

# Lecture 10 Evans-Krylov-(Safonov)

- skip  $C^{1,\alpha}$
- $C^{2,\alpha}$  estimate

Recall Krylov-Safonov for  $C^0 \ni u \in S(\mu, 0)$ . Now for  $\mu$ -elliptic equation

$$F(D^2u) = 0$$

we have

- i)  $u \in C^\alpha$ ;
- ii)  $u \in C^{1,\alpha}$ ;
- smooth version:

$$\sum F_{ij} D_{ij} u_e = 0.$$

$C^0$  version:

$$\frac{u(x + \varepsilon e) - u(x)}{\varepsilon} \in S(\mu, 0).$$

The strong argument already gives  $u - v \in S(\mu, 0)$ , then the uniqueness of viscosity solution,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} F(D^2u) = F(D^2v) \text{ in } \Omega \\ u = v \text{ on } \partial\Omega \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow u = v \text{ in } \Omega.$$

RMK. One can argue for the uniqueness “directly” when  $F$  is not uniformly elliptic, say only strictly elliptic or just elliptic. In such cases, there is no intermediate conclusion  $u - v \in S(\mu, 0)$ .

- iii)  $C^{1,1}/C^{2,\alpha}$  provided  $F$  is convex.

Smooth case: Analytic\*

$$\sum F_{ij} D_{ij} u_{ee} + \overbrace{\sum F_{ij,kl} D_{ij} u_e D_{kl} u_e}^{\geq 0} = 0,$$

that is  $\sum F_{ij} D_{ij} u_{ee} \leq 0$ . Then

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_{ee} \in \bar{S}(\mu, 0) \\ F(D^2u) = 0 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow D^2u \in C^\alpha.$$

Continuous case:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{u(x+\varepsilon e) + u(x-\varepsilon e) - 2u(x)}{\varepsilon^2} \in \bar{S}(\mu, 0) \\ F(D^2u) = 0 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow D^2u \in C^\alpha.$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_\rho^* = \frac{1}{\rho^2} \left[ \int_{\partial B_\rho(x)} u - u(x) \right] \in \bar{S}(\mu, 0) \\ \text{local maximum principle} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow D^2u \in L^\infty.$$

\*Geometric

convex level figure

$$F(M) = 0 \quad D^2u(x - \varepsilon e) \quad D^2u(x + \varepsilon e) \quad \nabla F(D^2u(x))$$

$$\nabla F \cdot \frac{D^2u(x - \varepsilon e) + D^2u(x + \varepsilon e) - 2D^2u(x)}{\varepsilon^2} \leq 0 \quad \text{or}$$

$$F_{ij}D_{ij}u_{ee} \leq 0.$$

**Theorem 1** *Let  $u \in C^2(C^4)$  be a solution to  $\mu$ -elliptic equation  $F(D^2u) = 0$ ,  $F$  convex. Then  $u \in C^{2,\alpha}$  and*

$$\|D^2u\|_{C^\alpha(B_{1/2})} \leq C(n, \mu) \|D^2u\|_{L^\infty(B_1)},$$

where small  $\alpha = \alpha(n, \mu) > 0$ .

Heuristic: Recall  $C^\alpha$  estimate for solutions  $0 \leq u \leq 1$  to  $\sum D_i(a_{ij}D_ju) = 0$  or  $\sum a_{ij}D_{ij}u = 0$ ,

$$\text{osc}_{B_{1/2}} u \leq \theta \text{osc}_{B_1} u.$$

domain target pic

$$\mathcal{B}^+ = \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right] \quad \mathcal{B}^- = \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right].$$

Either i)  $|u^{-1}(\mathcal{B}^+)| \geq \frac{1}{2}|B_1|$  or ii)  $|u^{-1}(\mathcal{B}^-)| \geq \frac{1}{2}|B_1|$ .

