

Lecture 13 Minimal Surface equations

- non-solvability
- strongly convex functional
- further regularity

Consider minimal surface equation

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{Du}{\sqrt{1+|Du|^2}} \right) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = \varphi & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} .$$

The solution is a critical point or the minimizer of

$$\inf_{u|_{\partial\Omega}=\varphi} \int_{\Omega} \sqrt{1+|Du|^2} .$$

But the integrand $F(p) = \sqrt{1+|p|^2}$ is not strongly convex, that is $D^2F \not\geq \delta I$, only $D^2F > 0$. The loss of strong convexity or convexity causes non-solvability, or non minimizer for general domains, unlike $\Delta u = 0$ with $\int_{\Omega} |Du|^2$ case.

Eg1. Let the boundary data be $u = t$ on ∂B_2 and $u = 0$ on ∂B_1 with $\Omega = B_2 \setminus B_1$.

catenoid on annulus figure

The minimizer should be radial (by symmetry), or just we consider radial solutions. Necessarily we have a constraint

$$0 = \int_{B_r \setminus B_1} \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{Du}{\sqrt{1+|Du|^2}} \right) = \int_{\partial B_r} \frac{Du}{\sqrt{1+|Du|^2}} \cdot \partial_r dA - \int_{\partial B_1} \frac{Du}{\sqrt{1+|Du|^2}} \cdot \partial_r dA .$$

We infer

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{u_r}{\sqrt{1+u_r^2}} r^{n-1} &= \frac{1}{C} \neq 0 \\ u_r &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{(Cr^{n-1})^2 - 1}} \quad \text{with } C \geq 1 . \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} u(r) - u(1) &= \int_1^r \frac{1}{\sqrt{(C\rho^{n-1})^2 - 1}} d\rho \leq \int_1^2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\rho^{n-1})^2 - 1}} d\rho < \infty \\ &\stackrel{\text{eg } n=2}{=} ch^{-1}(2) . \end{aligned}$$

Now $u(2) = t$, say 100000000 on ∂B_2 contradicts the above inequality. Or the difference $u(2) - u(1)$ cannot be too large.

RMK. Mean curvature of $\partial\Omega$ nonnegative is necessary and sufficient (use distance to the boundary as barrier) in solving minimal surface equation with arbitrary boundary condition. In our lecture, we only consider strongly convex Ω and $\varphi \in C^{1,1}(\partial\Omega)$.

Eg2. Consider the non-convex functional

$$\inf_{\substack{u(0)=0 \\ u(1)=1}} \int_0^1 F(u_x) dx \quad \text{with } F(p) = \begin{cases} p^2(p-2)^2 & \text{for } |p| \leq 10 \\ \text{quadratic extension} & \text{for } |p| > 10 \end{cases} ,$$

double well F figure

The Euler-Lagrangian equation is $D_x(F_p(u_x)) = F''(u_x)u_{xx} = 0$.

· $u = x$ is a critical point, not minimizer, $\int_0^1 F(x') dx = 1$.

· $v = \dots$ with $v' = 0$ or 2 , minimizers, not smooth, not unique, $\int_0^1 F(v') dx = 0$.

various critical pts figure

Next we solve the minimal surface equation via strongly convex functionals.

Step δ . Let $F^\delta(p) = \sqrt{1 + |p|^2 + \delta|p|^2}$, then $2\delta I \leq (D^2 F^\delta) \leq (1 + 2\delta)I$. $((\sqrt{1+x^2})_x = \frac{x}{\sqrt{1+x^2}} = \sin\theta, (\sqrt{1+x^2})_{xx} = \cos\theta \cdot \theta_x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+x^2}} \frac{1}{1+x^2})$ Parallel to the minimizing process to $\int_\Omega |Du|^2$, we minimize

$$J[u] = \int_\Omega F^\delta(Du) .$$

Let the minimizing sequence $u^k \in H^1$ with $u^k = \varphi$ on $\partial\Omega$

$$J[u^k] \rightarrow \inf J[u] = m .$$

We claim: $\{u^k\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in H^1 .

convexity figure

For any (small) positive ε , we have for all large k and l

$$\begin{aligned} m &\leq J[u^k] \leq m + \varepsilon \\ m &\leq J\left[\frac{1}{2}(u^k + u^l)\right] = \int F^\delta\left(\frac{1}{2}(Du^k + Du^l)\right) \\ &\leq \int \frac{1}{2}[F^\delta(Du^k) + F^\delta(Du^l)] - \frac{1}{2} \text{“min } D^2 F^\delta \text{”} \left|\frac{Du^k - Du^l}{2}\right|^2 \\ &\leq m + \varepsilon - \frac{\delta}{4} \int_\Omega |Du^k - Du^l|^2 . \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\frac{\delta}{4} \int_{\Omega} |Du^k - Du^l|^2 \leq \varepsilon.$$

