

Lecture 3 Heat equations

- invariance, explicit solutions
- self-similar way
- “Green’s” function for the “whole” space time and “half” space time
- mean value formulas $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{maximum principle} \\ \text{higher order derivative estimates} \\ \text{regularity-analytic in } x, \text{ smooth in } t \\ \text{Harnack} \\ \text{Liouville} \end{array} \right.$
- weak formulation $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{mean value} \\ \text{weak formulation} \\ \text{distribution} \end{array} \right.$
- uniqueness with exponential growth, Tikhonov non-uniqueness, nonexistence with super quadratic exponential growth, nonanalytic in t , backward uniqueness

Invariance of $u_t - \Delta u = 0$

- $u(x + x_0, t + t_0)$
- $u(e^A x, t)$
- $u(\mu x, \mu^2 t)$
- $u + v, au$
- $D_x^\alpha D_t^l u$ still temperature/caloric
- $Du \cdot Ax = \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} u(e^{\varepsilon A} x, t) \Big|_{\varepsilon=0}, A = -A^T$
- $D_x u(x, t) \cdot x + 2D_t u(x, t) = \frac{d}{d\mu} u(\mu x, \mu^2 t) \Big|_{\mu=1}$
- $\int u(x - y, t - s) \varphi(y, s) dy ds$
- Kelvin $t^{-n/2} e^{-|x|^2/4t} u(x/t, -1/t)$

Examples.

- All harmonic functions are caloric
- $x_1 x_2 x_3, |x|^{2-n}, \text{Re} / \text{Im} e^{3x_1 + 4x_2 + i5x_3}$
- $t + \frac{1}{2n} |x|^2, t^2 + t |x|^2 / n + (x_1^4 + \dots + x_n^4) / (12n)$, more later
- $\text{Re} / \text{Im} e^{i\xi \cdot x - |\xi|^2 t}, e^{\xi \cdot x + |\xi|^2 t}$
- RMK. $e^{\xi \cdot x + |\xi| t}, \text{Re} / \text{Im} e^{i(\xi \cdot x + |\xi| t)}, u(\xi \cdot x + |\xi| t)$ are wave functions; $e^{x_1 + t}$ are both caloric and wave functions.

More examples.

- Caloric polynomials

$$\Phi(x, t) = \frac{1}{t^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}$$

now

$$\Phi(x, t) D_x^\alpha D_t^l \Phi\left(\frac{x}{t}, \frac{-1}{t}\right)$$

⁰December 1, 2016

is a caloric polynomial of degree $|\alpha| + 2l$.

eg.

$$D_{123}\Phi = \frac{1}{t^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}} \frac{x_1 x_2 x_3}{(-2t)^3} \Big|_{\left(\frac{x}{t}, \frac{-1}{t}\right)} \rightarrow (-t)^{n/2} e^{\frac{|x|^2}{4t}} \frac{x_1 x_2 x_3}{8}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\Phi(x,t)} (-1)^{n/2} \frac{x_1 x_2 x_3}{8}.$$

$$D_{111}\Phi = \frac{1}{t^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}} \left\{ \left(\frac{-x_1}{2t} \right)_{x_1 x_1} + \left(\frac{-x_1}{2t} \right)_{x_1}^2 + \left(\frac{-x_1}{2t} \right) \left[\left(\frac{-x_1}{2t} \right)_{x_1} + \left(\frac{-x_1}{2t} \right)^2 \right] \right\}$$

$$= \Phi \left\{ \frac{x_1}{2t^2} + \frac{-x_1}{2t} \left[\frac{-1}{2t} + \frac{x_1^2}{4t^2} \right] \right\}$$

$$= \Phi \frac{6tx_1 - x_1^3}{8t^3} \Big|_{\left(\frac{x}{t}, \frac{-1}{t}\right)} \xrightarrow{\Phi(x,t)} (-t)^{n/2} e^{\frac{|x|^2}{4t}} \frac{6tx_1 + x_1^3}{8} \xrightarrow{\Phi(x,t)} (-1)^{n/2} \frac{6tx_1 + x_1^3}{8}.$$

· Radial ones

$$u_t - \Delta u = \delta_{(0,0)}(x, t) = \delta_0(x) \delta_0(t)$$

Fourier transform way in space and time

$$\hat{u}(\xi, s) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} \int_{R^{n+1}} u(x, t) e^{-i(\xi \cdot x + st)} dx dt$$

satisfies

$$is\hat{u} + |\xi|^2 \hat{u} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{u} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} \frac{1}{is + |\xi|^2}.$$

Then

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n+1}} \int_{R^{n+1}} \frac{1}{is + |\xi|^2} e^{i(x \cdot \xi + ts)} d\xi ds.$$

We see the radially $u(x, t) = u(|x|, t)$. Question, how to invert?

Fourier transform way in space only

$$\hat{u}(\xi, t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int_{R^n} u(x, t) e^{-i\xi \cdot x} dx$$

satisfies

$$\hat{u}_t + |\xi|^2 \hat{u} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \delta_0(t).$$

Then

$$\left(e^{|\xi|^2 t} \hat{u} \right)_t = \frac{e^{|\xi|^2 t}}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \delta_0(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } t > 0 \\ \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \delta_0(0) & \text{for } t = 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } t < 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$e^{|\xi|^2 t} \hat{u} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} & \text{for } t > 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } t < 0 \end{cases} .$$

RMK. If the jump function at $t = 0$ is chosen as $e^{|\xi|^2 t} \hat{u} = \begin{cases} 0.1 \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} & \text{for } t > 0 \\ -0.9 \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} & \text{for } t < 0 \end{cases}$,

then $\hat{u} = -0.9e^{-|\xi|^2 t}$ is not invertible for $t < 0$.

We proceed with the conversion.

For $t < 0$, $u = 0$.

For $t > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{R^n} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} e^{-|\xi|^2 t + ix \cdot \xi} d\xi = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \frac{1}{t^{n/2}} \int_{R^n} e^{-|\xi|^2 + i \frac{x}{\sqrt{t}} \cdot \xi} d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \frac{1}{t^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}} \int_{R^n} e^{-\left| \xi - \frac{ix}{2\sqrt{t}} \right|^2} d\xi = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \frac{1}{t^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}} \underbrace{\prod_k \int_{R^1} e^{-\left| \xi_k - \frac{ix_k}{2\sqrt{t}} \right|^2} d\xi}_{\pi^{n/2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}} . \end{aligned}$$

RMK. In switching each of the line integrals to the real axis, we take holomorphic function $h(z) = e^{-z^2}$ and domain Ω as an “infinite” rectangle with bottom $y = c$ and top $y = 0$ in the following divergence formula (FTC):

Complex version

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} h(z) dz = \int_{\Omega} h_{\bar{z}}(z) d\bar{z} \wedge dz = 0.$$

Real version

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\partial\Omega} (u dx - v dy) + i(u dy + v dx) \stackrel{(dy, -dx) = \gamma dA}{(dx, dy) = T dA} \int_{\partial\Omega} - (v, u) \cdot \gamma + i(u, -v) \cdot \gamma dA \\ &= \int_{\Omega} - (v_x + u_y) + i(u_x - v_y) dx dy = 0. \end{aligned}$$

