x+\frac{1}{2}\pi) = -\sin x,$$

and hence

$$\cos(x + 2\pi) = \cos(x + \pi + \pi) = \cos x, \qquad \sin(x + 2\pi) = \sin(x + \pi + \pi) = \sin x.$$

Logarithms and Powers of Complex Numbers: If z is a nonzero complex number, a logarithm of z is a complex number w such that $e^w = z$. Logarithms can easily be found by writing z = x + iy in polar coordinates $(x = r \cos \theta, y = r \sin \theta, \text{ where } r = |z| = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2})$:

$$z = r(\cos\theta + i\sin\theta) = re^{i\theta} = e^{\log r + i\theta},$$

so $\log r + i\theta$ is a logarithm of z. We say a logarithm rather than the logarithm because the angle θ is only determined up to multiples of 2π , so each z has infinitely many logarithms. If we fix a logarithm of z, call it $\log z$, we can then define complex powers of z by

$$z^a = e^{a \log z},$$

the quantity on the right being defined by (1). Different choices of $\log z$ will usually yield different answers. If a is an integer there is no ambiguity; if a = p/q with p, q integers then there are q possibilities (each nonzero complex number has q distinct qth roots); and if a is irrational there are infinitely many. But how to sort this all out sensibly is a subject for another course . . .

De Moivre's formula: Let

$$z = r(\cos\theta + \sin\theta) = re^{i\theta}$$

where r = |z| then

$$z^n = r^n e^{in\theta} = r^n (\cos n\theta + \sin n\theta).$$