So we know

· $u^k \rightarrow u^\delta$ in H^1

· the minimizer is unique (by setting $\varepsilon = 0$) and satisfies for all $\phi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$

$$\int_{\Omega} \sum D_{x_i} \phi F_{p_i}^\delta(Du^\delta) = 0.$$

Step 0. Now

$$\inf_{\substack{u \in W^{1,1} \\ u = \varphi \text{ on } \partial\Omega}} \int \sqrt{1 + |Du|^2} = \inf.$$

To make sense the functional, $W^{1,1}$ is the right space. Note $W^{1,1}$ functions also have L^1 trace on $\partial\Omega$. (Going with the $W^{1,2}$ minimizing sequence would not lead to a $W^{1,2}$ Cauchy sequence. Even if one has a $W^{1,2}$ minimizing sequence, the minimizer is only in $W^{1,1}$ space, not in $W^{1,2}$ space.)

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $v \in W^{1,1}$ with $v = \varphi$ on $\partial\Omega$ such that

$$\int \sqrt{1 + |Dv|^2} \leq \inf + \varepsilon.$$

To move from $W^{1,1}$ v to $W^{1,2}$, let $V_\eta \in C^\infty$ be the approximation for v , then

$$\int \sqrt{1 + |DV_\eta|^2} \leq \inf + \varepsilon + \varepsilon.$$

Also there is $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon, \|DV_\eta\|_{L^2})$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \sqrt{1 + |DV_\eta|^2} + \delta |DV_\eta|^2 \leq \inf + \varepsilon + \varepsilon + \varepsilon.$$

So the minimizer $u^\delta \in H^1$ with $u^\delta = \varphi$ on $\partial\Omega$ for $\int \sqrt{1 + |Du|^2} + \delta |Du|^2$ satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega} \sqrt{1 + |Du^\delta|^2} + \delta |Du^\delta|^2 \leq \inf + 3\varepsilon.$$

Thus

$$\int_{\Omega} \sqrt{1 + |Du^\delta|^2} \xrightarrow{\delta \rightarrow 0} \inf.$$

But no minimizer to be found yet.

RMK. If

$$\|Du^\delta\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C \text{ independent of } \delta \text{ (to be justified),}$$

then there is $u \in H^1$ such that $u^\delta \rightharpoonup u$ in H^1 weakly by weak compactness of H^1 space. Let us show that

$$\int_{\Omega} \sqrt{1 + |Du|^2} \leq \liminf_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} \sqrt{1 + |Du^\delta|^2} = \inf.$$

This is because the functional $\int \sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}$ is convex and a convex combination of $u^\delta \rightarrow u$ in H^1 strongly. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \sqrt{1 + |Du|^2} &\leftarrow \int_{\Omega} \sqrt{1 + |D \text{ convex combination of } u^\delta|^2} \\ &\stackrel{\text{Jessen}}{\leq} \text{convex combination of } \int_{\Omega} \sqrt{1 + |Du^\delta|^2} \\ &\leq \inf + \delta C. \end{aligned}$$

Linear way:

$$\int_{\Omega} F(Du) \leq \int_{\Omega} F(Du^\delta) - F_p(Du) \cdot (Du^\delta - Du) \rightarrow \inf.$$

At this point, we have already obtained a minimizer u and its H^1 regularity then its uniqueness ($\varepsilon = 0$ argument pushed further), if we furnish (*). Indeed we show a stronger claim by taking advantage of the boundary data ($C^{1,1}$ at this point, further relaxed C^0 condition depending on Bombieri-De Giorgi-Miranda's a priori gradient estimate.) and $C^{1,1}$ boundary:

$$\|Du^\delta\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C(\|\varphi\|_{C^{1,1}}, \partial\Omega), \text{ independent of } \delta.$$

RMK. First note from De Giorgi-Nash, we already know $u^\delta \in C^{1,\alpha}$ inside Ω , though we do not know yet a uniform $C^{1,\alpha}$ norm. Further by a similar, but simpler argument than De Giorgi-Nash, one can get C^ε regularity of u^δ up to the Lipschitz boundary with C^β boundary data φ . In the following we are just drawing uniform estimates independent of parameter δ .

$$\text{Step } \|Du^\delta\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C(\|\varphi\|_{C^{1,1}}, \partial\Omega)$$

Boundary. For any linear function L , $\sum D_i(F_{p_i}^\delta(DL)) = 0$. We compare L to u^δ satisfying $\sum D_i(F_{p_i}^\delta(Du^\delta)) = 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum D_i \left(F_{p_i p_j}^\delta (*) D_j(L - u^\delta) \right) &= 0 \\ 2\delta I &\leq \left(F_{p_i p_j}^\delta \right) \leq (1 + 2\delta) I. \end{aligned}$$

The (strong) maximum principle implies that the inf and sup of $L - u^\delta$ achieves on the boundary.