RMK. Splitting way for Gaussian integral

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_{R^n} e^{-|x|^2} dx = \left(\int_{R^2} e^{-x_1^2 - x_2^2} dx_1 dx_2 \right)^{n/2} \\ &= \left(\int_0^\infty e^{-r^2} 2\pi r dr \right)^{n/2} = \left(\pi e^{-r^2} \Big|_0^\infty \right)^{n/2} = \pi^{n/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Radial way

$$I = \int_0^\infty e^{-r^2} |\partial B_1| r^{n-1} dr \stackrel{\rho=r^2}{=} \frac{|\partial B_1|}{2} \int_0^\infty e^{-\rho} \rho^{\frac{n}{2}-1} d\rho = \frac{|\partial B_1|}{2} \Gamma(n/2).$$

Consequently

$$|\partial B_1^n| = \frac{2\pi^{n/2}}{\Gamma(n/2)} = \begin{cases} 2 & n = 1 \\ \frac{2\pi^{n/2}}{(\frac{n}{2}-1)!} & n \text{ even} \\ \frac{2\pi^{(n-1)/2}}{(\frac{n}{2}-1)(\frac{n}{2}-2)\dots(\frac{3}{2})} & n \text{ odd} \end{cases} .$$

In chart

$$|\partial B_1^n| \quad \begin{matrix} n & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\ & 2 & 2\pi & 4\pi & 2\pi^2 & \frac{4}{3}\pi^2 & \pi^3 & \frac{8}{15}\pi^3 & \frac{1}{2}\pi^4 & \searrow \frac{16}{105}\pi^4 \end{matrix} .$$

Self-similar way

* self similar solution $u(x, t) = \frac{1}{t^\alpha} v\left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{t}}\right)$ to $u_t - \Delta u = \text{"}\delta(x, t)\text{"}$ or 0

$$u_t = -\alpha \frac{1}{t^{\alpha+1}} v + \frac{1}{t^\alpha} \frac{-r}{2t^{3/2}} v' = \frac{1}{t^{\alpha+1}} \left(-\alpha v - \frac{r}{2\sqrt{t}} v' \right)$$

$$\Delta u = \frac{1}{t^\alpha} \left(\partial_{rr} + \frac{n-1}{r} \partial_r \right) v \left(r/\sqrt{t} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{t^\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{t} v'' + \frac{n-1}{r} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} v' \right) = \frac{1}{t^{\alpha+1}} \left(v'' + \frac{n-1}{r/\sqrt{t}} v' \right)$$

$$v'' \left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{t}} \right) + \frac{n-1}{\frac{r}{\sqrt{t}}} v' \left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{t}} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{r}{\sqrt{t}} v' \left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{t}} \right) + \alpha v \left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{t}} \right) = 0$$

Set $\rho = \frac{r}{\sqrt{t}}$, then

$$v''(\rho) + \frac{n-1}{\rho} v'(\rho) + \frac{1}{2} \rho v'(\rho) + \alpha v(\rho) = 0$$

$$(\rho^{n-1} v')' + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \rho^n v'}_{= (\frac{1}{2} \rho^n v)'} + \alpha \rho^{n-1} v = 0$$

$$= (\frac{1}{2} \rho^n v)' \quad \text{when } \alpha = n/2$$

* Let $\alpha = n/2$

$$\rho^{n-1} v' + \frac{1}{2} \rho^n v = c \quad \text{or } v' + \frac{\rho}{2} v = c/\rho^{n-1} \quad \text{or } \left(v e^{\frac{\rho^2}{4}} \right)' = c e^{\frac{\rho^2}{4}} / \rho^{n-1}$$

Then $v(\rho) = c' e^{-\frac{\rho^2}{4}} + c e^{-\frac{\rho^2}{4}} \int e^{\frac{\rho^2}{4}} / \rho^{n-1} d\rho$ and

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{t^{n/2}} \left[c' e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}} + c e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}} \underbrace{\left(\int e^{\frac{\rho^2}{4}} / \rho^{n-1} d\rho \right)}_{\Big|_{\rho=r/\sqrt{t}}} \right]$$

After some testing for the fundamental solution, we find $c = 0$.

Green's function for the whole space-time and "half" space-time

Whole space-time

$$\Phi(x, t, y, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{n/2}} \frac{1}{(t-s)^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4(t-s)}} & -\infty < s < t \\ 0 & s > t \end{cases} .$$

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, t) &= \Phi * f = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{R^n} \frac{1}{(t-s)^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4(t-s)}} f(y, s) dy ds \\ &= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{n/2}} \int_0^\infty \int_{R^n} \frac{1}{\bar{s}^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{|y|^2}{4\bar{s}}} f(x - \bar{y}, t - \bar{s}) d\bar{y} d\bar{s} \end{aligned}$$

for $\begin{cases} f \in C^0 \\ f \in C_{\alpha/2}^\alpha \\ f \in C_1^2 \end{cases}$ with compact support solving

not enough
just fine, little involved calculus
more than enough

to get $u \in C_1^2$,

$$u_t - \Delta u = f(x, t).$$

When C_1^2 function f having compact support in R^{n+1} , we see the convolution with the heat kernel $(4\pi t)^{-n/2} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}$ leads

$$\Phi * D_t f / D_x f / D_{xx} f : C^0 \rightarrow C^0.$$

Exercise ($f(y, s) = \delta_0(y)$)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}} dt \stackrel{s=\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}{=} \frac{1}{\pi^{n/2}} \frac{1}{|x|^n} \int_0^\infty s^{n/2} e^{-s} \frac{|x|^2}{4s^2} ds \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{\pi^{n/2}} \frac{1}{|x|^{n-2}} \int_0^\infty s^{\frac{n}{2}-2} e^{-s} ds = \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{\pi^{n/2}} \frac{1}{|x|^{n-2}} \frac{1}{\frac{n}{2}-1} \int_0^\infty s^{\frac{n}{2}-1} e^{-s} ds \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(n/2)}{2 \pi^{n/2} (n-2)} \frac{1}{|x|^{n-2}} = \frac{1}{(n-2)} \frac{1}{|\partial B_1| |x|^{n-2}}! \text{ for } n \geq 3. \end{aligned}$$

Question. $n = 1, 2$?

As for the equation $u_t - \Delta u = f(x, t)$, when D_t hits the upper limit in $\int_{-\infty}^t$, one just substitute s with t in the integrand, we reach $f(x, t)$ or

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow t^-} \int_{R^n} \frac{1}{(t-s)^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4(t-s)}} f(y, s) dy = f(x, t);$$

when $D_t - \Delta$ hits the integrand, as the kernel is caloric, one gets 0,

$$(D_t - \Delta_x) \Phi(x, t, y, s) = 0.$$

RMK. We indicate the Schauder estimates $C_{1,\alpha/1}^{2,\alpha}$ for mere $C_{\alpha/2}^\alpha$ function f with compact support in the heat potential. The idea is to split off integrable factors in space or time from the bounded function $t^{-\beta} e^{-1/t}$. The order is D_{12} , D_{11} , and then by equation $D_t u = \Delta u$.

