

## Lecture 5 Minimal surface equation–Bernstein problem

- explicit examples
- Bernstein
- Jörgens

### Minimal surface equation

Consider the variational problem for area functional  $A[f] = \int \sqrt{1 + |Df|^2} dx$

$$\inf_{f=\varphi \text{ on } \partial U} A[f],$$

any critical  $f$  satisfies for all  $\eta \in C_0^\infty(U)$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left. \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} A[f + \varepsilon\eta] \right|_{\varepsilon=0} = \int_U \frac{Df \cdot D\eta}{\sqrt{1 + |Df|^2}} dx \\ &= \int_U -\operatorname{div} \left( \frac{Df}{\sqrt{1 + |Df|^2}} \right) \eta dx. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\text{mean curvature } H \triangleq \operatorname{div} \left( \frac{Df}{\sqrt{1 + |Df|^2}} \right) = 0.$$

Note also

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \frac{\Delta f}{\sqrt{1 + |Df|^2}} - \frac{\langle Df, D^2 f Df \rangle}{\left(\sqrt{1 + |Df|^2}\right)^3} \\ &= \frac{1}{\left(\sqrt{1 + |Df|^2}\right)^3} [(1 + |Df|^2) \Delta f - \langle Df, D^2 f Df \rangle] \\ &\stackrel{2d}{=} \frac{1}{\left(\sqrt{1 + |Df|^2}\right)^3} [(1 + f_2^2) f_{11} - 2f_1 f_2 f_{12} + (1 + f_1^2) f_{22}] \\ &= \frac{1}{\left(\sqrt{1 + |Df|^2}\right)^3} Lf, \end{aligned}$$

where  $L = (1 + f_2^2) \partial_{11} - 2f_1 f_2 \partial_{12} + (1 + f_1^2) \partial_{22}$ .

Explicit examples of minimal surfaces

---

<sup>0</sup>December 1, 2016

RMK. Solutions, in particular explicit ones are hard to come by for nonlinear equations.)

catenoid:  $|x, y| = \cosh z$

helicoid:  $z = \arctan \frac{y}{x}$

Sherk's surface:  $z = \ln \frac{\cos y}{\cos x}$

figure minimal surfaces

Exercise: invariance for minimal surface equation?

**Bernstein.** Let smooth  $f$  satisfies  $\operatorname{div} \left( \frac{Df}{\sqrt{1+|Df|^2}} \right) = 0$  in  $R^2$ . Then  $f$  is linear.

Bernstein's proof 1910s-40s

Strange obs.  $L \arctan f_1 = 0!$  Only in 2d.

Stunning Theorem. Bounded global saddle surface is flat, really horizontal.

That is  $\arctan f_1 = \text{const}$ . Similarly  $\arctan f_2 = \text{const}$ . Thus  $f$  is linear.

Lewy 1930s

In studying the Monge-Ampere equations  $\det D^2u = 1$ , really Darboux equation

$$\det_g \nabla^2 u = K_g (1 - |\nabla_g u|^2)$$

for the isometric embedding problem, introduced the/his transformation  $\eta(\xi) = \text{Lewy}[u(x)]$  with

$$\begin{cases} \xi_1 = 1 + u_1 \\ \xi_2 = 1 + u_2 \end{cases},$$

and  $u - \eta$  satisfying the contact transformation

$$0 = u + \frac{1}{2} (x_1^2 + x_2^2) + \eta - x_1 \xi_1 - x_2 \xi_2.$$

In fact, Lewy rotation is just the usual Legendre transformation of function  $u + \frac{1}{2} (x_1^2 + x_2^2)$ . Lewy was trying to get a priori estimates (in order to solve the equations).

Jörgens 1954

$$\det D^2u = 1 \quad \text{in } R^2 \Rightarrow u \text{ is quadratic.}$$

Jörgens used Heinz's "hard" estimates on the 3rd order derivatives.

Exercise: Verify  $v = x_1^3 + \frac{x_2^2}{12x_1}$  satisfies the 2d M-A equation.