Recall/Exercise: For $C^{1,1}$ boundary $\partial\Omega$ strongly κ_0 -convex, that is the principle curvatures $(\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_{n-1}) \geq \kappa_0$ componentwise, and $C^{1,1}$ boundary data φ , we have

$$x_n = |x'|^2 \text{ boundary figure}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \overbrace{\varphi(0) + D_{x'}\varphi(0) \cdot x' - Mx_n}^{L^-} &\leq \varphi(x) \leq \overbrace{\varphi(0) + D_{x'}\varphi(0) \cdot x' + Mx_n}^{L^+} \\ L^- &\leq u^\delta \leq L^+ \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Hint: $x_n \geq \kappa_0 |x'|^2$.

Apply the maximum principle (either after Moser, or a simpler argument to be found in the end of this lecture), we get

$$L^- \leq u^\delta \leq L^+ \text{ in } \Omega.$$

It implies

$$|D_{x_n} u^\delta(0)| \leq M.$$

Thus

$$|Du^\delta| = |(D'u^\delta, D_n u)| \leq M(\|\varphi\|_{C^{1,1}}, \kappa_0) \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \text{ } \delta\text{-free.} \quad (\text{Bdry Lip})$$

Interior to Boundary. For any $e \in R^n$, for any $x \in \partial\Omega$, really boundary of $\Omega \cap \{\Omega - \varepsilon e\}$ with ε small. By the boundary Lip (Bdry Lip), we have for any fixed boundary point $x = x_0$ and for all $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0(x_0)$

$$u^\delta(x + \varepsilon e) \leq u^\delta(x) + 2M\varepsilon.$$

By the compactness of $\partial\Omega$, we have the above inequality at all boundary points of $\Omega \cap \{\Omega - \varepsilon e\}$ for all $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{\partial\Omega}$. Observe that both $u^\delta(x + \varepsilon e)$ and $u^\delta(x)$ are $W^{1,2}$ weak solutions to

$$\sum D_{x_i} (F_{p_i}^\delta(Dv)) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \cap \{\Omega - \varepsilon e\}.$$

By the (strong) maximum principle

$$u^\delta(x + \varepsilon e) \leq u^\delta(x) + 2M\varepsilon \text{ in } \Omega \cap \{\Omega - \varepsilon e\},$$

from which we infer for all $x \in \Omega \cap \{\Omega - \varepsilon e\}$

$$\frac{u^\delta(x + \varepsilon e) - u^\delta(x)}{\varepsilon} \leq 2M.$$

Similarly we obtain

$$-2M \leq \frac{u^\delta(x + \varepsilon e) - u^\delta(x)}{\varepsilon}.$$

By letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$\|Du^\delta\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq 2M, \text{ } \delta\text{-free.}$$

Then

$$\int_\Omega |Du^\delta|^2 \rightarrow \inf_{v \in H_\varphi^1(\Omega)} \int_\Omega |Dv|^2 \text{ as } \delta \rightarrow 0.$$

By the parallelogram inequality, $u^\delta \rightarrow u$ in $H^1(\Omega)$.

Summary: we have got the minimizer for $\int_\Omega \sqrt{1 + |Dv|^2}$ with $u = \varphi \in C^{1,1}(\partial\Omega)$ on the strongly convex boundary, such that (for example, by the above argument)

$$\|Du\|_{L^\infty(\bar{\Omega})} \leq C(\|\varphi\|_{C^{1,1}}, \kappa_0(\partial\Omega)).$$

Step $C^{2,\alpha}$. Regularity for the critical point u .

First we have

$$\sum D_{x_i} \left(F_{p_i p_j} (*) D_{x_j} \left(\frac{u(x + \varepsilon e) - u(x)}{\varepsilon} \right) \right) = 0.$$

De Giorgi-Nash implies

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{u(x + \varepsilon e) - u(x)}{\varepsilon} \right\|_{C^\alpha(B_{1/2})} &\leq C \left\| \frac{u(x + \varepsilon e) - u(x)}{\varepsilon} \right\|_{L^2(B_1)} \\ &\leq C \|Du\|_{L^2(B_1)} \leq C (\|\varphi\|_{C^{1,1}}, \kappa_0(\partial\Omega)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $u \in C^{1,\alpha}$ and u is a weak solution to $\sum D_i (F_{p_i} (Du)) = 0$.