$$\begin{aligned} D_{12} u(x, t) &= c_n \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{R^n} \frac{(x_1 - y_1)(x_2 - y_2)}{4(t-s)^2} \frac{1}{(t-s)^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4(t-s)}} f(y, s) dy ds \\ &= c_n \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{R^n} \frac{(x_1 - y_1)(x_2 - y_2)}{4(t-s)^2} \frac{1}{(t-s)^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4(t-s)}} \left[\underset{\text{by cancellation}}{f(y, s) - f(x, t)} \right] dy ds \\ &\approx \int_{-1}^t \int_{B_1} \frac{|x-y|^2}{4(t-s)^2} \frac{1}{(t-s)^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4(t-s)}} \left[|x-y|^\alpha + (t-s)^{\alpha/2} \right] dy ds \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_{B_1} \frac{|y|^2}{4s^2} \frac{1}{s^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{|y|^2}{4s}} \left[|y|^\alpha + s^{\alpha/2} \right] dy ds \text{ change variables to move singularity to } (0, 0) \\ &\approx \int_0^1 \int_{B_1} \underbrace{\frac{1}{|y|^{n-\alpha/2}} \frac{1}{s^{1-\alpha/4}}}_{\text{integrable}} \underbrace{\left(\frac{|y|^2}{s} \right)^{\frac{n+1}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{4}}}_{\text{bounded}} e^{-\frac{|y|^2}{4s}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{|y|^{n-\alpha/2}} \frac{1}{s^{1-\alpha/4}}}_{\text{integrable}} \underbrace{\left(\frac{|y|^2}{s} \right)^{\frac{n}{2} + 1 - \frac{\alpha}{4}}}_{\text{bounded}} e^{-\frac{|y|^2}{4s}} dy ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{11}u &= c_n \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{R^n} \left[\frac{(x_1 - y_1)^2}{4(t-s)^2} - \frac{1}{2(t-s)} \right] \frac{1}{(t-s)^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4(t-s)}} f(y, s) dy ds \\
&= c_n \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{R^n} \left[\frac{(x_1 - y_1)^2}{4(t-s)^2} - \frac{1}{2(t-s)} \right] \frac{1}{(t-s)^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4(t-s)}} \left[f(y, s) - \underset{\text{by cancellation}}{f(x, t)} \right] dy ds \\
&\approx \int_{-1}^t \int_{B_1} \left[\frac{|x-y|^2}{4(t-s)^2} + \frac{1}{2(t-s)} \right] \frac{1}{(t-s)^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4(t-s)}} \left[|x-y|^\alpha + (t-s)^{\alpha/2} \right] dy ds \\
&= \int_0^1 \int_{B_1} \left(\underbrace{\frac{|y|^2}{4s^2}}_{\text{similar to } D_{12}} + \frac{1}{2s} \right) \frac{1}{s^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{|y|^2}{4s}} \left[|y|^\alpha + s^{\alpha/2} \right] dy ds.
\end{aligned}$$

We finish the estimate involving $\frac{1}{s}$ term, whose singularity is still of order $-n-2+\alpha$ in space-time, then integrable.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^1 \int_{B_1} \frac{1}{s} \frac{1}{s^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{|y|^2}{4s}} \left[|y|^\alpha + s^{\alpha/2} \right] dy ds \\
&= \int_0^1 \int_{B_1} \underbrace{\frac{1}{s^{1-\alpha/4}} \frac{1}{|y|^{n-\alpha/2}}}_{\text{integrable}} \underbrace{\left(\frac{|y|^2}{s} \right)^{\frac{n}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{4}}}_{\text{bounded}} e^{-\frac{|y|^2}{4s}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{s^{1-\alpha/4}} \frac{1}{|y|^{n-\alpha/2}}}_{\text{integrable}} \underbrace{\left(\frac{|y|^2}{s} \right)^{\frac{n}{2} - \frac{\alpha}{4}}}_{\text{bounded}} e^{-\frac{|y|^2}{4s}} dy ds
\end{aligned}$$

Space-half time

Physical observation: temperature/density evolves forward as time goes forward.

Mathematical observation: initial “velocity” u_t already determined by initial “position” Δu . So only initial temperature can be prescribed:

$$(\text{IVP}) \quad \begin{cases} u_t - \Delta u = 0 & \text{for } t > 0 \\ u(x, 0) = \varphi(x) \end{cases} .$$

It is similar to, but much better (behaved) than harmonic functions over half space

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = 0 & \text{for } x_n > 0 \\ u(x', 0) = \varphi(x') \in L^1(R^n) & \text{say } C^k(R^n) \cap L^1(R^n) \end{cases} .$$

Bonus

$$\begin{aligned}
u(x, t) &= \Phi(\cdot, t) * \varphi = (4\pi t)^{-n/2} \int_{R^n} \exp(-|x-y|^2/4t) \varphi(y) dy \\
&= (\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{R^n} \exp(-|y|^2) \varphi(x - 2\sqrt{t}y) dy
\end{aligned}$$

solves the (IVP). Namely

- i) $u(x, t) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0^+} \varphi(x)$;
- ii) $u(x, t)$ analytic in x & t for $t > 0$, as long as $\varphi(x) \in L^1(R^n)$;

$$\text{iii) } \begin{cases} \varphi \in C^0 \Rightarrow u \in C_0^0(R^n \times [0, \infty)) \\ \varphi \in C^1 \Rightarrow u \in C_{1/2}^1(R^n \times [0, \infty)) \\ \varphi \in C^2 \Rightarrow u \in C_1^2(R^n \times [0, \infty)) \end{cases} .$$

Proof.

i) $\varepsilon - \delta$ way, Lebesgue dominated convergence way.

ii) $\int_{R^n} (4\pi t)^{-n/2} \exp(-|x-y|^2/4t) \varphi(y) dy$ is a sum of x-t analytic function for $t > 0$.

iii) We only need to show the continuity near $t = 0$. We skip the C^0 & C^1 case.

Now $\varphi \in C^2$ and $D_x^2 u$ takes the form

$$D_x^2 u(x, t) = (\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{R^n} \exp(-|y|^2) D_x^2 \varphi(x - 2\sqrt{t}y) dy \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0^+} D_x^2 \varphi(x).$$

The limit can be done via $\varepsilon - \delta$ way assuming $\|D^2 \varphi\|_{L^\infty(R^n)} < \infty$, or Lebesgue dominated convergence way assuming $\|D^2 \varphi\|_{L^1(R^n)} < \infty$.

As for the continuity of u_t , one way is to use the continuity of $D_x^2 u$ coupled with the equation: $u_t(x, t) = \Delta u(x, t) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0^+} \Delta \varphi(x)$. Another way is direct and fun. We also include here.

$$\begin{aligned} u_t(x, t) &= (\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{R^n} \exp(-|y|^2) \frac{-y}{\sqrt{t}} \cdot \left[D_x \varphi(x - 2\sqrt{t}y) - D_x \varphi(x) + \overset{\text{odd integral}^0}{\frac{D_x \varphi(x)}{D_x \varphi(x)}} \right] dy \\ &= (\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{R^n} \exp(-|y|^2) \frac{-y}{\sqrt{t}} \cdot \underbrace{\left[D_x \varphi(x - 2\sqrt{t}y) - D_x \varphi(x) \right]}_{\approx -2\sqrt{t}y \|D^2 \varphi\|_{L^\infty}} dy \\ &\leq (\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{R^n} \exp(-|y|^2) 2|y|^2 dy \|D^2 \varphi\|_{L^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

So either $\varepsilon - \delta$ way or Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem implies

$$\begin{aligned} u_t(x, t) &\xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0^+} (\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{R^n} \exp(-|y|^2) 2 \langle y, D_x^2 \varphi(x) y \rangle dy \\ &= 2 (\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{R^n} \exp(-|y|^2) [\varphi_{11}(x) y_1^2 + \dots + \varphi_{nn}(x) y_n^2] dy \\ &= 2 (\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{R^n} \exp(-|y|^2) \left[\varphi_{11}(x) \frac{|y|^2}{n} + \dots + \varphi_{nn}(x) \frac{|y|^2}{n} \right] dy \\ &= \frac{2 \Delta \varphi(x)}{n \pi^{n/2}} \int_{R^n} \exp(-|y|^2) |y|^2 dy \\ &= \frac{\Delta \varphi(x)}{n \pi^{n/2}} \int_0^\infty 2 \exp(-r^2) r^2 r^{n-1} |\partial B_1| dr \stackrel{r^2 \equiv \rho}{=} \frac{\Delta \varphi(x)}{n \pi^{n/2}} |\partial B_1| \int_0^\infty \exp(-\rho) \rho^{n/2} d\rho \\ &= \frac{\Delta \varphi(x)}{n \pi^{n/2}} \frac{2 \pi^{n/2}}{\Gamma(n/2)} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right) = \frac{\Delta \varphi(x)}{n \pi^{n/2}} \frac{2 \pi^{n/2}}{\Gamma(n/2)} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) \frac{n}{2} = \Delta \varphi(x)! \end{aligned}$$