Case i)  $u > 0$  super solution satisfies

$$\inf_{B_{1/2}} u \geq C(n, \mu) \left( \int_{B_1} u^\varepsilon \right)^{1/\varepsilon} \geq C(n, \mu) \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2}|B_1| \right)^\varepsilon \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \eta(n, \mu).$$

Case ii)  $1 - u$  super solution satisfies

$$\inf_{B_{1/2}} (1 - u) \geq C(n, \mu) \left( \int_{B_1} (1 - u)^\varepsilon \right)^{1/\varepsilon} \geq C(n, \mu) \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2}|B_1| \right)^\varepsilon \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \eta(n, \mu).$$

Either way, we conclude

$$\text{osc}_{B_{1/2}} u \leq (1 - \eta) \text{osc}_{B_1} u.$$

RMK. We really only used  $u$  along positive and negative directions are super solutions. One does similar things in the vector case:

◦ Fully nonlinear equations  $F(D^2v) = 0$ ,  $u \dashrightarrow D^2v$ , and  $v_{ee}$  directions are enough (note there is no negative direction now).

◦ Harmonic maps  $\Delta U = Q(U, DU)$ ,  $u \dashrightarrow U$  (no negative direction either).

Now heuristic for  $C^2 \Rightarrow C^{2,\alpha}$  for  $F(D^2u) = 0$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \text{domain} & \text{target pic} \\ D^2u(B_1) & = & \mathcal{B}^1 \cup \mathcal{B}^2 \cup \mathcal{B}^3 \\ \text{Diam} D^2u(B_1) & = & 1 \end{array}$$

One of the preimages, say

$$\left| (D^2u)^{-1}(\mathcal{B}^2) \cap B_1 \right| \geq \frac{1}{3} |B_1|.$$

From  $D^2u \in \{M : F(M) = 0\}$ , we find  $e \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$u_{ee} - \overbrace{\inf_{B_1} u_{ee}}^m \geq \bar{C}(n, \mu) > 0 \quad \text{in } (D^2u)^{-1}(\mathcal{B}^2).$$

Note

$$u_{ee} \in \bar{S}(\mu, 0) \quad (u \in C^4 \text{ straightforward for } u \in C^4, \text{ little involved for } u \in C^2).$$

From Krylov-Safonov, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{B_{1/2}} (u_{ee} - m) &\geq C(n, \mu) \left( \int_{B_1} (u_{ee} - m)^\varepsilon \right)^{1/\varepsilon} \\ &\geq C(n, \mu) \cdot \bar{C}(n, \mu) \left( \frac{1}{3} |B_1| \right)^{1/\varepsilon} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \eta(n, \mu) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then we can “drop” say  $\mathcal{B}^3$  in the covering of  $D^2u(B_{1/2})$  or at least a fixed portion of  $\mathcal{B}^3$ . Iterate, we have  $D^2u$ -image shrinks as we shrink our domain, in a Hölder fashion, then Hölder for  $D^2u$ .

**Lemma 2** *Assume  $F$  is  $\mu$ -elliptic (no convexity assumption) and  $F(M_1) = F(M_2)$ . Then (in fact  $\Longleftrightarrow$ )*

$$\|M_1 - M_2\| \stackrel{C(\mu)}{\approx} \|(M_1 - M_2)^-\| \stackrel{C(\mu)}{\approx} \|(M_1 - M_2)^+\| \stackrel{C(n)}{\approx} \sup_{|e|=1} (M_1 - M_2) \cdot e^T e,$$

in particular

$$\|M_1 - M_2\| \geq \sup_{|e|=1} (M_1 - M_2) \cdot e^T e \geq \overbrace{C(n, \mu)}^{C_E} \|M_1 - M_2\|.$$

Here  $\|M\|^2 = \sum M_{ij}^2$ .