Next we show that $u \in C^{2,\alpha}$, then $\sum F_{p_i p_j} (Du) D_{ij} u = 0$. The proof is through the $C^{2,\alpha}$ solution to a Dirichlet problem by Schauder theory. Let $a_{ij}(x) = F_{p_i p_j} (Du(x))$ (like the regularity for viscosity/Perron solution to $\Delta u = 0$), we know how to solve

$$\begin{cases} \sum a_{ij}(x) D_{ij} w = 0 & \text{in } B_\eta \\ w = u & \text{on } \partial B_\eta \end{cases} \quad (\text{Schauder})$$

by weighted norm method. Then we have $C^{2,\alpha}(B_\eta)$ solution w .

Proposition 1 *Let $u \in C^{1,\alpha}$ be a weak solution to $\sum D_i (F_{p_i} (Du)) = 0$ in B_η and $w \in C^{2,\alpha}$ solution to (Schauder). Then $u \equiv w$ in B_η .*

The idea of the proof is to show $\sum D_i (F_{p_i} (Dw)) = 0$ by a ‘‘viscosity’’ way. The technical execution is to modify w to $v \in C^{2,\alpha}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum D_i (F_{p_i} (Dv)) &= \sum F_{p_i p_j} (Dv) D_{ij} v \geq 0 \\ v &\geq u \quad \text{and } v(x_1) > u(x_1) \\ v &= u \quad \text{on boundary.} \end{aligned}$$

Then contradicts $\sum D_i (F_{p_i} (Du)) = 0$.

Proof. Suppose $u \neq w$ in B_η , say $\max_{B_\eta} (w - u) = (w - u)(x_0) = t > 0$ and $x_0 \in \mathring{B}_\eta$.

w over u at x₀ figure

First step toward a sub solution v : Let $w_t = w + \frac{t}{2} (|x|^2 - \eta^2)$, then $w_t = u$ on ∂B_η and

$$w_t(x_0) = w(x_0) + \frac{t}{2} (|x_0|^2 - \eta^2) = u(x_0) + t + \frac{t}{2} (|x_0|^2 - \eta^2) > u(x_0),$$

where we assumed that we started with $\eta \leq 1$. Then there exists C_t such that

$$\begin{aligned} w_t - C_t &\leq u \quad \text{in } B_\eta \\ w_t - C_t &= u \quad \text{at } x_1 \in \mathring{B}_\eta \quad (x_1 \text{ may not be } x_0) \\ Dw_t(x_1) &= Du(x_1). \end{aligned}$$

v over u over w_t figure

Second step toward a sub solution v : Let $v = w_t - C_t - \frac{t}{4}|x - x_1|^2 + \gamma$, then $v \geq u$ in a neighborhood N_γ of x_1 . And N_γ shrinks to the point x_1 as γ goes to zero.

Since $w \in C^{2,\alpha}$, $a_{ij}(x) \in C^\alpha$, and $Dv(x_1) = Du(x_1)$, we can choose γ small so that N_γ small, then Dv is close to Du in N_γ and eventually so that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum D_i (F_{p_i} (Dv)) &= \sum F_{p_i p_j} (Dv) D_{ij} v \\ &= \sum \underbrace{(F_{p_i p_j} (Dv) - F_{p_i p_j} (Du))}_{o(1)} \underbrace{D_{ij} v}_{\text{bounded}} + \sum a_{ij}(x) D_{ij} v \\ &= o(1) + \overrightarrow{\sum a_{ij}(x) D_{ij} w^0} + \sum \underbrace{a_{ij}(x)}_{\geq \mu} \frac{t}{4} \delta_{ij} \\ &\geq \mu \frac{t}{8} \quad \text{for small } \gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sum D_i (F_{p_i} (Du)) = 0 \\ \sum D_i (F_{p_i} (Dv)) \geq 0 \\ u = v \quad \text{on } \partial N_\gamma \end{array} \right. \quad \text{in } N_\gamma,$$

or

$$\sum D_i (F_{p_i p_j} (*) D_j (v - u)) \geq 0.$$

Take a test function $(v - u)^+ \in H_0^1(N_\gamma)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_{N_\gamma} (v - u)^+ D_i (F_{p_i p_j} (*) D_j (v - u)) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Sard}}{=} - \int_{N_\gamma} \sum D_i (v - u)^+ F_{p_i p_j} (*) D_j (v - u) \\ &\leq -\mu \int_{N_\gamma} |D_i (v - u)^+|^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\int_{N_\gamma} |D_i (v - u)^+|^2 = 0$, then $(v - u)^+ \equiv 0$ or $v \leq u$ in N_γ . But $v - u = \gamma > 0$ at x_1 in N_γ .

This contradiction shows that $u \equiv w \in C^{2,\alpha}$ in B_η .

Exercise: Let u be a $C^{2,\alpha}$ solution to $\sum F_{p_i p_j} (Du) D_{ij} u = 0$ and $\mu I \leq (F_{p_i p_j}) \leq \mu^{-1} I$. Show that $u \in C^{3,\alpha}$.