RMK. In contrast, recall for harmonic function in the upper half space $\begin{cases} \Delta u = 0 & \text{for } x_n > 0 \\ u(x', 0) = \varphi(x') \end{cases}$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi \in C^1(R^{n-1}) &\not\Rightarrow u_{x_n} \in C^1(\overline{R_+^n}) \\ \varphi \in C^{1,\alpha}(R^{n-1}) &\not\Rightarrow u_{x_n} \in C^\alpha(\overline{R_+^n}). \end{aligned}$$

Nonhomogeneous problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t - \Delta u = f(x, t) & \text{in } R^n \times (0, \infty), \text{ say } C_1^2 \text{ compact support} \\ u(x, 0) = \varphi(x), & \text{say } C^0 \end{cases}$$

has A solution

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{n/2}} \int_0^t \int_{R^n} \frac{e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4(t-s)}}}{(t-s)^{n/2}} f(y, s) dy ds + \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{n/2}} \int_{R^n} \frac{e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4t}}}{t^{n/2}} \varphi(y) dy,$$

where we assumed $f \equiv 0$ with $t < 0$ for the integral $\int_{-\infty}^t$, satisfying

- i) $u \in C_1^2$ for $t > 0$;
- ii) $u(x, t) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0^+} \varphi(x)$.

We only prove ii) with

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{n/2}} \int_0^t \int_{R^n} \frac{e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4(t-s)}}}{(t-s)^{n/2}} f(y, s) dy ds \right| \\ & \leq \left| \frac{1}{\pi^{n/2}} \int_0^t \int_{R^n} e^{-|y|^2} f(x - 2\sqrt{t-s}y, s) dy ds \right| \\ & \leq t \|f\|_{L^\infty} \frac{1}{\pi^{n/2}} \int_{R^n} e^{-|y|^2} dy \\ & = t \|f\|_{L^\infty} \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0^+} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Mean value equality

Recall the derivation of the "solid" mean value formula for harmonic functions

$$\int_{B_R} u \Delta v - v \Delta u = \int_{\partial B_R} uv_\gamma - vu_\gamma$$

$$\text{Set } v = \underbrace{\frac{-1}{(n-2)|\partial B_1|}}_{c_n} \left(\frac{1}{|x|^{n-2}} - \frac{1}{R^{n-2}} \right)$$

$$u(0) = \int_{\partial B_R} uv_\gamma dA = \int_{\Gamma = \frac{-1}{c_n R^{n-2}} = l} u |D\Gamma| dA$$

where $\Gamma = \frac{-1}{c_n} \frac{1}{|x|^{n-2}}$.

As R goes from 1 to 0, the level of Γ runs from $-1/c_n$ to $-\infty$, we seek a power weight $w(l) = c(-l)^\alpha$ satisfying $1 = \int_{-\infty}^{-1/c_n} w(l) dl$, and then

$$\begin{aligned} u(0) &= \int_{-\infty}^{-1/c_n} w(l) \int_{\Gamma=l} u |D\Gamma| dA dl \\ &= \int_{B_1} u w(l) |D\Gamma|^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

where we used change of variable or co-area formula: $dx = dvol = dA \frac{dl}{|D\Gamma|}$

figure gradient length= $dl/|D\Gamma|$

Now we choose w so that the weight $w(l) |D\Gamma|^2 = 1/|B_1|$, then

$$w(l) = \frac{1}{|B_1|} \left[\frac{c_n}{(n-2)} R^{n-1} \right]^2.$$

At $R = 1$ and $l = -1/c_n$, we have

$$c(1/c_n)^\alpha = \frac{1}{|B_1|} \left[\frac{c_n}{(n-2)} \right]^2.$$

The integral for the weight implies

$$-c \frac{1}{1+\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{c_n} \right)^{1+\alpha} = 1.$$

Thus $-(1+\alpha) = \frac{c_n}{|B_1|(n-2)^2} = n/(n-2)$, then

$$w(l) = \frac{n}{n-2} (c_n)^{-n/(n-2)} (-l)^{-\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}.$$

RMK. Old weight way, $1 = \int_0^1 nr^{n-1} dr \stackrel{r=(-c_n l)^{-1/(n-2)}}{=} \frac{n}{n-2} c_n^{\frac{-n}{n-2}} \int_{-\infty}^{-1/c_n} (-l)^{-\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} dl$.

And pleasantly $w(l) |D\Gamma|^2 = 1/|B_1|!$

Mean value equality for caloric functions (sphere version)

$$u(0,0) = \frac{1}{(4\pi R^2)^{n/2}} \int_{\Phi=(4\pi R^2)^{-n/2}} u(x,t) \frac{|x|^2 \Phi(0,0,x,t)}{\sqrt{4t^2|x|^2 + (2nt + |x|^2)^2}} dA.$$

figure: heat sphere $\Phi(0,0,x,t) = [4\pi(-t)]^{-n/2} \exp \frac{|x|^2}{4t} = (4\pi)^{-n/2}$

One "solid" version

$$u(0,0) = \frac{1}{(4\pi R^2)^{n/2}} \int_{\Phi \geq (4\pi R^2)^{-n/2}} u(x,t) \frac{|x|^2}{4t^2} dA,$$

where

$$\Phi(x_0, t_0; x, t) = \frac{1}{[4\pi(t_0 - t)]^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{|x_0 - x|^2}{4(t_0 - t)}}$$

figure: backward heat kernel graphs

Derivation of the hollow version.

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{U_T} Du \cdot Dv + u \Delta v = \int_{U_T} \operatorname{div}(uDv) = \int_{U_T} (\operatorname{div}, D_t)(uDv, 0) = \int_{\partial U_T} (uDv, 0) \cdot (\gamma_x, \gamma_t) dA \\ -) & \int_{U_T} Dv \cdot Du + v \Delta u = \int_{U_T} \operatorname{div}(vDu) = \int_{U_T} (\operatorname{div}, D_t)(vDu, 0) = \int_{\partial U_T} (vDu, 0) \cdot (\gamma_x, \gamma_t) dA \\ +) & \int_{U_T} uD_tv + vD_tu = \int_{U_T} D_t(uv) = \int_{U_T} (\operatorname{div}, D_t)(0, uv) = \int_{\partial U_T} (0, uv) \cdot (\gamma_x, \gamma_t) dA \\ \Rightarrow & \int_{U_T} u \underbrace{\left(D_tv + \Delta v \right)}_{\text{"}\delta(0,0)\text{"}} + v \underbrace{\left(D_tu - \Delta u \right)}_0 = \int_{\partial U_T} uv\gamma_x - \underbrace{v}_0 u\gamma_x + uv\gamma_t dA. \end{aligned}$$