Proof. By  $\mu$ -ellipticity, we have

$$\underline{F(M_1)} = F(M_1 - M_2 + M_2) \leq \underline{F(M_2)} + \mu^{-1} \|(M_1 - M_2)^+\| - \mu \|(M_1 - M_2)^-\|.$$

Then

$$\mu \|(M_1 - M_2)^-\| \leq \mu^{-1} \|(M_1 - M_2)^+\|.$$

By symmetry

$$\mu \|(M_1 - M_2)^+\| = \mu \|(M_2 - M_1)^-\| \leq \mu^{-1} \|(M_2 - M_1)^+\| = \mu^{-1} \|(M_1 - M_2)^-\|.$$

Next from

$$(M_1 - M_2)^+ = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1^+ & & & & \\ & \dots & & & \\ & & \lambda_k^+ & & \\ & & & 0 & \\ & & & & \dots \\ & & & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(M_1 - M_2)^+\| &\leq \|M_1 - M_2\| \leq \|(M_1 - M_2)^+\| + \|(M_1 - M_2)^-\| \\ &\leq (1 + \mu^{-2}) \|(M_1 - M_2)^+\|. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\sup_{|e|=1} (M_1 - M_2) \cdot e^T e \leq \|(M_1 - M_2)^+\| \leq \sqrt{n} \lambda_{\max}^+ = \sqrt{n} \sup_{|e|=1} e (M_1 - M_2) e^T$$

and

$$\sup_{|e|=1} e (M_1 - M_2) e^T \geq \frac{\overbrace{1}^{c_E}}{\sqrt{n} (1 + \mu^{-2})} \|M_1 - M_2\|.$$

Proof of the theorem (Caffarelli).

Step 0. Suppose  $\text{diam}(D^2u(B_1)) = 1$ . Otherwise let  $v = u/\text{diam}$ ,  $G(M) = F(\text{diam } M)/\text{diam}$ , then  $G(D^2v) = 0$  with  $G$  still being  $\mu$ -elliptic and convex.

Step 1. There exists small  $\varepsilon_0(n, \mu)$  (from weak Harnack) such that if  $\{\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon_0}(M_k)\}_{k=1}^{k=N}$  cover  $D^2u(B_1)$ , then

either a)  $D^2u(B_{1/2})$  has diameter less than  $1/2$

or b) we can cover  $D^2u(B_{1/2})$  with  $N - 1$  balls.

Suppose a) does not happen, then  $\text{diam} D^2u(B_1) \geq \text{diam} D^2u(B_{1/2}) \geq 1/2$ . “Enlarge” the covering of  $D^2u(B_1)$  by  $N'$  (finitely many overlapping, “decoys”) balls in  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \{\mathcal{B}_h(H_l)\}_{l=1}^{l=N'}$  with  $h = h(n, \mu) = \min\{\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8}c_E\}$  (much larger than  $\varepsilon_0$  such that  $(h^{n \times n})^{1/\varepsilon} > \varepsilon_0$ ) and  $c_E$  is from the above lemma.

domain target covering figure

We know  $N'(n, \mu) \leq (\frac{1}{h})^{n \times n}$ , then there exists one ball, say  $\mathcal{B}^1 = \mathcal{B}_h(H_1)$  and  $H_1 = D^2u(x_1)$  such that

$$\left| (D^2u)^{-1}(\mathcal{B}^1) \right| \geq \frac{|B_1|}{N'} \text{ or } \frac{|B_{1/2}|}{N'}.$$

Also there exists  $H_*$  with  $H_* = D^2u(x_*)$  such that  $\|H_1 - H_*\| \geq 1/4$ . By the above lemma, there exists  $e \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$u_{ee}(x_1) - u_{ee}(x_*) \geq c_E \|D^2u(x_1) - D^2u(x_*)\| \geq \frac{1}{4}c_E$$

and with  $m = \inf_{B_1} u_{ee}(x) = u_{ee}(\underline{x})$

$$\begin{aligned} u_{ee}(x) - m &\geq u_{ee}(x) - u_{ee}(x_*) = u_{ee}(x) - u_{ee}(x_1) + u_{ee}(x_1) - u_{ee}(x_*) \\ &\geq -\|D^2u(x) - D^2u(x_1)\| + \frac{1}{4}c_E \\ &\geq -\frac{1}{8}c_E + \frac{1}{4}c_E = \frac{1}{8}c_E \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x$  satisfying  $\|D^2u(x) - D^2u(x_1)\| \leq h \leq \frac{1}{8}c_E$ .