Contrasting example in hyperbolic case.

$$v = x_1 x_2 + \arctan x_1$$

$$D^2v = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{1+x_1^2} & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\det D^2v = -1.$$

Heinz 1952 observed, there exists a scalar function  $u$  such that

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|Df|^2}} \left[ I + (Df)(Df)^T \right] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|Df|^2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1+f_1^2 & f_1f_2 \\ f_1f_2 & 1+f_2^2 \end{bmatrix} = D^2u$$

and

$$\det D^2u = 1.$$

The second equation is easy, just note

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|Df|^2}}g \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|Df|^2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1+|Df|^2 & \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The first super potential part is a little hard.

Geometrically, we know  $\Delta_g(x_1, x_2, f) = \vec{H} = 0$ , in fact

$$\Delta_g f = 0 \Leftrightarrow \Delta_g x_1 = 0 \Leftrightarrow \Delta_g x_2 = 0,$$

where

$$\Delta_g = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \operatorname{div}(\sqrt{g}g^{-1}D) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|Df|^2}} \operatorname{div} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|Df|^2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1+f_2^2 & -f_1f_2 \\ -f_1f_2 & 1+f_1^2 \end{bmatrix} D \right)$$

figure mean curvature

Then  $\Delta_g x_1 = 0$  implies

$$\partial_1 \left( \frac{1+f_2^2}{\sqrt{1+|Df|^2}} \right) - \partial_2 \left( \frac{f_1f_2}{\sqrt{1+|Df|^2}} \right) \stackrel{*}{=} 0$$

and  $\Delta_g x_2 = 0$  implies

$$-\partial_1 \left( \frac{f_1f_2}{\sqrt{1+|Df|^2}} \right) + \partial_2 \left( \frac{1+f_1^2}{\sqrt{1+|Df|^2}} \right) \stackrel{*}{=} 0.$$

We'll also verify these two identities directly. It follows that

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1+f_2^2}{\sqrt{1+|Df|^2}} & \frac{-f_1f_2}{\sqrt{1+|Df|^2}} \\ \frac{-f_1f_2}{\sqrt{1+|Df|^2}} & \frac{1+f_1^2}{\sqrt{1+|Df|^2}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} DF \\ DG \end{bmatrix}.$$

As  $F_2 = G_1$ , there exists  $u$  such that  $(F, G) = Du$ . Thus the existence of the double potential  $u$ .

Direct verification of  $*$ .

Let  $V = \sqrt{1 + |Df|^2}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
LHS &= \partial_1 \left( \frac{V^2 - f_1^2}{V} \right) - \partial_2 \left( f_1 \frac{f_2}{V} \right) \\
&= \partial_1 \left[ V - f_1 \left( \frac{f_1}{V} \right) \right] - \partial_2 \left[ f_1 \left( \frac{f_2}{V} \right) \right] \\
&= \partial_1 V - f_{11} \left( \frac{f_1}{V} \right) - \underbrace{f_1 \partial_1 \left( \frac{f_1}{V} \right)} \\
&\quad - f_{12} \left( \frac{f_2}{V} \right) - \underbrace{f_1 \partial_2 \left( \frac{f_2}{V} \right)} \\
&= \frac{f_1 f_{11} + f_2 f_{21}}{V} - \frac{f_1 f_{11} + f_2 f_{21}}{V} - f_1 \operatorname{div} \left( \frac{Df}{\sqrt{1 + |Df|^2}} \right) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

In summary:  
Heinz

$$\operatorname{div} \left( \frac{Df}{\sqrt{1 + |Df|^2}} \right) = 0 \text{ in } R^2 \Rightarrow \text{there exists } u \text{ such that in } R^2$$

$$\det D^2 u = 1 \text{ and } \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |Df|^2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 + f_1^2 & f_1 f_2 \\ f_1 f_2 & 1 + f_2^2 \end{bmatrix} = D^2 u.$$

Jörgens result implies Bernstein theorem in 2d.