Take $v = \frac{1}{[4\pi(-t)]^{n/2}} e^{\frac{|x|^2}{4t}} - \frac{1}{[4\pi]^{n/2}}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \left\{ \frac{1}{[4\pi(-t)]^{n/2}} e^{\frac{|x|^2}{4t}} \geq \frac{1}{[4\pi]^{n/2}} \right\} \Leftrightarrow \{|x|^2 \leq 2nt \ln(-t)\} \\ U_T &= E \cap \{t \leq s\}. \end{aligned}$$

figure U_T

We have from the Green's identity

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{\partial E \cap \{t \leq s\}} uv\gamma_x + \underbrace{\int_{I_s} uv dA}_{\rightarrow u(0,0)} \\ &\text{as } s \rightarrow 0^-, \text{ say for } u \in C^0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} v\gamma_x &= D\Phi \cdot \gamma_x = D\Phi \cdot \frac{-D\Phi}{|(D\Phi, D_t\Phi)|} = \frac{-|D\Phi|^2}{|(D\Phi, D_t\Phi)|} \\ &= \frac{-\Phi^2 \left| \frac{x}{2t} \right|^2}{\sqrt{\Phi^2 \left| \frac{x}{2t} \right|^2 + \Phi^2 \left(\frac{n}{2} \frac{1}{-t} - \frac{|x|^2}{4t^2} \right)^2}} = -\Phi \frac{|x|^2}{\sqrt{4t^2 |x|^2 + (2nt + |x|^2)^2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
u(0,0) &= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\Phi=(4\pi)^{-n/2}} u(x,t) \frac{|x|^2 \Phi(0,0,x,t)}{\sqrt{4t^2|x|^2 + (2nt + |x|^2)^2}} dA \\
u(0,0) &= \frac{1}{(4\pi R^2)^{n/2}} \int_{\Phi=(4\pi R^2)^{-n/2}} u(x,t) \frac{|x|^2 \Phi(0,0,x,t)}{\sqrt{4t^2|x|^2 + (2nt + |x|^2)^2}} dA \\
&= \int_{\Phi=(4\pi R^2)^{-n/2}=l} u(x,t) \frac{|D\Phi|^2}{|(D\Phi, D_t\Phi)|} dA
\end{aligned}$$

Having this sphere version, let us get a “solid” mean value formula, by integrating level by level of the heat kernel.

Set $w(l)$ s.t. $1 = \int_{(\frac{1}{4\pi})^{n/2}}^{\infty} w(l) dl$,

$$\begin{aligned}
u(0,0) &= \int_{(\frac{1}{4\pi})^{n/2}}^{\infty} w(l) \int_{\Phi=l} u \frac{|D\Phi|^2}{|(D\Phi, D_t\Phi)|} dA dl \\
&= \int_{\Phi \geq (\frac{1}{4\pi})^{n/2}} uw(l) |D\Phi|^2 dxdt \quad \text{recall } dA \frac{dl}{|\nabla\Phi|} = dvol \\
&= \int_{\Phi \geq (\frac{1}{4\pi})^{n/2}} u \underbrace{w(l) \Phi^2}_{\text{constant}} \left| \frac{x}{2t} \right|^2 dxdt
\end{aligned}$$

Let $w(l) = (\frac{1}{4\pi})^{n/2} \frac{1}{l^2}$, then $\int_{(\frac{1}{4\pi})^{n/2}}^{\infty} w(l) dl = (\frac{1}{4\pi})^{n/2} \frac{-1}{l} \Big|_{(\frac{1}{4\pi})^{n/2}}^{\infty} = 1$. Thus

$$u(0,0) = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\Phi \geq (\frac{1}{4\pi})^{n/2}} u \frac{|x|^2}{4t^2} dxdt$$

or

$$u(x_0, t_0) = \frac{1}{(4\pi R^2)^{n/2}} \int_{\Phi(x_0, t_0, x, t) \geq (\frac{1}{4\pi R^2})^{n/2}} u \frac{|x|^2}{4t^2} dxdt$$

figure heat ball

rescale the unit heat ball by R^2 in time and R in space

In particular, for $u \equiv 1$

$$1 = \frac{1}{(4\pi R^2)^{n/2}} \int_{[4\pi(-t)]^{-n/2} e^{\frac{|x|^2}{4t}} \geq \frac{1}{(4\pi R^2)^{n/2}}} \frac{|x|^2}{4t^2} dxdt = \int_{\underbrace{\Phi \geq 1}_{\text{say } 4\pi R^2=1}} \frac{|x|^2}{4t^2} dxdt.$$

RMK. Other choices of weights. For example $w(l) = c/l^{2-\alpha}$, $0 < \alpha < 1$

$$u(0,0) = c \int_{\Phi \geq 1} u \Phi^\alpha \frac{|x|^2}{4t^2} dxdt,$$

the kernel is still singular, though the kernel/weight has integrable singularity of order $-n\alpha - 2$ in space-time.

Applications of mean value formulas

App1. Strong max principle:

Let $u \in C_1^2$ solution to $u_t - \Delta u = 0$ in U_T . THEN

- $\max u$ only attains at the parabolic boundary of U_T ;
- otherwise, if $\max u = u(x_0, t_0)$, where (x_0, t_0) is an interior or non-parabolic boundary of U_T , then we have $u(x, t) \equiv u(x_0, t_0)$ for all (x, t) in the closure of the connected set of $U_T \cap \{t \leq t_0\}$ by chain of downward heat balls.

Def: Parabolic boundary points cannot center any heat ball inside the domain U_T .

Examples of U_T

figure parabolic bdry

Proof of the strong max principle.

Suppose $u(x_0, t_0) = \max_{U_T} u$ and (x_0, t_0) is not a parabolic boundary point, that is, (x_0, t_0) centers a heat ball in U_T . By the mean value formula in this ball

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(x_0, t_0) &= \frac{1}{(4\pi R^2)^{n/2}} \int_{\Phi(x_0, t_0, x, t) \geq (4\pi R^2)^{-n/2}} u(x, t) \frac{|x|^2}{4t^2} dx dt \\
 &\stackrel{\text{kernel} \geq 0}{\leq} \frac{1}{(4\pi R^2)^{n/2}} \int_{\Phi(x_0, t_0, x, t) \geq (4\pi R^2)^{-n/2}} u(x_0, t_0) \frac{|x|^2}{4t^2} dx dt \\
 &\stackrel{\int \text{kernel} = 1}{=} u(x_0, t_0).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus $u(x, t) \equiv u(x_0, t_0)$ in

$$\left\{ (x, t) \mid \frac{1}{(t_0 - t)^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{|x_0 - x|^2}{4(t_0 - t)}} \geq \frac{1}{R^n} \right\}.$$

RMK. The closure includes the points at horizontal level $\{t = t_0\}$, this is because such a point (y, t_0) is the limit of (y, s) as $s \uparrow t_0$, and the segment $(x_0, t_0) - (y, s)$ can be covered a chain of heat balls.

figure downward segment

Then $u(y, t) = \lim u(y, s) = \lim u(x_0, t_0)$.

Uniqueness of caloric function on bounded domains

Let u, v be two $C_1^2(U_T) \cap C_0^0(\bar{U}_T)$ solutions to $w_t - \Delta w = 0$ in U_T , and $u = v$ on the parabolic boundary of U_T . THEN $u \equiv v$.

RMK. U_T including U_∞ domains like $\{t > \text{convex}(x)\}$, say

$$\text{figure } t \geq |x|^4.$$

Question. What happens to $R^n \times [0, T]$ or $R_+^1 \times [0, T]$?