Recall  $F$  is convex and  $u \in C^4$  ( $C^0$  is enough), then we have the important

$$u_{ee}(x) - m \in \bar{S}(\mu, 0).$$

By Krylov-Safonov, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{B_{1/2}} (u_{ee}(x) - m) &\geq c(n, \mu) \left[ \int_{B_1} (u_{ee} - m)^\varepsilon \right]^{1/\varepsilon} \\ &\geq c(n, \mu) \frac{1}{8}c_E \left( \frac{B_1}{N'} \right)^{1/\varepsilon} = \eta(n, \mu) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let, say  $\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon_0}(M_1)$  contain  $D^2u(\underline{x})$ , then for  $D^2u(y) \in \mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon_0}(M_1)$

$$u_{ee}(y) - u_{ee}(\underline{x}) \leq \|D^2u(y) - D^2u(\underline{x})\| \leq 2\varepsilon_0 < \eta$$

provided we (now) choose  $\varepsilon_0$  such that  $2\varepsilon_0(n, \mu) < \eta(n, \mu)$  (essentially  $h^{n \times n/\varepsilon} > \varepsilon_0$ ).

$$D^2u(B_{1/2}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon_0}(M_1) \quad \text{figure}$$

Therefore, we can still cover  $D^2u(B_{1/2})$  with  $N - 1$  balls of  $\{\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon_0}(M_k)\}_{k=1}^{k=N}$ , after throwing away one ball  $\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon_0}(M_1)$ .

Step 2. Let

$$v(x) = 2^2u(x/2) : B_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} D^2v(x) &= D^2u(x/2), \quad D^2v(B_{1/2}) = D^2u(B_{1/4}) \\ F(D^2v(x)) &= F(D^2u(x/2)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Repeat Step 1,  $D^2u(B_{1/4}) = D^2v(B_{1/2})$  is either a) or b). After  $l < N \lesssim (1/\varepsilon_0)^{n \times n}$  many steps, we have

$$\text{diam}(D^2u(B_{1/2^l})) \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Let  $\gamma = \gamma(n, \mu) = 1/2^l$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{diam}(D^2u(B_\gamma)) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \text{diam}(D^2u(B_1)) \\ \text{diam}(D^2u(B_{\gamma^2})) &\leq \frac{1}{2^2} \text{diam}(D^2u(B_1)) \\ &\dots \\ \text{diam}(D^2u(B_{\gamma^k})) &\leq \frac{1}{2^k} \text{diam}(D^2u(B_1)). \end{aligned}$$

Iterate, we obtain the desired Hölder estimate of  $D^2u$ . The proof of Evans-Krylov-(Safonov) is complete.

RMK. For complex Monge-Ampere equation  $\det \partial \bar{\partial} u = 1$ , one obtains real Hessian  $\|D^2u\|_{C^\alpha}$  estimates in terms of complex Hessian  $\|\partial \bar{\partial} u\|_{L^\infty}$  and  $\|u\|_{L^\infty}$  as follows.

Curvature way (Yau): By Calabi  $\|D\partial \bar{\partial} u\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(\|\partial \bar{\partial} u\|_{L^\infty})$ . By Schauder,  $\|D^2u\|_{C^\alpha} \leq C(\|\partial \bar{\partial} u\|_{L^\infty}, \|u\|_{L^\infty})$ .

Bernstein way (X-J Wang): By Bernstein,  $\|D^2u\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(\|\partial \bar{\partial} u\|_{L^\infty}, \|u\|_{L^\infty})$ . By Evans-Krylov-(Safonov),  $[D^2u]_{C^\alpha} \leq C(\|D^2u\|_{L^\infty})$ .

Complex way: Replace real  $e \otimes e$  by complex  $\partial z \otimes \partial \bar{z}$ , by Evans-Krylov-(Safonov),  $[\partial \bar{\partial} u]_\alpha \leq C(\|\partial \bar{\partial} u\|_{L^\infty})$ . Then  $\text{tr} D^2u = \Delta u \in C^\alpha$ . By Schauder,  $\|D^2u\|_{C^\alpha} \leq C(\|\partial \bar{\partial} u\|_{L^\infty}) \cdot (\|\partial \bar{\partial} u\|_{L^\infty} + \|u\|_{L^\infty})$ .