RMK. A by product divergence  $\Delta_g = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \operatorname{div} (\sqrt{g} g^{-1} D) = \sum g_{ij} \partial_{ij}$  nondivergence on minimal graphs.

Nitsche's proof of Jorgens' Theorem via Lewy rotation (1956).

Step1. Let  $\tilde{u}(y)$  be the Legendre-Lewy rotation of  $u$ , namely Legendre transform of  $v(x) = u(x) + \frac{1}{2}|x|^2$ . The following distance increasing argument shows the map from  $x$  to  $Du(x) + \frac{1}{2}x$  is 1-1 and onto.

Step2. By the property of Legendre transform

$$D^2 \tilde{v}(y) = [D^2 u(x) + I]^{-1} \sim \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{1+\lambda_1} & \\ & \frac{1}{1+\lambda_2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Further we see

$$0 < D^2 \tilde{v}(y) < I$$

and

$$\Delta \tilde{v}(y) = \tilde{\lambda}_1 + \tilde{\lambda}_2 = \frac{2 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2}{1 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_1 \lambda_2} = \frac{2 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2}{2 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2} = 1.$$

Step3. Liouville shows  $D^2\tilde{v}$  is constant, in turn  $D^2u$  is constant.

Now new interpretation of Nitsche's proof. (2001)

Geometric way.

Step 1. Set-up

$$\lambda_1\lambda_2 = 1 \underset{\text{assume } \lambda_i > 0}{\Leftrightarrow} \arctan \lambda_1 + \arctan \lambda_2 = \frac{\pi}{2} \text{ or } \theta_1 + \theta_2 = \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

figure  $\pi/4$  rotation

Step 2.  $0 < \theta_i < \frac{\pi}{2}$  graph over  $x\text{-R}^2$  plane

Make a  $U(2)$  rotation

$$\begin{bmatrix} e^{-\sqrt{-2}\pi/4} & \\ & e^{-\sqrt{-2}\pi/4} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{cases} z_1 = x_1 + \sqrt{-1}y_1 \\ z_2 = x_2 + \sqrt{-1}y_2 \end{cases}$$

Obs.  $U(2)$  rotation preserves the Lagrangian structure i.e.  $J$  Tangent space = Normal Space or  $iT = N$ . This is because  $iUT = UiT = UN$ . Locally Lagrangian means the graph has a "gradient" structure.

Obs. This  $U(2)$  rotation decreases the angles

$$-\frac{\pi}{4} < \bar{\theta}_i = \theta_i - \frac{\pi}{4} < \frac{\pi}{4} \leftarrow \text{-----} \rightarrow -1 < \tan \bar{\theta}_i = \bar{\lambda}_i < 1$$

Then  $(x, Du(x))$  still a graph over  $\bar{x}\text{-R}^2$  plane. In fact a Lagrangian graph  $(\bar{x}, D\bar{u}(\bar{x}))$  with bounded Hessian  $D^2\bar{u}$ .

Step 3.  $\bar{\theta}_1 + \bar{\theta}_2 = 0 \Leftrightarrow \Delta\bar{u} = 0$ . Also  $-I < D^2\bar{u} < I$ . By Liouville,  $\bar{u}$  is quadratic. Then  $(\bar{x}, D\bar{u})$  is a plane, finally  $u$  is quadratic in terms of  $x$ .

RMK. In justifying the rotation  $e^{-\sqrt{-1}\pi/4}$ , we assumed  $D^2u$  is diagonal, this can be achieved by another  $U(2)$  rotation induced from the  $O(2)$  rotation on  $x\text{-R}^2$  plane

$$Rx + \sqrt{-1}Ry \text{ or } [R]_{2 \times 2} \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Analytic way.