App2. Regularity

Faking space dimension $R^n \rightarrow R^{n+m}$ will lead us to a C_1^2 (even better ones for larger m) kernel in the mean value formula:

$$\begin{aligned} u_t(x, t) - (\Delta_x + \Delta_y) u(x, t) &= 0 \\ u(x_0, t_0) &= \int_{\Phi(x_0, t_0, x, t) \geq (4\pi R^2)^{-n/2}} u(x, t) K(x_0 - x, t_0 - t) dx dt \\ &= \int_{R^n \times R^1} u(x, t) K(x_0 - x, t_0 - t) dx dt \end{aligned}$$

where K is the kernel of Kuptsov (c.f. Neil A. Waston 2002). Then starting from L^1 function, satisfying the parabolic mean value formula, we immediately have C_1^2 solution to the heat equation (no need existence). We can also get interior estimates.

RMK. One way to verify those C_1^2 functions (out of L^1 , enjoying solid, then hollow mean value formulas) satisfy the heat equation, comes from the derivation of the heat sphere mean value derivation

$$\int_{\Phi \geq c} v(D_t u - \Delta u) dx dt = \int_{\Phi=c} uv_{\gamma_x} dA + u(x_0, t_0) = 0.$$

Next, by using a different argument via Green's identity over a cylinder $U_T = B_R \times [0, T]$, we show the C_1^2 solutions are C^∞ in x, t and C^ω in x . Recall the fundamental solution is not C^ω in t .

Green's identity

$$\int_{U_T} u \left(\underbrace{D_t v + \Delta v}_{\text{"}\delta(0,0)\text{"}} \right) + v \left(\underbrace{D_t u - \Delta u}_0 \right) = \int_{\partial U_T} uv_{\gamma_x} - vu_{\gamma_x} + uv_{\gamma_t} dA.$$

$$v = \Phi(x, t; y, s) = e^{\frac{|x-y|^2}{4(t-s)}} / [4\pi(t-s)]^{n/2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
u(x, t) &= - \int_{\partial U \times [0, t]} u(y, s) \underbrace{\Phi_{\gamma_y}(x, t; y, s)}_{C^\omega \text{ in } x \text{ not in } t, C^\infty \text{ in } t} dA \\
&\quad + \int_{\partial U \times [0, t]} u_{\gamma_y}(y, s) \underbrace{\Phi(x, t; y, s)}_{C^\omega \text{ in } x \text{ not in } t, C^\infty \text{ in } t} dA \\
&\quad + \int_U u(y, 0) \underbrace{\Phi(x, t; y, 0)}_{C^\omega \text{ in } x, t \text{ for } t \geq \delta_0} dy.
\end{aligned}$$

So we conclude u is $C^\omega(x)$ and $C^\infty(t)$ in $U'_T \subset U_T$.

Interior estimates

$$\max_{C_1} |D_x^k D_t^l u| \leq C(k, l, K) \max_{C_2} |D_x^2 u| + |D_t u| \leq C(k, l, K) \max_{C_3} |u|$$

or

$$\max_{C_R} |D_x^k D_t^l u| \leq \frac{C(k, l, K)}{R^{k+2l}} \max_{C_{3R}} |u|$$

via scaling $v(x, t) = u(Rx, R^2t)$, $D_x^k D_t^l v(x, t) = R^{k+2l} D_x^k D_t^l u|_{(Rx, R^2t)}$. Here $C_R = B_R(x_0) \times (t_0 - R^2, t_0)$.

Liouville Theorem: Global (eternal) solution, say C_1^2 to $u_t - \Delta u = 0$ in $R^n \times (-\infty, +\infty)$ satisfying

$$|u(x, t)| \leq A \left(|x|^k + |t|^l \right) \quad \text{for large } |x| + |t|$$

must be a caloric polynomial of degree less than $k + 2l$.

Proof.

$$|D_x^k D_t^l u(0, 0)| \leq \frac{C(k', l', K)}{R^{k'+2l'}} A (R^k + R^{2l}) \xrightarrow{R \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

for $k' + 2l' > k + 2l$. Note $(0, 0)$ could be anywhere, so $u(x, t)$ is a caloric polynomial of degree $\leq k + 2l$.

App3. Harnack inequality

$u \geq 0$ solution to $u_t - \Delta u = 0$, then

$$\max_{C_r^-} u \leq C(n) \min_{C_r^+} u.$$

figure Harnack.

One proof is via “fake” dimension mean value formula, it is little “involved” in calculating the positive weight. However, everything is at calculus level.

Uniqueness revisited

- If a caloric function is analytic in terms of x and t , like

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{n/2}} \int_{R^n} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4t}} \varphi(y) dy \quad \text{for } t > 0,$$

then $u(x, 0.9)$ determines all the temperature for $t > 0 : t > 0.9$ or $0 < t < 0.9$, also like

$$u(x, t) = e^{x+t} \quad \text{or} \quad e^{-t} \sin x,$$

$u(x, 0)$ determines all temperature for $-\infty < t < \infty$.

- In general, caloric functions are not analytic in t , like

$$u(x, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{4t}} & \text{for } x \neq 0 \text{ and } t > 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } x \neq 0 \text{ and } t \leq 0 \end{cases},$$

then $u(x, 0)$ cannot determine $u(x, t)$ for $t > 0$.

Recall we have from the maximum principle, that two caloric functions agree on the parabolic boundary of a bounded space-time domain, they agree everywhere.

figure cylinder domain and $\{t > |x|^2\}$ intersecting $t \leq T$

Energy proof of the uniqueness: $w \in C_1^2(\bar{U}_T)$ solution to $w_t - \Delta w = 0$, vanishing on the parabolic boundary, then $w \equiv 0$.

C^1 way. We calculate/estimate the energy

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_0^T \int_{U_T} |Dw|^2 dxdt = \int_0^T \int_{\Omega_t} \operatorname{div}(wDw) - w \Delta w dxdt = \int_0^T \left(\int_{\partial\Omega_t} \vec{w}^0 w_\gamma dA - \int_{\Omega_t} w \Delta w dx \right) dt \\ &= - \int_0^T \int_{\Omega_t} w w_t dxdt = - \int_{\Omega_T} \int_{t(x)}^T \frac{1}{2} (w^2)_t dt dx = - \int_{\Omega_T} \frac{1}{2} w^2(x, T) dx \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

So $|Dw| = 0$ and then $w \equiv 0$.

To prepare for a proof of backward uniqueness, we present another

C^0 way. We calculate/estimate the L^2 norm of w . Set $E(t) = \int_{\Omega_t} w(x, t)^2 dx$, say $\Omega_t = \Omega$.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} E(t) &= \dot{E}(t) = \int_{\Omega} 2w w_t dx = \int_{\Omega} 2w \Delta w dx \\ &= 2 \int_{\partial\Omega} \vec{w}^0 w_\gamma dA - 2 \int_{\Omega} |Dw|^2 dx = -2 \int_{\Omega} |Dw|^2 dx \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\int_{\Omega} w^2(x, t) dx = E(t) \leq E(0) = 0$. So $w(x, t) \equiv 0$.

Backward uniqueness: Let u and v be two C_1^2 solutions to $\begin{cases} w_t - \Delta w = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \times [0, T] \\ u = v & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times [0, T] \\ u = v & \text{on } \Omega \times T \end{cases}$,

then $u \equiv v$ on $\Omega \times [0, T]$.

Proof. By linearity, we only need to show from $w_t - \Delta w = 0$ in $\Omega \times [0, T]$ and $w = 0$ on $\Omega \times T$ and $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$, we have $w \equiv 0$ or $w(x, 0) = 0$. Set

$$E(t) = \int_{\Omega} w(x, t)^2 dx.$$

The proof is based on the observation that $\ln E(t)$ is convex in terms of t .

Step1. As in the C^0 way in the above, we have

$$\dot{E}(t) = -2 \int_{\Omega} |Dw|^2 dx \leq 0.$$

We will also need $\int_{\Omega} |Dw|^2 dx \stackrel{w=0}{=} \int_{\partial\Omega} -w \Delta w dx \leq (\int_{\Omega} w^2 dx)^{1/2} (\int_{\Omega} (\Delta w)^2 dx)^{1/2}$. Take one more derivative

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{E}(t) &= -4 \int_{\Omega} Dw \cdot Dw_t dx = -4 \int_{\partial\Omega} w_{\gamma} \cdot \vec{w}_t^0 dA + 4 \int_{\Omega} \Delta w w_t dx \\ &= 4 \int_{\Omega} (\Delta w)^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Step2. Suppose $E(t) > 0$ for $0 \leq t < T' \leq T$ and $E(T') = 0$. Then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \ln E = \frac{\dot{E}}{E}$$

and

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \ln E = \frac{\ddot{E}E - \dot{E}^2}{E^2} \geq 0,$$

since $\dot{E}^2 = 4 (\int_{\Omega} |Dw|^2 dx)^2 \leq 4 \int_{\Omega} w^2 dx \int_{\Omega} (\Delta w)^2 dx = \ddot{E}E$.

Now the convex function $\ln E(t)$ cannot go to $-\infty$ as t goes to T' , for it should stay above the tangent line at $(0, \ln E(0))$. This contradiction shows $E(t) \equiv 0$.

Uniqueness for Cauchy problem with constraints in $R^n \times R^+$.

Max Principle: Let u be $C_1^2(R^n \times (0, T)) \cap C(R^n \times [0, T])$ solution to

$$\begin{cases} u_t - \Delta u = 0 \\ u(x, 0) = g(x) \end{cases}.$$

Suppose $|u(x, t)| \leq Ae^{a|x|^2}$ in $R^n \times [0, T]$. Then

$$|u(x, t)| \leq \sup_{R^n} g(x) \quad \text{in } R^n \times [0, T].$$

Proof. We only need to prove $u(x, t) \leq \sup_{R^n} g(x) \triangleq M$ for subcaloric solution $u_t - \Delta u \leq 0$ with sub quadratic-exponential growth $u(x, t) \leq Ae^{a|x|^2}$.

Step1.

figure t-direction thin domain.

For any $\mu > 0$, set

$$v = M + \mu \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{8a} - t\right)^{n/2}} e^{\frac{|x|^2}{4\left(\frac{1}{8a} - t\right)}}$$

$$v_t - \Delta v = 0 \geq u_t - \Delta u$$

$$v \geq u \text{ on } \partial B_{R_\mu} \times \left[0, \frac{1}{16a}\right] \text{ for } R_\mu \text{ large}$$

$$v \geq u \text{ on } R^n \times \{0\}.$$

RMK. Invariance way to construct this barrier:

- * $\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} e^{\frac{|x|^2}{-4t}} \xrightarrow{\sqrt{-1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{-t}} e^{\frac{|x|^2}{-4t}}$ still sol.
- * the time shift sol v is quadratic-exponential growth at $t = 0$, it goes to ∞ as $t \nearrow \frac{1}{8a}$ for every x .

It follows from the maximum principle on the bounded domain,

$$u(x, t) \leq M + \mu \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{8a} - t\right)^{n/2}} e^{\frac{|x|^2}{4\left(\frac{1}{8a} - t\right)}} \text{ in } B_{R_\mu} \times \left[0, \frac{1}{16a}\right].$$

So for any fixed (x_0, t_0) with $t_0 \leq \frac{1}{16a}$, we have

$$u(x_0, t_0) \leq M + \mu \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{8a} - t_0\right)^{n/2}} e^{\frac{|x_0|^2}{4\left(\frac{1}{8a} - t_0\right)}} \xrightarrow{\mu \rightarrow 0} M.$$

Step2. The above argument works equally well on $\left[\frac{1}{16a}, \frac{2}{16a}\right], \left[\frac{2}{16a}, \frac{3}{16a}\right], \dots$, still $[0, T]$.

Corollary. The Cauchy problem with growth constraint

$$\begin{cases} u_t - \Delta u = f & \text{in } R^n \times (0, T) \\ u(x, 0) = g(x) \end{cases}$$

with $|u(x, t)| \leq Ae^{a|x|^2}$ in $R^n \times [0, T]$, has at most one solution.

Proof. The difference of any two solutions satisfies the condition in the max principle with $g = 0$ and difference is less $2Ae^{a|x|^2}$, so the difference is 0.

eg. The caloric function, or a solution to $\begin{cases} u_t - \Delta u = 0 \\ u(x, 0) = e^{a|x|^2} \end{cases}$

Integral way to construct the barrier:

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{n/2}} \int_{R^n} e^{\frac{|x-y|^2}{-4t}} e^{a|y|^2} dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi^{n/2}} \int_{R^n} e^{-|y|^2 + a|2\sqrt{t}y-x|^2} dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{(1-4at)^{n/2}} e^{\frac{a}{1-4at}|x|^2}$$

is

* $a > 0$ unique in $R^n \times [0, \frac{1}{4a})$ with constraint $|u(x, t)| \leq \frac{1}{(1-4at)^{n/2}} e^{\frac{\alpha}{1-4at}|x|^2}$ and grows faster than $e^{a|x|^2}$ for $t > 0$;

* $a < 0$ uniqueness in $R^n \times [0, \infty)$ with constraint $|u(x, t)| \leq e^{100|x|^2}$ and grows faster than $e^{a|x|^2}$ for $t > 0$.

The message: the growth/decay rate is not preserved precisely.

$$\text{Nonuniqueness of Cauchy problem } \begin{cases} u_t - \Delta u = 0 \text{ in } R^n \times [0, \infty) \\ u(x, 0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Tikhonov's counterexample.

Idea of construction:

* along $t = 0$, position $u(x, 0)$ alone determines all the derivatives (if analytic).

* along $x = 0$, position $u(0, t)$ and velocity $u_x(0, t)$ determines all the derivatives in x , (if analytic in x).

Now we solve a "real" Cauchy problem along the t-axis

$$\begin{cases} u(0, t) = g(t) \\ u_x(0, t) = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} u_x(0, t) = 0, u_{xxx}(0, t) = u_{xt}(0, t) = 0, \dots, D_x^{2k+1}u(0, t) = D_t^k u_x(0, t) = 0 \\ u_{xx}(0, t) = u_t(0, t) = g'(t), u_{xxxx}(0, t) = u_{tt}(0, t) = g''(t), \dots, D_x^{2k}u(0, t) = \\ D_t^k u(0, t) = D_t^k g(t). \end{aligned}$$

Assuming u is C^ω in terms of x , then

$$u(x, t) = g(t) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{g^{(k)}(t)}{(2k)!} x^{2k}.$$

Technical realization:

$$\text{graph for } g(t) = \begin{cases} e^{-\frac{1}{t^\alpha}} & t > 0 \\ 0 & t \leq 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{need } \alpha > 1.$$

How to control the derivatives

1st Direct try.

$$g(t) = e^{-t^{-\alpha}}$$

$$g' = e^{-t^{-\alpha}} \alpha t^{-\alpha-1}$$

$$g'' = e^{-t^{-\alpha}} \left[(\alpha t^{-\alpha-1})^2 - \alpha(\alpha+1)t^{-\alpha-2} \right]$$

$$g''' = e^{-t^{-\alpha}} \left[(\alpha t^{-\alpha-1})^3 + \dots + \alpha(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)t^{-\alpha-3} \right]$$