Step 1. Set up  $\lambda_1\lambda_2 = 1$ , say  $\lambda_i > 0$ .

figure  $\pi/4$  rotation

Step 2. Change of variables

Now  $(x, Du(x))$  represented by  $(\Phi(x), \Psi(x))$  in  $\bar{x} - \bar{y}$  coordinate system

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{x} = \Phi(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x + Du(x)) \\ \bar{y} = \Psi(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-x + Du(x)) \end{aligned}$$

Note  $\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(I + D^2u) \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}I$ . Then  $\Phi$  is  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$  distance expanding and an open map. It follows that

\*  $\Phi$  is globally 1-1 and onto from  $x-R^2$  to  $\bar{x} - R^2$

\*  $(x, Du(x))$  is still a graph over  $\bar{x}$ -plane.

Instead of this infinitesimal argument, we argue without derivative.

$$\begin{aligned} |\bar{x}^P - \bar{x}^Q|^2 &= \frac{1}{2} |x^P - x^Q + Du(x^P) - Du(x^Q)|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} |x^P - x^Q|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |Du(x^P) - Du(x^Q)|^2 + \underbrace{\langle x^P - x^Q, Du(x^P) - Du(x^Q) \rangle}_{\geq 0, \text{ since } u \text{ is convex}} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} |x^P - x^Q|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |Du(x^P) - Du(x^Q)|^2 = \frac{1}{2} |P - Q|^2. \end{aligned}$$

So different points  $P$  and  $Q$  have different projections on  $\bar{x}$ -plane. So  $(x, Du(x))$  is still a graph over  $\bar{x}$ -plane.

Checking the Lagrangian structure

$$\text{curl}_{\bar{x}} \Psi = \frac{\partial \Psi^2}{\partial \bar{x}_1} - \frac{\partial \Psi^1}{\partial \bar{x}_2} = 0 \Leftrightarrow 0 = \underbrace{d\bar{x}_1 \wedge d\Psi^1 + d\bar{x}_2 \wedge d\Psi^2}_{\bar{x} \text{ parametrization}} = \underbrace{d\Phi^1 \wedge d\Psi^1 + d\Phi^2 \wedge d\Psi^2}_{x \text{ parametrization}}.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} &d(x_1 + u_1) \wedge d(-x_1 + u_1) + d(x_2 + u_2) \wedge d(-x_2 + u_2) \\ &= dx_1 \wedge du_1 - du_1 \wedge dx_1 + dx_2 \wedge du_2 - du_2 \wedge dx_2 \\ &= 2(dx_1 \wedge du_1 + dx_2 \wedge du_2) \\ &= 2(u_{12}dx_1 \wedge dx_2 + u_{21}dx_2 \wedge dx_1) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Calculating the new Hessian  $D^2\bar{u}$ , and another way of checking ‘‘gradient’’ structure.

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \Psi^1}{\partial \bar{x}_1} & \frac{\partial \Psi^2}{\partial \bar{x}_1} \\ \frac{\partial \Psi^1}{\partial \bar{x}_2} & \frac{\partial \Psi^2}{\partial \bar{x}_2} \end{bmatrix} &= \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \bar{x}} = \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial \bar{x}} = \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial x} \right)^{-1} = \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x} \right)^{-1} \\ &= \underbrace{(-I + D^2u)(I + D^2u)^{-1}}_{\text{symmetric, thus } \frac{\partial \Psi^1}{\partial \bar{x}_2} = \frac{\partial \Psi^2}{\partial \bar{x}_1}} \sim \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-1+\lambda_1}{1+\lambda_1} & \\ & \frac{-1+\lambda_2}{1+\lambda_2} \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{figure graph } \frac{-1 + \lambda}{1 + \lambda}$$

So

$$-I < (D^2\bar{u}) = \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \bar{x}} < I.$$

Step 3. Equation

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \bar{u} &= \bar{\lambda}_1 + \bar{\lambda}_2 = \frac{-1 + \lambda_1}{1 + \lambda_1} + \frac{-1 + \lambda_2}{1 + \lambda_2} = \\ &= \frac{2\lambda_1\lambda_2 - 2}{(1 + \lambda_1)(1 + \lambda_2)} = 0! \end{aligned}$$

We have a harmonic function with bounded Hessian on  $R^2$ . Liouville theorem implies that  $\bar{u}$  is quadratic, then so is  $u$ .