...

$$g^{(k)} = e^{-t^{-\alpha}} \left[(\alpha t^{-\alpha-1})^k + \dots \pm \alpha(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)\dots(\alpha+k-1)t^{-\alpha-k} \right]$$

$$\approx e^{-t^{-\alpha}} k! (\alpha t^{-\alpha-1})^k$$

$$\frac{g^{(k)}}{(2k)!} \approx e^{-t^{-\alpha}} \frac{k!}{(2k)!} (\alpha t^{-\alpha-1})^k, \text{ and } \frac{k!}{(2k)!} = \frac{1}{2^k 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2k-1)} \approx \frac{1}{2^{2k} k!}$$

$$|u(x, t)| \leq g(t) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t^{-\alpha}}}{k! 2^{2k}} (\alpha t^{-\alpha-1})^k x^{2k} = e^{-t^{-\alpha}} e^{\frac{\alpha t^{-\alpha-1}}{4} x^2} = e^{-\frac{1}{t^\alpha} + \frac{\alpha x^2}{4} \frac{1}{t^{\alpha+1}}} \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0+} \infty.$$

2nd complex try

Observe $e^{-t^{-\alpha}}$ is analytic when $t > 0$

figure complex plan $z = t + is$

$$g(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{g(z)}{z-t} dz$$

$$g^{(k)}(t) = \frac{k!}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{g(z)}{(z-t)^{k+1}} dz$$

Now by continuity of $z^{-\alpha}$ at 1, $\operatorname{Re} z^{-\alpha} \geq \frac{1}{2}$ for $|z-1| \leq \mu$, where $\mu = \mu(1/2) < 1$,

then $\operatorname{Re}(tz)^{-\alpha} \geq \frac{1}{2}t^{-\alpha}$ for $|tz-t| \leq \mu t$

or $\operatorname{Re} z^{-\alpha} \geq \frac{1}{2}t^{-\alpha}$ for $|z-t| \leq \mu t$

and

$$\left| e^{-z^{-\alpha}} \right| = e^{\operatorname{Re} z^{-\alpha}} \leq e^{-\frac{1}{2}t^{-\alpha}} \quad \text{for } |z-t| \leq \mu t.$$

So

$$|g^{(k)}(t)| \leq \frac{k!}{2\pi} \left| \int_{|z-t|=\mu t} \frac{g(z)}{(z-t)^{k+1}} dz \right| \leq \frac{k!}{2\pi} \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}t^{-\alpha}}}{(\mu t)^{k+1}} 2\pi \mu t = \frac{k!}{(\mu t)^k} e^{-\frac{1}{2}t^{-\alpha}}$$

and

$$|u(x, t)| \leq g(t) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k)!} \frac{k!}{(\mu t)^k} e^{-\frac{1}{2}t^{-\alpha}} x^{2k}$$

$$\leq e^{-t^{-\alpha}} + e^{-\frac{1}{2}t^{-\alpha}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{x^2}{\mu t} \right)^k$$

$$\leq e^{-\frac{1}{2}t^{-\alpha} + \frac{x^2}{\mu t}} \begin{cases} < \infty & \text{for all } (x, t) \text{ with } t > 0, \\ \rightarrow 0 & \text{as } t \rightarrow 0+ \text{ for each fixed } x, \end{cases} \quad \text{provided } \alpha > 1.$$

Then the Tikhonov's series converges, we've constructed a "super" quadratic-exponential caloric function such that $u_t - u_{xx} = 0$ and $u(x, 0) = 0$, $u(x, t)$ is not identically 0 for $t > 0$.

RMK. Choosing

$$g(t) = \begin{cases} e^{-\frac{1}{t^\alpha} - \frac{1}{(1-t)^\alpha}} & t > 0 \\ 0 & t \leq 0 \text{ or } t \geq 1 \end{cases},$$

figure complex plan $z = t + is$ for this g

we have another Tikhonov solution/caloric function vanishes before 0 or after 1.

figure Tikhonov double sided vanishing

RMK. Let $v = u^2$, where u is the above Tikhonov caloric function, then $v_t - \Delta v = 2uu_t - 2u \Delta u - 2|Du|^2 = -2|Du|^2 \leq 0$. This v is non-negative sub-caloric function vanishing at $t = 0$ and $t = 1$, yet doesn't vanish identically between $(0, 1)$.

Nonanalytic, yet smooth solution in $R^n \times [0, \infty)$

eg.

$$\begin{cases} u_t - u_{xx} = 0 \\ u(x, 0) = e^{-x^4} \end{cases}$$

has the bounded solution/quadratic-exponential growth solution

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, t) &= \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{1/2}} \int_{R^1} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4t}} e^{-|y|^4} dy \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi^{1/2}} \int_{R^1} e^{-y^2} e^{-|x-2\sqrt{t}y|^4} dy \end{aligned}$$

which is $C^\infty(R^1 \times [0, \infty))$, but NOT C^ω at $t = 0$.

In fact, if $u(0, t)$ is analytic in terms of t near $t = 0$, then

$$u(0, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k t^k,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_k &= \frac{1}{k!} D_t^k u(0, 0) = \frac{1}{k!} D_x^{2k} u(0, 0) = \frac{1}{k!} D_x^{2k} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-x^4)^m}{m!} \Big|_{x=0} \\ &\stackrel{2k=4m}{=} \frac{1}{(2m)!} \frac{(4m)!}{m!} > m!. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$|a_{2m} t^{2m}| > m! t^{2m} \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} \infty \text{ for any fixed } t > 0.$$

Then the series diverges, $u(x, t)$ cannot be analytic in t at $(0, 0)$.

Nonexistence of nonnegative solution to Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t - u_{xx} = 0 \\ u(x, 0) = e^{x^4} \end{cases}$$

First note the representation

$$\frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{1/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^1} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4t}} e^{y^4} dy = \infty.$$

Overheated, the nonnegative solution blows up once time starts.

Now the proof.

figure for e^{x^2} and g_k

$g_k(x) \in C_0^\infty(B_{k+1})$ and $g_k(x) = e^{x^4}$ on B_k . The bounded C_1^2 solution to

$$\begin{cases} u_t - u_{xx} = 0 \\ u(x, 0) = g_k \end{cases}$$

is

$$u_k(x, t) = \frac{1}{\pi^{1/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^1} e^{-y^2} g_k(x - 2\sqrt{t}y) dy.$$

figure for e^{-y^2} and $g_k(R - 2\sqrt{t}y)$

For each fixed k and say, 0.9, there exists $R_k = R(k, 0.9)$ large so that

$$0 \leq u_k(\pm R, t) \leq 0.9 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

This is because

$$u_k(\pm R_k, t) \leq \frac{1}{\pi^{1/2}} e^{-\left(\frac{R-k-1}{2\sqrt{t}}\right)^2} e^{k^4} \frac{k+1}{\sqrt{t}}.$$

Then as u is nonnegative, $u_k \leq 0.9 + u$ on the parabolic boundary of the cylinder $B_{R_k} \times [0, 1]$. The maximum principle implies $u_k \leq 0.9 + u$ in $B_{R_k} \times [0, 1]$. In particular

$$u_k(0, 1) \leq 0.9 + u(0, 1) \quad \text{for all } k.$$

But $u_k(0, 1)$ goes to $+\infty$, as k goes to $+\infty$. A contradiction!

In fact, $u(0, l)$ is forced to be ∞ for all small $l > 0$.

Question: Existence of sign-changing solutions? Answer: YES, F. B. Jr. Jones 1977.